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Abstract

Wilms’ tumour (WT) is a pediatric tumor of the kidney that arises via failure of the fetal developmental program. The absence of identifiable mutations in the majority of WTs suggests the frequent involvement of epigenetic aberrations in WT. We therefore conducted a genome-wide analysis of promoter hypermethylation in WTs and identified hypermethylation at chromosome 5q31 spanning 800 kilobases (kb) and more than 50 genes. The methylated genes all belong to α, β, and γ-protocadherin (PCDH) gene clusters (Human Genome Organization nomenclature PCDHA®, PCDHB®, and PCDHG®, respectively). This demonstrates that long-range epigenetic silencing (LRES) occurs in developmental tumors as well as in adult tumors. Bisulfite polymerase chain reaction analysis showed that PCDH hypermethylation is a frequent event found in all Wilms’ tumor subtypes. Hypermethylation is concordant with reduced PCDH expression in tumors. WT precursor lesions showed no PCDH hypermethylation, suggesting that de novo PCDH hypermethylation occurs during malignant progression. Discrete boundaries of the PCDH domain are delimited by abrupt changes in histone modifications; unmethylated genes flanking the LRES are associated with permissive marks which are absent from methylated genes within the domain. Silenced genes are marked with non-permissive histone 3 lysine 9 dimethylation. Expression analysis of embryonic murine kidney and differentiating rat metanephric mesenchymal cells demonstrates that Pcdh expression is developmentally regulated and that Pcdhg® genes are expressed in blastemal cells. Importantly, we show that PCDHs negatively regulate canonical Wnt signalling, as short-interfering RNA–induced reduction of PCDHG® encoded proteins leads to elevated β-catenin protein, increased β-catenin/T-cell factor (TCF) reporter activity, and induction of Wnt target genes. Conversely, over-expression of PCDHs suppresses β-catenin/TCF-reporter activity and also inhibits colony formation and growth of cancer cells in soft agar. Thus PCDHs are candidate tumor suppressors that modulate regulatory pathways critical in development and disease, such as canonical Wnt signaling.
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Introduction

Wilms’ tumour (WT) represents a paradigm for cancer arising from disrupted development. Failure of the metanephric blastemal cells to undergo mesenchymal to epithelial transition, together with proliferation of these undifferentiated cells, is intrinsic to the development of Wilms’ tumours [1]. Thus WT predisposing genes are often critical in normal nephrogenesis. As the aetiology of WTs cannot be explained solely by the known genetic changes, we have evaluated epigenetic changes in WTs. Several epigenetic lesions have previously been identified in Wilms’ tumour, in particular loss of imprinting at chromosome 11p13 [2,3] and 11p15 [4], which we have shown to be early and independent events [5]. In common with other cancers, WTs also show tumour suppressor gene silencing which includes genes such as HACE1 (73% of tumours analysed) [6], RASSF1 (56%) [7], CASP8 (43%), MGMT (30%), RASSF5/NORE1 (15%), and CDKN2A (10–15%) [8]. In addition, we have recently shown over-expression of the GLIIP1 gene resulting from promoter hypomethylation (87%) [9].
Author Summary
The development of tissues and organs in the human body requires carefully regulated production of proteins by cells. Proteins permit the growth and development of the many varied structures required for a healthy body. In many diseases, including some cancers, tissues and organs fail to develop as they should due to the normal production of proteins being changed. The work presented here shows that in Wilms’ tumor, a childhood cancer of the kidney, a large group of related proteins that are likely necessary for growth and development of a normal kidney are not produced properly. This is due to their production being switched off within the cancer cells. We show how these proteins, known as protocadherins, can themselves alter the function of other proteins already known to be important in normal growth and cancer. Thus our study increases our understanding of how protocadherins are important in normal growth and of how altering protocadherins may lead to disease, such as cancer.

In order to identify candidate genes involved in Wilms’ tumorigenesis, we undertook genome-wide analysis of promoter methylation. We have identified pronounced tumour-specific hypermethylation in a region spanning ~800 kb of chromosome 5q31. This region contains members of the PCDH superfamily in 3 multi-gene clusters (PCDHA@, PCDHB@ and PCDHG@) [10]. Hypermethylation of this locus is an example of long range epigenetic silencing, which has previously been reported in colorectal cancer at chromosome 2q14.2 [11], the MLH1 locus on 3p22 [12], and for the HOXA gene cluster on chromosome 7p15 in breast and lung cancers [13,14]. We demonstrate that silencing of gene expression is concomitant with DNA hypermethylation and repressive histone modifications. Although little is known about the functions of these clustered PCDHs, other members of the PCDH superfamily have been shown to have tumour suppressor activity, such as PCDH10 in various carcinomas [15,16] and PCDH8 in breast cancer [17]. Functional data presented here suggest that proteins encoded by the chromosome 5q31 PCDHs modulate the Wnt pathway and are candidate Wilms’ tumour suppressor genes.

Results
A large hypermethylated domain at 5q31 in Wilms’ tumour
Following methylated DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP, Figure S1A), the efficacy and specificity of the MeDIP protocol was verified using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of a constitutively methylated CpG island (CGI) at the H19 imprinting control region, demonstrating successful enrichment relative to input DNA. Specificity for methylated CGIs was shown by PCR of the RASSF1 5’ CGI. Amplification of a non-CGI sequence (an intragenic region of the TBP gene), was used as a negative control for methylated DNA enrichment (Figure S1B). Validated MeDIP DNA samples from human fetal kidney and Wilms’ tumours were then hybridised to Nimblegen HG18 Refseq DNA samples from human fetal kidney and negative control for methylated DNA enrichment (Figure S1B). RASSF1 relative to input DNA. Specificity for methylated CGIs was shown (PCR) of a constitutively methylated CpG island (CGI) at the was verified using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (Figure S1A), the efficacy and specificity of the MeDIP protocol was confirmed at virtually all of the PCDH CGIs across the locus (Figure 1B). As a complementary screening approach, we also conducted enrichment of methylated DNA from the WiT49 Wilms’ tumour cell-line [18] using recombinant methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) protein, which permitted microarray methylation profiling without probe DNA amplification. This analysis also showed hypermethylation of multiple PCDHs across the region (data not shown). Both genome-wide analyses identified genes previously known to be hypermethylated in Wilms’ tumour, such as RASSF1 (Table S2). Thus our genome-wide promoter analysis identifies a novel region of long-range epigenetic silencing in WT’s on chromosome 5q31.3.

Long-range epigenetic modifications at 5q31 are common in Wilms’ tumour but not in preneoplastic lesions
We used combined bisulphite and restriction analysis (COBRA) to validate and characterise methylation in normal and tumour DNAs. Methylation profiles were consistent with the microarray data, and locus-wide hypermethylation was observed in all tumours and WT49 cells (Figure 1C and 1D). We also analysed an additional thirteen PCDHs that were identified as hypermethylated by MeDIP-chip, demonstrating that hypermethylation varied between individual tumours (Figure 1C and 1D). Of 19 PCDHG@ genes, 15 were hypermethylated according to COBRA and array analysis, together with 15/16 PCDHB@ genes and 13/15 PCDHA@ genes. Bisulfite sequencing data was consistent with COBRA and MeDIP-chip data (Figure 1E). In total, we analysed 38 WT’s (Table S2) and all display hypermethylation of multiple PCDHs, with many PCDHs showing a very high frequency of hypermethylation in tumours. These include PCDHG4, hypermethylated in 24/27 tumours (89%), PCDHG4b (23/25, 92%), PCDHG4e (11/13, 85%) and PCDHBS (21/33, 64%). COBRA analyses of PCDHG1, PCDHG2, PCDHB1, PCDHG4 and PCDH6G4 showed no evidence of hypermethylation, consistent with MeDIP-chip data (Figure 1B and 1C and Table S2).

Analysis of PCDH methylation in microdissected perilobar nephrogenic rests, presumptive WT precursor lesions, revealed no PCDH hypermethylation (Figure S3) but hypermethylation was evident at the PCDHG4 and PCDHG4b genes in a set of stromal-predominant tumours (Figure S4). Taken together our data show that hypermethylation occurs at high frequencies in all WT subtypes, and strongly suggests that de novo methylation arises from the normal production of proteins being changed in these lesions.
during neoplastic progression from nephrogenic rest to Wilms’ tumour.

There was no tumour-specific hypermethylation apparent at upstream and downstream CGIs within 100 kb (eight promoter CGIs in total) of the PCDH clusters. A non-clustered protocadherin gene on chromosome 5q31, PCDH1, 300 kb telomeric to PCDHG@, was also unmethylated. Two non-PCDH genes are situated within the methylated domain; SLC25A2 was constitutively methylated in both normal tissues and tumours and TAF7 was constitutively unmethylated (Figure 1C and Figure S2). Thus, their methylation is unaffected by the surrounding epigenetic defect, and tumour-specific hypermethylation is specific to clustered PCDHs.

Silencing of PCDH expression in Wilms’ tumour

Quantitative RT-PCR showed negligible PCDHA@ expression in kidney. However, PCDHB@ and PCDHG@ transcripts were readily detectable in fetal kidney, and consistent suppression of methylated PCDHG@ transcripts was apparent in tumours relative to kidney. Of 11 hypermethylated PCDHG@ genes analysed in our tumour panel, 9 showed greater than 90% repression, with the remaining 2 showing very low basal expression in fetal kidney. For many PCDHs, expression in tumours was decreased over 100-fold or below the limits of detection (Figure 2A). PCDHG3 was consistently unmethylated in WTs (Figure 1C), but PCDHG3 expression was lowered in WTs relative to normal kidney (Figure 2C). Interestingly, WT49 PCDH methylation and expression reflects the general silencing pattern observed with WTs (Figure 2B), but in addition to PCDHG3, PCDHG6 is also unmethylated in WT49 cells. Despite the absence of hypermethylation, reduced expression of both PCDHG6 and PCDHG3 transcripts relative to fetal kidney was apparent in WT49 cells. Expression of PCDHG6 was, however, further suppressed in WTs with PCDHG6 hypermethylation (Figure 2C).

Figure 1. A large hypermethylated domain on chromosome 5q31 in Wilms’ tumour. (A) The MeDIP-chip tumour/normal (T/N) signal ratio shown for 2 representative WTs identifies hypermethylation of multiple gene promoters across a domain spanning 800 kb on chromosome 5q31.3 (red box). (B) The MeDIP-chip profile of PCDHA@, PCDHB@ and PCDHG@ in a representative WT. A minority of genes escaping hypermethylation are indicated (black arrowheads). (C) DNA methylation assayed using COBRA. Percentage methylation was analysed by gel densitometry for each gene, and is represented by horizontal bars (black = percentage methylated, white = percentage unmethylated). Data from 6 normal tissues (4 fetal kidneys [FK], postnatal kidney [NK] and fetal brain [FB]) and 9 Wilms’ tumours are shown. Non-PCDH genes flanking the domain and between PCDHB@ and PCDHG@ are also shown. (D) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of COBRA methylation data from normal and tumour tissues (T), HEK293 and WT49 cell lines. (E) Bisulfite sequencing of PCDHB3, PCDHB8, SLC25A2, PCDHG3, PCDHG6 and PCDHG45 5’ CGIs in normal fetal kidney (FK) and 2 Wilms’ tumours, T43 & T57.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.g001
Expression of PCDHB genes in tumours was more variable than the PCDHG genes. PCDHB8 and PCDHB15 exhibited strong methylation associated silencing but PCDHB12 was not consistently down-regulated in tumours, despite hypermethylation (Figure 2A). All seven methylated PCDHB genes analysed were, however, concordantly silenced in WT49 cells (Figure 2B). Unmethylated genes outside the LRES boundary, such as HARS2 and HDAC3, were not suppressed (Figure 2A and 2C).

To further establish the relationship between methylation and expression, we treated WT49 cells with 5-azacytidine, which induced the expression of epigenetically silenced PCDHB and PCDHG genes (Figure S5); in contrast, genes located outside the hypermethylated domain, which showed unaltered expression in tumours (Figure 2), were unaffected by 5-azacytidine. This substantiates the mechanistic link between methylation levels and gene expression at this locus.

In summary, our expression analyses demonstrate that PCDHB and PCDHG expression occurs in human kidney, and that epigenetic silencing of gene expression occurs in WT.

Profiling of histone modifications at active and silenced genes within the 5q31 LRES

We compared permissive (histone 3 dimethyl lysine 4, H3K4me2; histone 3 acetyl lysine, H3Ac) and repressive (histone 3 dimethyl lysine 9, H3K9me2) histone modifications at gene loci across the PCDH domain (Figure 3) by chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis (ChIP). Genes located outside the PCDH domain were enriched for H3K4me2 and H3Ac. Conversely, methylated PCDHs were enriched for H3K9me2. Hypermethylated PCDH@, PCDHB@ and PCDHG@ genes all showed repressive histone modifications. As expected, the SLC25A2 (constitutively methylated) and TAF7 (unmethylated) genes were associated with repressive and permissive modifications, respectively. The PCDHB6, PCDHG6 and PCDHGC3 genes, which were not hypermethylated in the WT49 cell line, all had an active chromatin profile.

Comparison of gene expression levels, DNA methylation and histone marks (Figure 3, right panels) shows that DNA hypermethylation and silencing correlate with diminished H3Ac and
H3K4me2, whereas “active” promoters have high H3Ac and H3K4me2 levels (Spearman rank order correlation coefficient, $r = 0.67, P = 0.003, \text{and } r = 0.63, P = 0.006$ respectively). H3K9me2 shows an opposite pattern, that is high levels at methylated/silenced genes (Spearman rank order correlation coefficient, $r = 0.83, P = 0.0002$). The degree of H3K9me2 enrichment displays proportionality to gene silencing (Pearson correlation coefficient, $r = 0.88$). Thus tumour-specific DNA hypermethylation is strongly linked with specific, repressive chromatin modifications, whereas unmethylated genes within, and flanking the region maintain an active chromatin configuration.

PCDH expression in renal development and differentiation

Previous studies have indicated that PCDH expression is largely restricted to neuronal tissues [10]. As we found abundant expression of PCDH@ and PCDHG@ genes in human fetal kidney (Figure 2), we examined Pcdhb@ and Pcdhg@ expression during murine kidney development. Similar to humans, Pcdhb@ genes were expressed predominantly in brain, with negligible levels in kidney. In contrast, Pdhh@ and Pdhh@ expression was abundant in kidney, with expression comparable to brain and exceeding placenta, liver and spleen. Transcript levels followed similar temporal profiles to Wt1, a mediator of mesenchymal-epithelial transition (Figure 4A). Postnatally, Pdhh expression decreases, in contrast to E-cadherin (Cdh1), where high expression levels are maintained in the mature organ. As our human expression analysis was restricted to comparing transcript levels in fetal kidney and Wilms’ tumours (Figure 2), we also assessed other human fetal tissues for PCDHG@ encoded proteins by immunoblotting in order to confirm abundant expression in fetal kidney. High expression was also evident in lung and brain, compared with moderate expression in gut. On longer exposure, low expression was also apparent in liver and spleen (Figure 4B).

In order to gain further insight on cell-type specific expression, we analysed Pcdhb@ and Pcdhg@ transcript levels during epithelial differentiation of rat metanephric mesenchymal cells in organ culture. This system has been shown to accurately reflect early differentiation in embryonic kidney [19]. Freshly isolated metanephric mesenchymal cells were found to express high Pdhh levels, and epithelial differentiation induced by growth factors was accompanied by down-regulation of Pdhh6, Pdhh12 and Wt1. Pdhh2 and Pdhh3 were also decreased upon differentiation, but to a lesser extent (Figure 4C). As expected, a sharp rise in Cdh1 expression was observed, consistent with increasing epithelialisation.

Figure 3. Hypermethylation across the chromosome 5q31 LRES is associated with specific histone modifications. Bar charts (left) show ChIP–quantitative PCR measuring relative levels of specific histone modifications at individual gene loci across the 5q31 locus in WiT49 cells. (A) H3Ac ChIP, (B) H3K4me2 ChIP, (C) H3K9me2 ChIP, all expressed relative to input DNA. Scatter plots (right) show the relationship between relative gene expression levels and histone modifications at each gene. The x-axis shows specific histone levels relative to input DNA, and the y-axis shows mRNA expression in WiT49 cells (Texp) relative to average mRNA expression in 4 fetal kidney samples (Nexp). Grey bars/datapoints signify genes hypermethylated in WiT49, open bars/datapoints show unmethylated genes, and the black bar/datapoint shows constitutively methylated SLC25A2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.g003
Figure 4. Developmental expression patterns of PCDHs. (A) PCDH transcript levels in mouse developmental tissues. Quantitative real-time expression analysis, relative to Tbp, in placenta (P), and E17.5 mouse fetal liver (Li), spleen (S), brain (B), and kidney (K) (E17.5, postnatal 0.5 week, 1 week, and 3 week) using assays specific for the constant region exons of Pcdha@ and Pcdhg@, and individual Pcdh transcripts. Expression of Wt1 and Cdh1 are also shown (black bars). (B) Immunoblotting of human fetal tissue proteins with pan γ-PCDH antibody or actin for loading control. Samples are kidney (K), liver (Li), lung (Lu), spleen (S), brain (B), and gut (G). (C) Gene expression changes accompanying epithelial differentiation of rat metanephric mesenchyme following Lif, Fgf2, and Tgfr treatment. Quantitative real-time expression analysis, relative to Tbp, is shown for freshly dissected rat metanephric mesenchyme (MM) and differentiating mesenchyme (DM). (D) Immunohistochemical analysis of 1-day postnatal murine kidney with antibodies towards Wt1 and γ-PCDHs. Blastema (b), primitive glomeruli (pg), and ureteric buds (u) are labelled. The control panel shows a section where the primary antibody has been omitted. Bar = 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.g004
Comparison of expression levels in murine developmental samples and rat mesenchymal cells suggests that the mesenchyme may be the principal cellular component expressing PCDHs in developing kidney. We therefore assessed expression of Pcdhgα encoded proteins (γ-PCDHs) immunohistochemically in postnatal day 1 murine kidney (Figure 4D). High expression was evident in the blastemal cells, with decreasing and more variable expression apparent in tubules and parietal epithelia. Subcellular staining was variable and included nuclear staining, which is consistent with a role for the γ-PCDH intracellular domain in gene regulation [20,21]. Expression in the ureteric bud and visceral epithelia was low or absent. Thus γ-PCDH proteins are evident in the murine equivalent of the presumptive multipotent cell of origin for WT. This is consistent with PCDHs having a role in kidney development and Wilms’ tumorigenesis.

Modulation of canonical Wnt signalling by PCDHs

Little is known about PCDH cellular functions, but a member of the PCDH superfamily, PCDH-PC, was previously shown to positively regulate β-catenin/TCF signalling [22]. The Wnt signalling pathway, which utilises the β-catenin/TCF transcriptional complex to programme developmental gene expression, is essential for nephrogenesis [23]. Constitutive Wnt signalling brought about by compromised β-catenin function is also involved in several cancers including WT [24]. We therefore assessed the possible effects of γ-PCDH knockdown on β-catenin/TCF mediated transcription using luciferase reporter plasmids which contain a minimal promoter adjacent to 7 tandem TCF binding sites (Super8xTOPFLASH, [25]). Short-interfering RNAs (siRNAs) were designed to target the constant region sequences of PCDHGα and transfected into WT49 cells. Although WT49 cells show extensive hypermethylation across the PCDH locus, specific PCDHs (for example, PCDHGα6 and PCDHGα5) escape hypermethylation and are expressed, albeit at lower levels relative to fetal kidney (Figure 2C); WT49 cells also exhibit intermediate Wnt signalling activity in the absence of β-catenin mutations, and are therefore suitable for investigating PCDH effects on the Wnt pathway.

The activity of the β-catenin/TCF reporter was increased by knockdown of γ-PCDHs, suggesting that γ-PCDHs negatively influence the canonical Wnt pathway. A second siRNA targeting a different sequence within the PCDHGα constant region gave similar results, negating the possibility of off-target effects (data not shown). Reporter upregulation was abolished by CTNNB1 siRNA co-transfection, demonstrating that enhanced TCF-mediated activation was β-catenin-dependent (Figure 5A). This was further supported by immunoblot analysis which showed that knockdown of γ-PCDHs was accompanied by elevated β-catenin protein levels (Figure 5A, inset), although CTNNB1 transcript levels were unchanged (data not shown). Knockdown of γ-PCDHs also induced expression of known β-catenin/TCF target genes CCND1, CMYC and PAX8, as well as repression of expression of WT1 (Figure 5B). We also individually over-expressed PCDHGA2, PCDHGA6 and PCDHGA12 in WT49 cells, human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK293) treated with Wnt3a conditioned media and HCT116 cells to evaluate effects on β-catenin/TCF reporter activity (Figure 5C). The latter cell-line is derived from a colorectal cancer with an activating β-catenin mutation [26,27] and also displays PCDH hypermethylation (Figure 5C). PCDH-mediated suppression of β-catenin/TCF reporter activity was evident in all cell-lines, especially Wnt3a-treated HEK293 and HCT116, both of which have high Wnt signalling. As expected, expression of a dominant-negative form of TCF4 also strongly reduced reporter activity. Taken together, our data implicate PCDHGα encoded proteins in negative modulation of canonical Wnt signalling.

As our epigenetic and functional analyses allude to a tumour suppressor function for PCDHs, we evaluated the effect of ectopic PCDH expression on tumour-related phenotype using cell-culture based assays commonly used for assessing tumour suppressor gene function, that is inhibition of colony formation and growth in soft agar [15]. Transfection of WT49 cells with PCDHGα2, PCDHGα6 or PCDHGα12 resulted in moderate PCDH protein expression leading to approximately 30–85% suppression of colony formation.

Figure 5. PCDH effects on Wnt signalling. (A) Enhanced β-catenin/TCF activity following γ-PCDH knockdown induced by PCDHGα constant region targeting siRNA, measured with Super8xTOPFLASH reporter (TOP). Super8xFOPFLASH (FOP) reporter is a negative control. RLU, relative luciferase units. Gamma-PCDH and β-catenin knockdowns are verified by immunoblotting (IB) in the inset, which also demonstrates increased cellular β-catenin accompanying γ-PCDH knockdown. (B) Quantitative real-time expression analysis, relative to TBP, showing induction of the Wnt pathway target genes CCND1, CMYC, and PAX8 accompanying γ-PCDH knockdown; altered expression of WT1 is also shown. (C) Repression of β-catenin/TCF reporter activity accompanying PCDH expression in WT49, HCT116, and Wnt3a-conditioned medium treated HEK293 (HEK293+CM) cells. A plasmid containing a CDNA encoding dominant-negative TCF4 (TCF4-DN) is also shown as a positive control. Cells were co-transfected with PCDH expression vectors and Super8xTOPFLASH (TOP) or Super8xFOPFLASH (FOP) and luciferase activity measured. RLU, relative luciferase units. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.g005
Similarly transfection of HCT116 cells resulted in approximately 70% suppression of colony formation (P<0.01), and transfection of HEK293 cells led to 60–85% suppression (P<0.005) (Figure 6A). Suppression of colony formation was not a non-specific side-effect of gene over-expression, as transfection of HEK293 cells with CTNNB1 cDNA encoding a degradation-resistant mutant of β-catenin failed to suppress colony formation (Figure S7).

We also assessed the effect of PCDH expression on anchorage independent growth in soft agar using HCT116 cells (Figure 6B). Colony forming efficiency was markedly reduced by PCDHGA2, PCDHGA6 and PCDHGA12 relative to cells transfected with expression vector only (approximately 85%–95% suppression relative to the vector control).

Collectively, therefore, our experiments demonstrate that PCDHs regulate critical transduction and transcription pathways and have growth regulatory properties consistent with tumour suppressor activity.

**Discussion**

By conducting genome-wide promoter methylation analysis, we have identified a large cluster of paralogous PCDH genes on chromosome 5q31 which undergo hypermethylation in Wilms' tumours. Transcriptional silencing of PCDHs was prevalent in WTs, and PCDH hypermethylation constitutes the most frequent epigenetic silencing event in WT. This putative WT suppressor domain is the first report of LRES in childhood tumours. Our data also suggests roles for PCDHs in normal nephrogenesis, including modulation of key regulatory pathways such as canonical Wnt signalling.

LRES regions have been identified in several adult cancers including, breast [13], colon [11,12], head, neck and lung [14,28,29]. A recent genome-wide analysis of methylation in breast cancers showed that multiple agglomerative epigenetic aberrations occur, including regions undergoing hypermethylation and hypomethylation. Interestingly, the PCDH locus on chromosome 5q31 was one of several hypermethylated domains shown in breast cancer, together with others such as H0XD@ on chromosome 2 and HIST1 on chromosome 6 [30]. Such regions were also identified in our analysis (Table S1). Thus, in addition to gene-specific epigenetic lesions, our study shows that some, but not all, LRES domains are conserved between embryonal and adult cancers. Also individual genes within an LRES region can show tumour-type specific changes, illustrated by PCDHGC3 which is frequently hypermethylated in breast cancer but which escapes methylation in WTs. The contribution of LRES to tumour pathology is not well characterised, but transcriptional suppression of multiple genes across a chromosomal region can be considered to be functionally analogous to cytogenetic loss.

Silencing of individual genes within the LRES domains on chromosome 2q and 3p in colorectal cancer appears to be dependent on a domain-wide non-permissive chromatin configuration, rather than the methylation status, as unmethylated genes within these domains and up to 1000 kb away are also suppressed.
Expression of the Pdhs peaks in the last week of nephrogenesis; thereafter, expression decreases, in contrast to Cadh1, which encodes the archetypal epithelial adhesion protein, E-cadherin. Epithelial differentiation of rat metanephric mesenchyme cells in organ culture was also accompanied by decreasing levels of Pdha1 expression. A recent microarray analysis of gene expression with laser captured kidney components showed expression of Pdha1 and Pdhaa12 expression attenuating between the cap mesenchyme and renal vesicle [40]. Together with our expression analyses, this suggests that the Pdhs expression peak in murine nephrogenesis likely reflects the expansion of nephrogenic progenitors as kidney development nears completion [41]. Our Pdhs expression analyses in human fetal kidney, during murine nephrogenesis and in rat metanephric mesenchyme suggest that Pdhs may have hitherto uncharacterised roles in renal development. Although Pdha1 mutant mice, which undergo neurodegeneration and neonatal death in less than 12 hours, did not show a gross kidney phenotype, kidney defects were not explored in detail [42] (Wang & Sanes, personal communication). The early postnatal lethality observed with homozygous Pdha1 mutant mice would also preclude full assessment of effects on nephrogenesis, as murine kidney development continues in the first week following birth. Although we were unable to assess renal defects in Pdha1 null mice, we did examine postnatal kidney from heterozygous Pdha1 mutant mice (see Text S1), as these loci are pre-marked in normal (unmethylated) tissues by histone H3 trimethyl - lysine27 (H3K27me3). The instructive mechanism may explain the non-random de novo methylation of some genes during tumorigenesis [33]. However, in the case of the 5q31 LRES, a genomic study of human embryonic stem cells failed to identify pre-marking of the hypermethylated Pcdhs by Polycromb group proteins or H3K4me2 and H3Ac marking is evident in all active genes and lost in silenced genes. This suggests that H3K9me2 plays a role in establishing and maintaining the silenced state, as previously demonstrated for Cdk2a2 [31], and that histone 3 acetylation and H3K4me2 marks are removed prior to increases in H3K9me2 and DNA methylation. It has been postulated that a significant proportion of hypermethylated loci in cancer do not arise by adaptive selection but rather are the result of an ‘instructive’ mechanism, via cis-targeting of the trans-acting Polycromb group protein-complexes [32], and that these loci are pre-marked in normal (unmethylated) tissues by histone H3 trimethyl - lysine27 (H3K27me3). The instructive mechanism may explain the non-random de novo methylation of some genes during tumorigenesis [33]. However, in the case of the 5q31 LRES, a genomic study of human embryonic stem cells failed to identify pre-marking of the hypermethylated Pcdhs by Polycromb group proteins or H3K27me3 [34]. Additionally, the instructive mechanism predicts methylation would be present in pre-cancerous lesions (e.g. colorectal adenomas [32]) and we have shown this is not the case for Pcdhs, which are unmethylated in nephrogenic rests, pre-cancerous lesions for WT. Therefore the Pcdhs do not appear to be pre-marked for de novo methylation in WT, indicating that this molecular lesion is selected for during tumorigenesis. This is also supported by tumour-type specific variations in hypermethylation such as observed for Pcdhs, as discussed above.

Hypermethylation of Pcdhs was not detectable in nephrogenic rests, consistent with a previous assessment of Rassf1A, Dnajc15/ Mcf and Tnfrsf25 gene hypermethylation [35]. This is in contrast to gene-specific hypomethylation of the Glipr1 gene observed in WTs, where nephrogenic rests display intermediate methylation levels relative to fetal kidney and WTs [9]. Therefore although the Glipr1 hypomethylation observed in WTs might reflect an expansion of oncotel cell lacking Glipr1 methylation, hypermethylation of Pcdhs and other tumour suppressor genes appears to represent a later, tumour-specific lesion. Expression of Pcdhs in blastemal cells, together with our methylation analysis of nephrogenic rests, also negates the possibility that Wilms’ tumour Pcdhs hypermethylation can be attributed to clonal expansion of progenitors with cell-type specific methylation.

Genetic lesions in WT known to be late events include chromosome 16q loss of heterozygosity [36] and Ctnnb1 mutations [37]; interestingly, the Ctcf gene locates to 16q, is mutated in some WTs [38] and the encoded epiregulatory protein has multiple binding sites across the Pcdh locus [39], suggesting that aberrant Ctcf function may be involved in LRES.
where PCDH hypermethylation is prevalent [30] and activation of Wnt/β-catenin signalling occurs, despite mutations of Wnt pathway components being rare [47].

Although the mechanisms by which PCDHs influence pathways such as Wnt signalling require delineation, we note that, as well as encoding a nuclear moieties capable of regulating gene expression directly [20,21], z- and γ-PCDHs have recently been reported to negatively regulate proline-rich tyrosine kinase 2 [PYK2] [48], which was previously shown to phosphorylate β-catenin [49]. As phospho-regulation of β-catenin can promote interactions with transcriptional co-activators [50], we speculate that elevated PYK2 activity may arise as a consequence of PCDH silencing and thereby lead to a shift of the β-catenin adhesion/signalling balance. This and other downstream consequences of PCDH silencing warrant intensive future study.

Materials and Methods

Ethical statement

All human tissues were acquired with appropriate local research ethics committee approval and all animal procedures were conducted in accordance with regulations (UK Home Office) and international standards on animal welfare.

Patient samples

All tissues were obtained as snap frozen samples from the Bristol Children’s Hospital, the Royal Marsden Hospital and the University of Heidelberg Children’s Hospital. Human fetal tissue samples were from 19 to 31 weeks of gestation. Details of clinical samples are given in Table S3.

Cell culture, transfections, and reporter assays

WiT49 [18], HCT116 [9] and HEK293 cell-lines (adenovirus transformed human embryonic kidney cells [51]) were cultured using standard methods in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mmol/l L-glutamine, 0.1 mg/ml penicillin/streptomycin, at 37°C under 5% CO2. L/Wnt3a fibroblast cell lines (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, and Wnt3a conditioned medium was prepared according to the protocol provided by ATCC (http://www.atcc.org).

For knockdown analyses, cells were transfected with DharmaFECT DUO (Dharmacon, Inc.) with 50 nM total of ON-TARGETplus short interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes. For β-catenin/TCF reporter activity assays, 104 cells/well were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected with 400 ng of expression plasmids in 6-well plates and plated in triplicate in 10 cm dishes 48 hours after transfection. Selection was performed with 200 μg/ml (HCT116) or 100 μg/ml (WiT49) of zeocin (Invitrogen) for 2 weeks after which colonies were methylene blue stained and counted using ImageJ software (http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/). Colony formation assays were repeated at least twice.

Growth in soft agar was assessed essentially as previously described [15]. Briefly, 2.5×104 transfected HCT116 cells were suspended in DMEM medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 0.35% agar, and 200 μg/ml zeocin. The suspension was then layered on 6 cm plates containing DMEM medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 0.7% agar, and 200 μg/ml zeocin. Plating was carried out in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Cells were fed every 4–5 days, and after 10–14 days growth, colonies of greater than approximately 50 μm within 10 microscopic fields were counted under a phase contrast microscope. Colony forming efficiency is presented as percentage of colonies larger than 50 μm within total cells.

Methylated–DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

High molecular weight genomic DNAs were extracted from tissues using standard phenol–chloroform techniques and fragmented to a size range of 200–500 base pairs using a Diagnode Bioruptor. Four micrograms of sonicated genomic DNA and 20 μg anti-5-methyl cytidine monoclonal antibody (Eurogentec, Liège, Belgium) were incubated at 4°C overnight in immunoprecipitation buffer, and then for a further 2 hours with goat anti-mouse IgG magnetic beads (N.E. Biolabs). After purification, MeDIP DNA was blunt-ended with T4 DNA polymerase (N.E. Biolabs) and ligation-mediated PCR (LM-PCR) was carried out as described [52,53]. DNAs were then sent to Nimblegen for labelling and hybridization to Nimblegen HG18 Re-Seq promoter arrays. Data was analysed using ChipMonk v1.2.1 tiling array analysis software (Dr Simon Andrews, Babraham Institute, Cambridge UK, http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/chipmonk). To identify hypermethylated CGIs, a log2ratio cut-off of 1.5 and a window of 500 bp was used to carry out the replicate t-test (P<0.05) on probes within 200 bp of predicted CpG islands (http://genome.ucsc.edu). Additional statistical analysis was carried out using R (http://www.r-project.org/). The array data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession number GSE15027.

WiT49 chromatin marks were assessed using a ChiP Kit (Upstate Biotechnology) with antibodies for histone 3 dimethyl lysine 4 (H3K4me2, Upstate Technology), histone 3 dimethyl lysine 9 (H3K9me2, Abcam), and histone 3 acetyl lysine (H3Ac, Upstate). Quantification using real-time PCR was carried out using the Stratagene MX3005P QPCR System (La Jolla, CA) along with the PlatinumSYBR green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen). Reactions volumes of 20 μl contained 10 μl of Platinum SYBRgreen qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), 50 nM ROX reference dye, 0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, and 1.5 μl of ChiP DNA template. Primer sequences are available in Table S4.

For PCDH over-expression studies, cDNAs were obtained by RT-PCR from fetal kidney RNA and cloned into pCDNA3.1/Zeo (Invitrogen). For β-catenin/TCF reporter assays, 104 cells/well were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected with 400 ng of expression constructs together with 100 ng Super 8xTOPFLASH or Super 8xTOPFLASH using FuGene 6 (Roche), and assayed for luciferase as described above. For colony formation assays, 2.5×105 HCT116 and WiT49 cells were transfected with 1 μg of expression plasmids in 6-well plates and plated in triplicate in 10 cm dishes 48 hours after transfection. Selection was performed with 200 μg/ml (HCT116) or 100 μg/ml (WiT49) of zeocin (Invitrogen) for 2 weeks after which colonies were methylene blue stained and counted using ImageJ software (http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/). Colony formation assays were repeated at least twice.

Growth in soft agar was assessed essentially as previously described [15]. Briefly, 2.5×104 transfected HCT116 cells were suspended in DMEM medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 0.35% agar, and 200 μg/ml zeocin. The suspension was then layered on 6 cm plates containing DMEM medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 0.7% agar, and 200 μg/ml zeocin. Plating was carried out in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Cells were fed every 4–5 days, and after 10–14 days growth, colonies of greater than approximately 50 μm within 10 microscopic fields were counted under a phase contrast microscope. Colony forming efficiency is presented as percentage of colonies larger than 50 μm within total cells.

Methylated–DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

High molecular weight genomic DNAs were extracted from tissues using standard phenol–chloroform techniques and fragmented to a size range of 200–500 base pairs using a Diagnode Bioruptor. Four micrograms of sonicated genomic DNA and 20 μg anti-5-methyl cytidine monoclonal antibody (Eurogentec, Liège, Belgium) were incubated at 4°C overnight in immunoprecipitation buffer, and then for a further 2 hours with goat anti-mouse IgG magnetic beads (N.E. Biolabs). After purification, MeDIP DNA was blunt-ended with T4 DNA polymerase (N.E. Biolabs) and ligation-mediated PCR (LM-PCR) was carried out as described [52,53]. DNAs were then sent to Nimblegen for labelling and hybridization to Nimblegen HG18 Re-Seq promoter arrays. Data was analysed using ChipMonk v1.2.1 tiling array analysis software (Dr Simon Andrews, Babraham Institute, Cambridge UK, http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/chipmonk). To identify hypermethylated CGIs, a log2ratio cut-off of 1.5 and a window of 500 bp was used to carry out the replicate t-test (P<0.05) on probes within 200 bp of predicted CpG islands (http://genome.ucsc.edu). Additional statistical analysis was carried out using R (http://www.r-project.org/). The array data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession number GSE15027.

WiT49 chromatin marks were assessed using a ChiP Kit (Upstate Biotechnology) with antibodies for histone 3 dimethyl lysine 4 (H3K4me2, Upstate Technology), histone 3 dimethyl lysine 9 (H3K9me2, Abcam), and histone 3 acetyl lysine (H3Ac, Upstate). Quantification using real-time PCR was carried out using the Stratagene MX3005P QPCR System (La Jolla, CA) along with the PlatinumSYBR green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen). Reactions volumes of 20 μl contained 10 μl of Platinum SYBRgreen qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), 50 nM ROX reference dye, 0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, and 1.5 μl of ChiP DNA template. Primer sequences are available in Table S4.
Methylation and expression analysis

Up to 1 μg DNA was sodium bisulfite converted using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research, CA). Amplicons for combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA) and bisulfite sequencing were made using the Hot Start Red Taq PCR system (Sigma). Primers and restriction enzymes are available in Table S1. For bisulfite sequencing, PCR products were cloned into the pGEM-T-easy cloning vector (Promega), and fluorescently sequenced using standard M13 primer sequences.

For comparative quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR, 1 μg of DNase-treated (TURBO DNA-free, Ambion Inc, TX) total RNA was reverse-transcribed with oligo(dT)20 at 50°C for 1 h using the ThermoScript RT-PCR System (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed using the Stratagene MX3005P QPCR System (La Jolla, CA) along with the PlatinumSYBR green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen). Reactions volumes of 20 μl contained 10 μl of Platinum SYBRgreen qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), 50 nM ROX reference dye, 0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, and 2.5 μl of 1:10 diluted cDNA template. Primer sequences are available in Table S4. Thermal cycling consisted of an initial incubation step at 50°C for 2 minutes and a denaturation step of 95°C for 10 minutes. This was followed by 40 cycles of 95°C/15 seconds, 50°C/30 seconds, 72°C/30 seconds. Gene expression was quantified by comparative Ct method, normalizing values to the housekeeping gene TBP. All assays were performed in duplicate.

Immunoblotting and immunohistochemistry

Protein extraction and immunoblotting were carried out essentially as previously described [2] with primary antibodies to β-catenin (Cell signalling), α-tubulin (Sigma) and the γ-PCDH constant region (Greg Phillips, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, USA). This pan-γ-PCDH antibody is a characterised affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal against a GST-fusion protein containing 124 amino-acids. Briefly, tissues were boiled in 0.8 M urea, pH 6.4, followed by paraformaldehyde. Antigen retrieval of deparaffinised sections was performed by microwaving in 0.8 M urea, pH 6.4, followed by a 15 minute incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide. The sections were then blocked with 5% non-fat milk in PBS (pH 7.4) for an hour. Protein bands were visualised with ECL Plus reagents (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

For immunohistochemistry, 5 μm sections of CBA x C57Bl/6 F2 mouse P0 neonatal kidney were fixed for 16 hrs in 4% paraformaldehyde. Antigen retrieval of deparaffinised sections was performed by microwaving in 0.8 M urea, pH 6.4, followed by indirect immunoperoxidase staining using the Elite ABC kit (Rabbit IgG; Vectastain) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primary antibody dilutions were 1:100 for WT1 (6FH2, Dako), and 1:200 for pan γ-PCDH. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin. Negative control sections omitted the primary antibody.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Analysis of aberrant methylation in Wilms’ tumours using Nimblegen Refseq promoter HG18 tiling arrays. (A) The MeDIP-chip workflow used to analyse genome-wide methylation. Methylated DNA purified from normal and tumour DNAs was amplified using ligation-mediated PCR (LM-PCR), labelled and hybridised with promoter microarrays (B) Real-time RT-PCR of MeDIP-enriched tumour DNAs (upper). Enrichment was validated using primers specific to a non-CGI sequence within the TBP gene (grey bars), the constitutively methylated H19 imprinting control region (black bars), and selective enrichment of the methylated RASSF1 5′-CGI in a methylated and unmethylated tumour (open bars). MeDIP DNA was quantified relative to input DNA. The lower panel shows COBRA confirmation of H19 imprinting control region and the RASSF1 5′-CGI methylation status. Arrowheads show methylated (M) and unmethylated (UM) DNA fragments; presence or absence of restriction enzyme is indicated (+/−). (C) The tumour (T) versus normal fetal kidney (N) signal ratio (y-axis) from 17,777 promoter-associated probes are plotted according to their physical location on chromosome 5 (x-axis) for 5 WT. Hypermethylation at 5q31 is indicated by the vertical arrow.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.s001 (4.67 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Methylation analysis of genes neighbouring the chromosome 5q31 PCDH cluster. Arrowheads show methylated (M) and unmethylated (UM) DNA fragments; presence or absence of restriction enzyme is indicated (+/−). (A) Distal neighbours of the clustered PCDHs in normal and tumour tissues. COBRA analysis of CD14, TMCO6, NDUFA2 and WDR53 5′-CGIs (located −153, −147, −139, and −119 kbp upstream of the PCDH clusters, respectively), FK, 22-week fetal kidney; WT, five pooled WT DNAs. (B) 5′-CGI methylation analysis of the non-clustered PCDH1 gene (located 366 kbp downstream of the PCDH clusters) was carried out on eleven WT US using COBRA. 22-week foetal kidney, FK; FK2, 16-week foetal kidney.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.s002 (1.85 MB TIF)

Figure S3 Methylation analysis of PCDHb6 in WT precursor lesions. (A) COBRA analysis of PCDHb6 in DNA extracted from fetal kidney (FK), WT, and associated perilobar nephrogenic rests (NR). T, Wilms’ tumours. Arrowheads show methylated (M) and unmethylated (UM) DNA fragments; presence or absence of restriction enzyme is indicated (+/−). M+, in vitro methylated DNA. (B) Bisulfite sequencing analysis. Black circles represent methylated CpGs and white circles represent unmethylated CpGs.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.s003 (1.22 MB TIF)

Figure S4 PCDH hypermethylation in stromal-predominant Wilms’ tumours. COBRA was carried out for PCDHAG3, PCDHAG4, and HDAC3. Arrowheads show methylated (M) and unmethylated (UM) DNA fragments; presence or absence of restriction enzyme is indicated (+/−). M+, in vitro methylated DNA.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.s004 (2.02 MB TIF)

Figure S5 Pharmacological demethylation of WiT19 cells. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR of 5q31 transcripts, mock-treated (+) or 5-azacytidine treated (+) cells. Grey bars indicate genes associated with hypermethylated CGIs, white bars represent genes with CpG islands with no detectable methylation and black bars are used for SLC25A2. HPRT is an X-chromosome housekeeping control gene. DIAPH1 and HDAC3 are located on chromosome 5q31 outside the LRES. Expression data for 3 PCDHb@ genes and 4 PCDHg@ genes is shown relative to TBP, together with SLC25A2 and TAF7 genes, which are located within the LRES. Induction of the WT hypermethylated control genes RASSF1 and H19 is also shown.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000745.s005 (2.41 MB TIF)
cells demonstrated using COBRA analysis. Arrowheads show methylated (M) and unmethylated (UM) DNA fragments; presence or absence of restriction enzyme is indicated (+/-).

**Figure S7** Suppression of colony formation is not dependent on non-specific toxicity of transfected genes. Mutant β-catenin (Y33, tyrosine at amino-acid 33) expression does not suppress colony formation in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were transfected with CTNNB1 cDNA cloned in the same expression vector (pcDNA3.1/Zeo) as PCDH constructs. After selection and staining, plates were photographed and colony counts determined for each transfection. Representative plates (above) and mean colony counts (below) are shown. Verification of β-catenin protein expression after transfection is shown by immunoblots below the histograms, together with tubulin to control loading.

**Figure S8** Kidneys of heterozygous Pcdhgα mutant mice show no malformations (see Text S1). Histology of three-month old wild-type and heterozygous littermates (het, n = 3) was examined on cryosections. Staining of adjacent wild-type (wt, n = 2) and heterozygous kidneys appeared normal and showed no malformations. Scale bars = 100 μm. Higher magnifications, findings were comparable in three-month old wild-type and heterozygous literatures and displayed normal cytoarchitecture in aged heterozygous mice (boxed areas are shown in the right column, scale bars = 100 μm).

**Table S1** Wilms’ tumour hypermethylated genes identified by MeDIP-chip. Summary table of hypermethylated genes identified by MeDIP-chip in five Wilms’ tumours (P < 0.05). Ensembl gene ID, gene symbol, and co-ordinates by chromosome and gene start (HG18 genome build) are given. The frequency of hypermethylation is given in the final column. Blanks in the Gene name column represent RefSeq unannotated genes.

**Table S2** COBRA methylation summary. Methylation data from normal and tumour samples ascertained by combined bisulphite restriction analysis (COBRA). U, unmethylated; M, predominantly methylated; m, partially methylated. L and R, tumours of the left and right kidneys, respectively.

**Table S3** Clinical details of nephrogenic rests and Wilms’ tumours. Age at diagnosis: m, age in months. Histology: FH, favorable histology; UH, unfavorable histology; TR, triphasic; B, blastemal predominant; E; epithelial predominant; S, stromal predominant; A, anaplastic; T, teratoid; R, regressive. Outcome: A, alive; R, relapsed; D, died. WT1 mutation: Y, yes; N, no. WT1 mutation details: G/L, germline. Blank entry, not done.

**Table S4** Oligonucleotide primers used in this study.

**Text S1** Supporting information methods.
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