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Various single elements form incommensurate crystal structures under pressure, where a zeolite-type “host” sublattice surrounds a “guest” sublattice comprising 1D chains of atoms. On “chain melting”, diffraction peaks from the guest sublattice vanish, while those from the host remain. Diffusion of the guest atoms is expected to be confined to the channels in the host sublattice, which suggests 1D melting. Here, we present atomistic simulations of Potassium to investigate this phenomenon, and demonstrate that the chain-melted phase has no long-ranged order either along or between the chains. This 3D disorder provides the extensive entropy necessary to make the chain melt a true thermodynamic phase of matter, yet with the unique property that diffusion remains confined to 1D only. Calculations necessitated the development of an interatomic forcefield using machine learning, which we show fully reproduces Potassium’s phase diagram, including the chain-melted state and 14 known phase transitions.

Melting can be defined in many ways: inability to sustain shear, disappearance of long range order, internal flow of particles, or transition to a condensed state with lower free energy than any crystal. The molten state can coexist with the solid, normally by spatial separation, but in some unusual states of matter interpenetration of two macroscopic components occurs, creating a single phase. Superfluid He is one example, with a Bose condensate coexisting with “normal” liquid. Another example is the superionic state (1–4), where the lighter sublattice of a solid loses long range order and diffuses freely in three dimensions like a charged liquid, while the heavier sublattice remains crystalline. In the high pressure phases of many metals, a subset of certain Bragg peaks disappear on heating: a phenomenon described as “chain melting”, the inverted commas indicating ongoing uncertainty as to whether this is a transition to another new phase of matter (5–7); a similar phenomenon is seen in some compounds at ambient pressure (8, 9).

The elemental phases that show this behavior are incommensurate host-guest (HG) structures – perhaps the most striking manifestation of the alkali elements’ departure from the simple metal picture under compression (5, 10–22), and also seen in various other elements under pressure (23–27). HG structures (see Figure 1) consist of two sublattices with 1D atomic “guest” chains located in channels within a zeolite-type “host” structure. They have been observed for Sodium (above 125 GPa), Potassium (20 GPa), and Rubidium (17 GPa), with further complex phases appearing at higher pressure. The host structure is identical in all three, but the guest lattices have different symmetries: Sodium’s is monoclinic, whereas Potassium’s and Rubidium’s are tetragonal, with different symmetries. Common features of HG structures are the formation of relatively large crystals through recrystallization, and a drop in reflectivity (28–30).

When HG structures are heated, diffraction peaks from the “guest” sublattice can disappear, the signature of “chain melting”. The conventional melting line also has a minimum around the pressures where the HG and other electride phases (with valence electrons localised in interstitial space) exist. Exactly how the atoms move in the chain-melted phase is unclear. The diffraction data could be explained by chains losing long range 1D order along their length, by 2D disorder with chains sliding independently along the c-direction, by 3D disorder with both these processes occurring, or even by superionic diffusion of the former guest atoms between chains in 3D.

Although the chain-melting has been described as low dimensional (6), Potassium occupies all three dimensions so the thermodynamic situation is different from 1D and 2D model systems. Purely 2D melting (31, 32) such as the XY model or 1D transitions which tend to be non-equilibrium (33) balance energy and entropy which are both extensive. For the HG structures however, if only correlation between chains is lost, the per-atom energy cost will always outweigh the per-chain entropic term in the thermodynamic limit. Similarly, if order...
is only lost along chains, the 3D per-atom energy cost will outweigh the 1D entropy. So the chain melt can only be a thermodynamic phase of matter if order is lost both along and between chains simultaneously. This cannot be unambiguously determined from the loss of diffraction peaks, and accurate calorimetry is impossible at these temperatures and pressures.

Thus it remains unclear whether the chain-melted phase is thermodynamically stable. In this paper we address this issue by using a variety of simulation methods to investigate the dimensionality of chain melting in the HG phases with respect to the atomic motion, correlation and disorder. The non-trivial electronic structure of the HG phases, with their partial electride nature, suggests that first-principles descriptions are required. These, however, severely limit the size of the melting simulations. To overcome the finite size effects, we use machine learning to train a classical atomic interaction potential, which we then use to study the chain melted state, but which also describes the rest of Potassium’s phase diagram very well. Recent developments in x-ray diagnostics of dynamic compression experiments allow confirmation of HG phase formation on the nanosecond time scale; (7) atomistic simulations of the shock propagation through such a material rely on a potential that is transferrable across all relevant phases. (34–38)

Potassium as an exemplar system

In diamond anvil cell experiments, Potassium under compression transforms, like other simple metals, from BCC to FCC. Above 19 GPa these simple structures become unstable against highly complex structures. The first of these, K-III, is the host-guest phase. At higher pressures, Potassium transforms further to a sequence of electrode structures (17, 39). The HG structure K-III itself has two phase transformations (K-IIIa → K-IIIb → K-IIIa) within the guest structure alone. (19) Potassium enters the tetragonal K-IIIa structure at 20 GPa at room temperature, with all guest chains perfectly aligned along the c-axis, forming the simplest of the HG structures. At 30 GPa K-IIIa transforms to K-IIIb where every other chain along the α-axis is shifted by half the guest atom spacing, in a striped formation, thus doubling the guest unit cell. A related structure, Rb-IV, shifts the chains in a checkerboard fashion, also doubling the unit cell. Figure 1 sketches the chain alignments in the different structures. At 38 GPa the K-IIIa structure re-enters the phase diagram and at 54 GPa K-III is succeeded by the oP8 structure. (39)

At room temperature the relative guest chain positions are well correlated throughout the crystal, evidenced by the diffraction spots for the guest-structures IIIa and IIIb. Upon heating, the “guest” X-ray diffraction peaks have been observed to become diffuse, signalling a loss of long range order. The loss of the guest diffraction peaks has been mapped out experimentally on heating and cooling, and associated with so-called “chain melting” where the interchain position become uncorrelated. However, the atomic-level nature of the higher temperature phase is not fully understood. The full melt line for the HG structure has also not yet been determined either in experiment or calculation.

The ground state energetics of Potassium’s phases is well described by density functional theory (DFT). The delicate features of the K-III phases in particular have been discussed in detail recently (22), with the incommensurability treated by interpolation between rational approximant models for the HG axial ratio. The energetics showed incommensurate HG c-axis ratios $c_g/c_o$ between 1.60-1.67 for the most stable forms of the HG structure, and reproduced its pressure dependence, including a turnover of the HG axial ratio and the reentrant stability of K-IIIa. (19) Static calculations imply that the at intermediate pressures the Rb-IV structure, and not K-IIIb, is most stable. However, including vibrational zero-point energies and entropies within the harmonic approximation reverses their energetic order and stabilises K-IIIb, in agreement with the experimental situation (see the SI Appendix for details).

This work provides a suitable starting point for molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, with a particular focus on phase transitions in the HG structure’s sublattices. However, although DFT describes the energetics correctly, it is too computationally demanding to reliably study long range correlations, longer timescales and thermodynamic phase stability. These challenges can be overcome with model forcefields of sufficient accuracy. Since HG phases appear at high pressures and temperatures, the demands on the forcefield are considerable: to capture the full phase diagram of Potassium up to 60 GPa, reproducing phase stabilities (of phases with quite different electronic characters), phase transitions, HG chain dynamics and melting, and the melt line. However, these are all structural transitions defined by the atomic positions, and so an interatomic forcefield is well suited for this task. We therefore first produced a set of ab-initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) trajectories in the NVT ensemble up to $P \approx 60$ GPa and $T = 1500$ K – see the SI Appendix for details of the calculations and the resulting phase diagram. We then used this database to develop a machine-learned interatomic forcefield (see Methods and the SI Appendix). This allowed for machine-learned molecular dynamics (MLMD) with fully converged statistics (41). The forcefield was validated by using MLMD in the NVT ensemble to calculate the (P,T) phase diagram of Potassium up to 50 GPa and 1000 K. The agreement with experiment, including all observed phases and the melting curve maximum and minimum (42), is remarkable, see Figure 2.
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Between 0 and 20 GPa, we use the forcefield to find the solid–solid phase transition between BCC and FCC, by starting from FCC and heating in MD. The model also reproduces the melting line’s distinct features, with a maximum and subsequent minimum, at very similar pressures to those seen in experiment, and slightly higher temperatures. Such slight superheating is typical of our method of heat-until-it-melts.

Above 20 GPa the K-IIIa phase is stable and the melting line steeply increases, in line with experimental data. Single-phase simulations started in FCC or K-IIIa remained in their initial structure, indicating that this transformation is kinetically difficult, however the phase boundary can be mapped using phase coexistence calculations.

Between 20 and 44 GPa the simulations found either the K-IIIa or oP8 structure at low temperatures, though the IIIa→IIIb→IIIa transition pressures (26, 34 GPa) are slightly lower than seen in room temperature experiment (30, 38 GPa). This pressure difference is also observed in static DFT(22) and may represent experimental hysteresis since the experiments were done by increasing pressure. Curiously, the AIMD simulations in the intermediate pressure range (28 GPa in particular) settle into the Rb-IV guest structure, likely due to finite size effects and limited simulation time, whereas he MLMD simulations, trained on this AIMD data, instead produce the K-IIIb structure – in agreement with experiment and vibrational entropy considerations. At higher temperatures simulations starting in IIIa or IIIb exhibit chain melting, closely following the experimental situation. It is possible that varying the host-guest ratio may alter this transition pressure and chain melting temperature. Further heating produces a fully molten liquid around 780 K at 36 GPa. The initially very steep melting line of K-III flattens out considerably at pressures above 32 GPa, so much so that our data can not rule out a second melting maximum, before the melting line of the oP8 structure increases again.

Above 40 GPa simulations settled in the stable phase of IIIa or oP8, with a transition pressure between the two around 45 GPa at T = 300 K. The direct transformation between IIIa and oP8 was observed in MLMD. This is again slightly lower than the experimental pressure of between 50 and 55 GPa (43) and higher than the static calculation result of 39 GPa, however the simulated data achieves the correct Clapeyron slope, calculated from the latent heat and volume differences in the ground state.

The guest structure transitions can be probed by sliding adjacent guest chains against each other. To produce K-III, K-IIIb, or Rb-IV structures. Figure 3 shows the energy cost (or gain) involved in transforming IIIa to either IIb or Rb-IV, and back, as determined from DFT. This shows that the K-IIIa structure is preferred at both low and high pressures. The Rb-IV structure becomes favoured around 25 GPa, and remains thus until about 33 GPa. The K-IIIb structure, meanwhile, is almost energetically degenerate with K-IIIa around 30 GPa.

If “chain melting” were related to loss of order between chains only, the chain melting temperature should correlate with the energy barriers shown in Figure 3. In particular, chain melting should happen at very low temperatures around 26-30 GPa, where the energy cost to slide chains against each other almost vanishes. This is not what is seen in experiment or in our calculations, and we will explore the nature of the chain melted phase in more detail now.

**The chain melted phase**

We simulated the HG phase with MD using both *ab initio* (AIMD) and machine learned (MLMD) forces, with a particular focus on flow and de-correlation within the guest structure. At low temperatures guest chains are ordered with a certain pressure dependent symmetry (IIIa, IIIb). However, the guest...
lattices in K-III are incommensurate with the host, so they should be able to move relative to the host lattice with no energy penalty, producing a zero-frequency phonon mode. In Figure 4 we show that even at 200 K, well below the chain melting temperature at 40 GPa, the guest atoms do indeed slide freely along the c-direction. However, both host and guest structure remain solid, the guest lattice in particular retains intrachain and interchain correlations (see also a movie in the SI Appendix). A standard measure of melting, the mean squared displacement of atoms, already diverges well below the chain-melting line. Instead, to detect and describe the chain melting transition, and to discern between K-IIIa, K-IIIb and Rb-IV, we define spatial correlation functions along chains, \( \sigma_z \), and between chains, \( \sigma_{xy} \), see Methods for details.

For a solid guest lattice, both \( \sigma_z \) and \( \sigma_{xy} \) should show long range order along \( z \) and perpendicular, along \( r \). Above the chain melting transition, \( \sigma_{xy} \) will detect loss of order between chains, and \( \sigma_z \) loss of order along chains. Moreover, \( \sigma_{xy}(r, z) \) can distinguish between the solid K-IIIa phase on one hand (where peaks appear at \( z = n \cdot c_g \)) and the K-IIIb or Rb-IV structure on the other hand (where peaks are at \( z = (n+\frac{1}{2}) c_g \)).

In Figure 5 we show \( \sigma_{xy}(r, z) \) from MLMD simulations at 28 GPa and two different temperatures. At 200 K the guest structure is solid and the peak positions in \( \sigma_{xy} \) are consistent with the K-IIIb structure (see the SI Appendix for simulations of \( \sigma_{xy} \) for the different guest structures). At 500 K the peaks along \( z \) have vanished, indicating loss of correlation between guest chains. Lines along \( r \) remain, as chain atoms remain confined to their respective host channels.

In Figure 6 we show the radially integrated correlation function \( \langle \sigma_{xy}(z) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \sigma_{xy}(r, z) dr \) at 22, 28, and 40 GPa, and the intrachain correlation function \( \sigma_z(z) \) at 40 GPa. The former illustrates how the chain melt can be clearly seen in the loss of interchain correlation. For \( \sigma_z \), any practical ab-initio simulation shows order throughout the supercell. However, the MLMD simulation allowed us to simulate chains of lengths up to 100 atoms. Figure 6 shows long range oscillatory order at low temperatures, but exponentially decaying short range order above the chain melting temperature. This shows that in the chain melted state there is short-ranged order along the chains with a range greater than any \( ab-initio \) supercell size, and also much longer than the inter-chain correlation length, but that the long range behaviour is uncorrelated disorder. The simulations have a fixed number of atoms per chain, but a variable number would only enhance the disorder.

One characteristic of a normal liquid is the loss of shear rigidity. In MD, this can be measured from the stress-strain relation, from stress fluctuations or from strain fluctuations. In the chain melted phase, the first two methods give the combined rigidity of the host and guest, however strain can be defined and measured independently on each sublattice. We find divergent strain fluctuations, as measured by mean-squared atomic displacement in the \( z \)-direction. The shear rigidity within the guest lattice is lost, but only along the \( z \)-direction. Thus atoms can flow freely through the chain melt, but only in one direction.

Conclusions
We investigated chain melting in Potassium as an exemplar for other chain-melted materials, and for behaviour of other host-guest materials under pressure. We demonstrate that the chain melt is a novel phase of matter. The “chain-melt phase” is unlike a normal liquid since one sublattice remains ordered. It is unlike superionic matter because of the unique property that while liquid-like atomic diffusion occurs, exchange of particles between chains is impossible.

The phase diagram of Potassium was investigated up to 60 GPa and 1000 K using AIMD and MLMD methods. As expected, the \( ab-initio \) results reproduce the experimental low-temperature stability, and for the incommensurate HG phase showed free motion and disordering of the guest chains in 2D in small cells at finite temperature. The classical forcefield has only atomic degrees of freedom, while the electrode phases have non-atom centred electrons. Nevertheless, the forcefield was also able to describe the entire phase diagram including multiple phases, melting and chain melting across the entire relevant phase space. Moreover, it enable large enough sim-
We then varied the offset $\delta : 0 \rightarrow 1 = (z_1 - z_2) / c_g$, relaxing all other (host and guest) atoms for each value of $\delta$.

Ab initio Molecular Dynamics. Simulations ran at fixed density with a Nose-Hoover thermostat, using up to 192 atoms for K-IIIa with a 0.75 fs timestep, Berendsen equilibration for 1 ps and up to 10 ps sampling. To simulate the entire (P,T) phase space, AIMD simulations of Potassium were performed using 128-atom supercells for BCC (1, 3, 6 GPa), 108-atom FCC (9, 12 GPa), 116-atom K-III using a 15h-9g (1.67) approximant (20, 22, 24, 40, 50 GPa) and 8h-5g (1.60) approximant at 28 GPa, 96-atom $\alpha$FPs (40, 44, 50, 56 GPa). AIMD were run from $T = 200$ K in steps of at most $200$ K up to $T = 1500$ K.

Machine Learning the Forces. In practice, interatomic forcefields are based on some functional form appropriate to the bonding, which may vary from phase to phase. This makes it very unusual for a single forcefield to correctly describe multiple phases. Here, the forcefield is trained used the AIMD forces from four phases, and atom-centered symmetry function descriptors (or fingerprints) of the local chemical environment(47, 48). Three types of descriptors are used: pairwise, three-body and local density. These descriptors are mapped into the corresponding atomic forces with the kernel ridge regression (KRR) method, capable of handling complex nonlinear relationships (49). KRR works on the principle of similarity, wherein, the $\mu$ component of the atomic force on atom $i$ is given by an average over the reference configurations ($t$), weighted by their similarity to $i$. We use a Gaussian kernel

$$F_i = \sum_n \alpha t \cdot e^{-\frac{||V_i - V_t||^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

where $t$ labels each reference atomic environment and $V_t$ is its corresponding fingerprint. $\alpha_t$ and $\sigma$ are the weight coefficients and length-scale parameter, respectively. The optimal values for $\alpha_t$ and $\sigma$ are determined during a training process involving cross-validation and regularization methods, using the full set of AIMD data. The forcefield (Eq. 1) was then integrated into the LAMMPS code (50) for the MLMD simulations.

Machine Learned Molecular Dynamics. The simulations were performed using periodic boundary conditions and a time step of 1 fs. To reproduce the entire temperature-pressure phase diagram, the MLMD simulations of K were conducted by including 16000-atom supercells for BCC (1-10 GPa), 16384-atom FCC (12-20 GPa), 1536-atom K-III using a 15h-9g (1.67) approximant (20-24GPa and 34-44 GPa) and 8h-5g (1.60) at 26-32 GPa, and 360-atom $\alpha$FPs (44-50 GPa), and were run from $T = 200$ K to $T = 900$ K.

As for the correlation function calculation, the inter-chain correlation $\langle \sigma_{xy} \rangle$ is calculated based on 1536-atom K-III supercells; the intra-chain correlation $\sigma_z$ at 40 GPa (Figure 6) uses 2320-atom supercells with 2 chains; and the 2D chain correlation function $\sigma_{xy}$ at 28 GPa (Figure 5) is obtained from a supercell with 20736 atoms and 144 chains.

For each simulation, the K sample was generated at the appropriate density and held at selected temperatures with NVT annealing for up to 50000 steps.

The chain structure can be monitored using the chain correlation functions $\sigma_z (z)$ and $\sigma_{xy} (r, z)$.

$$\sigma_z (\Delta z) \equiv \left( \sum_i \sum_j \delta (z_i - z_j - \Delta z) \right)$$
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is the correlation function between the z coordinates of atoms within the same chain \((n\) numbers the chain in the simulation box, and \(i, j\) atoms within the \(n\)-th chain). Likewise,

\[
\sigma_{xy}(\Delta r, \Delta z) = \left( \sum_{n \neq m} \sum_{i, j} \delta(z_{ni} - z_{mj} - \Delta z) \right) \delta\left( \sqrt{(x_{ni} - x_{mj})^2 + (y_{ni} - y_{mj})^2 - \Delta r} \right)
\]

is the correlation function between atoms in different chains; \(n\) and \(m\) are indices of the chains, and \(i\) and \(j\) label the guest atoms within the \(n\)-th and \(m\)-th chain.
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