Expression of early growth response protein 1 in vasopressin neurones of the rat anterior olfactory nucleus following social odour exposure
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The anterior olfactory nucleus (AON), a component of the main olfactory system, is a cortical region that processes olfactory information and acts as a relay between the main olfactory bulbs and higher brain regions such as the piriform cortex. Utilizing a transgenic rat in which an enhanced green fluorescent protein reporter gene is expressed in vasopressin neurones (eGFP-vasopressin), we have discovered a population of vasopressin neurones in the AON. These vasopressin neurones co-express vasopressin V1 receptors. They also co-express GABA and calbindin-D28k indicating that they are neurochemically different from the newly described vasopressin neurons in the main olfactory bulb. We utilized the immediate early gene product, early growth response protein 1 (Egr-1), to examine the functional role of these vasopressin neurons in processing social and non-social odours in the AON. Exposure of adult rats to a conspecific juvenile or a heterospecific predator odour leads to increases in Egr-1 expression in the AON in a subregion specific manner. However, only exposure to a juvenile increases Egr-1 expression in AON vasopressin neurons. These data suggest that vasopressin neurones in the AON may be selectively involved in the coding of social odour information.
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Introduction

Complex social behaviour in vertebrates, from sexual behaviour to the maintenance of social hierarchies, involves individual recognition. In rodents, recognition is primarily facilitated by olfactory information (Brennan & Kendrick, 2006; Sanchez-Andrade & Kendrick, 2009). Vasopressin modulates social recognition at the level of the olfactory bulbs (Dluzen et al. 1998a; Tobin et al. 2010) and brain regions such as the lateral septum (Dantzner et al. 1988; Bielsky et al. 2005), and, in turn, regulates social behaviours such as aggression (Ferris & Potegal, 1988; Blanchard et al. 2005), pair-bonding (Winslow et al. 1993), and parental behaviour (Parker & Lee, 2001; Bosch et al. 2010). Recent stuhave also linked vasopressin signalling to human social behaviour (Coccaro et al. 1998; Thompson et al. 2004; Bachner-Melman et al. 2005; Thompson et al. 2006; Knafo et al. 2008; Walum et al. 2008; Meyer-Lindenberg et al. 2009), as well as neurological disorders such as autism (Kim et al. 2002; Wassink et al. 2004; Yirmiya et al. 2006; Meyer-Lindenberg et al. 2009).

The AON, an olfactory cortex located just caudal to the olfactory bulbs, acts as a relay between the main olfactory bulbs and higher brain regions such as the piriform cortex, and between the left and right AONs (Haberly & Price, 1978a,b; Mohedano-Moriano et al. 2005; Illig & Eudy, 2009). The AON is segregated into five different subdivisions, each with topographically relevant connections and differential expression of neurochemicals and receptors (reviewed in Brunjes et al. 2005). The behavioural relevance of the AON has been only rudimentarily explored. The dorsal, lateral and posteroventral subdivisions of the rat AON (AONd, AONl,
and AONpv respectively) all express the protein products of immediate early genes following exposure to predator odour (Staples et al. 2005, 2008a,b), with the AONi potentially playing a role in olfactory recognition (Staples et al. 2008a). Immediate early gene expression in the AON also increases in anoestrous female ewes in the presence of a ram or ram’s fleece (Gelez & Fabre-Nys, 2006), suggesting that this region is also involved in the processing of social odour information in other species. Vasopressin V1 receptor binding (Vallet et al. 1995; Schorscher-Petcu et al. 2009) and V1a receptor mRNA expression (Szot et al. 1994) occur in the anterior olfactory nucleus (AON), suggesting that vasopressin signalling in this area may also be important in the processing of social stimuli.

We have discovered a new population of vasopressin neurones distributed across multiple subdivisions of the AON by utilizing a transgenic rat line in which an enhanced green fluorescent protein reporter gene is expressed specifically in vasopressin cells (eGFP-vasopressin) (Ueta et al. 2005). We have characterised these neurons based on numerous other chemical markers. As the rostral migratory stream, by which new neurones migrate into the olfactory bulb, lies within the AON, we have also utilized bromodeoxyuridine (BrDU) to determine if these vasopressin neurones, as well as those previously identified in the olfactory bulb, are continually produced in the adult rat. Finally, in view of the established role of vasopressin in social recognition, we have quantified Egr-1 expression in these neurones in adult rats following exposure to social and non-social odours.

**Methods**

**Experimental animals**

All procedures were carried out in accordance with guidelines defined by the UK Home Office and all efforts were made to minimize the numbers of rats used (Drummond, 2009). Adult wild-type or homozygous eGFP-vasopressin Sprague-Dawley rats were housed in same sex groups prior to experimental manipulation.

**Tissue preparation**

Rats were deeply anaesthetised with pentobarbital (1–2 ml I.P.) and transcardially perfused with 200 ml of 0.9% saline and heparin (5000 U ml\(^{-1}\)) followed by 300 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) or 4% paraformaldehyde + 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB (only for tissue treated with either GABA or glutamate antibodies). Brains were removed and cryoprotected at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde and 15% sucrose in 0.1 M PB for 48 h. Brains were then frozen on dry ice and stored at −70°C. Sections were cut with a freezing microtome at 40 μm (for immunofluorescence) or 52 μm (for immunohistochemistry).

**Immunofluorescence – characterization of vasopressin neurones in AON**

Immunohistochemical protocols were based on Tobin et al. (2010). Horizontal sections from six eGFP-vasopressin rats were distributed so that representative sections (dorsal, medial and ventral) from at least three rats were contained in each well exposed to each combination of primary antibodies. Free-floating sections to be incubated with biotinylated secondaries were placed in 0.001% avidin (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) in 0.1 M PB for 30 min, rinsed in 0.1 M PB, then placed in 0.001% biotin (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 M PB for 30 min. All sections were then washed in 0.1 M PB and incubated for 60 min at room temperature in a blocking buffer consisting of 10% normal serum (matching host animal of secondary antibody) + 0.2% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PB (PB-T). Sections were then incubated in either a rabbit anti-eGFP polyclonal antibody (1:1000; AB3080, Millipore, Hertfordshire, UK) or mouse anti-eGFP monoclonal antibody (1:1000; MAB3580 Millipore, Hertfordshire, UK) and one other primary antibody (mouse anti-calbindin D-28K: 1:500, 6B3, Swant; mouse anti-vasopressin receptor V1a: 1:500, 300, Swant, Bellinzona, Switzerland; mouse anti-calretinin: 1:500, 6B3, Swant; mouse anti-GABA receptor V1b: 1:500, 6B3, Swant; mouse anti-glutamat: 1:5000, 2D7, Swant; mouse anti-vasopressin: 1:1000, PS41, Prof. H. Gainer, NIH, Bethesda, MD; goat anti-vasopressin receptor V1a: 1:50, Sc-1896, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany; rabbit anti-vasopressin receptor V1b: 1:500, 905-750-100, Cambridge Bioscience Ltd, Cambridge, UK; mouse anti-oxytocin 1:1000, PS38, Prof. H. Gainer; rabbit anti-oxytocin: 1:100, PC226L, Merk Chemicals Ltd, Nottingham, UK) first for 60 min at room temperature then 48 h at 4°C. After washing in 0.1 M PB, sections were incubated for 60 min at room temperature with an Alexa 488 labelled secondary antibody (1:500, Invitrogen Ltd, Paisley, UK) to label eGFP-vasopressin neurones and either a biotylated goat anti-rabbit (1:500, BA-1000), horse anti-mouse (1:500, BA-2001), or horse anti-goat (1:500, BA-9500, Vector Laboratories Ltd, Peterborough, UK), or Alexa 568 labelled secondary antibody (1:500, Invitrogen Ltd, Paisley, UK) to label the other antigen of interest. Sections incubated with the biotinylated secondary antibody were washed and incubated for 60 min in Alexa 568–streptavidin conjugate (1:500; S11226, Invitrogen). All primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer. Sections were mounted using a Mowiol 4–88 based (Calbiochem,
USA) mounting medium, supplemented with 2.5% DABCO (Sigma-Aldrich). eGFP immunoreactivity was not detectable in wild-type rats (data not shown) or when the primary antibody was omitted. Information regarding antibody specificity was provided by suppliers, but in addition we have tested the V1a and V1b antibodies in pituitary sections and, in agreement with previous studies, found no V1a, but V1b immunoreactivity in a subset of anterior pituitary cells (data not shown). In addition, we tested a rabbit polyclonal antibody to vasopressin (PC234L, Calbiochem, Merck Chemicals) and found the same pattern of immunoreactivity, i.e. immunoreactivity co-localised with PS41 and eGFP. Fluorescence signals were acquired using a Zeiss LSM510 Axiovert confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with argon–krypton lasers. Signals were acquired at 1024 × 1024 pixels, using a Zeiss Plan NeoFLUAR 1.4 NA ×63 oil-immersion objective. Emissions for Alexa 488 and Alexa 568 were obtained consecutively to avoid channel cross-talk. Images were captured with Zeiss Laser Scanning Systems LSM510 software and cropped and labelled in Adobe Photoshop or Illustrator. The pars externa and pars principalis (dorsal and lateral sub-regions) from at least three sections per primary antibody combination were examined to quantify numbers of eGFP-vasopressin cells positive or negative for calbindin-D-28K, calretinin, GABA, glutamate, vasopressin receptors V1a and V1b, vasopressin or oxytocin.

**Immunohistochemistry – characterization of vasopressin neurones in AON**

Sections from wild-type adult female rats were rinsed in 0.1 M PB, incubated in 1% BSA + 3% normal horse serum (NHS) in PB-T for 30 min, incubated in a mouse anti-vasopressin primary antibody (1:1000 in 0.1 M PB-T + 3% NHS + 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA); PS41, donated by Prof. H. Gainer) for 60 min at room temperature, then at 4°C for 36 h. Following PB-T washes, endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 0.3% H2O2 in 0.1 M PB for 20 min, then sections were washed in PB before being incubated in a biotinylated horse anti-mouse secondary antibody for 60 min (1:500 in 0.1 M PB-T + 1% BSA; BA-9500, Vector Laboratories). Sections were then washed in 0.1 M PB, incubated in 0.05 M acetic acid buffer for 10 min, incubated with R.T.U. horseradish-peroxidase-streapavidin for 30 min (Vector Laboratories), rinsed in 0.05 M acetic acid buffer, and visualized with diaminobenzidine (DAB) with nickel sulphate (adapted from Shu et al. 1988). The visualization reaction was terminated with 0.05 M acetic acid buffer and repeated washes of 0.1 M PB. Sections were then mounted, dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol, counter-stained with cresyl violet (1.25 g cresyl violet acetate and 0.75 ml glacial acetic acid per 250 ml of dH2O), and coverslipped.

**BrDU injections**

Three groups of eGFP-vasopressin rats (n = 7 per group) received daily injections of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU;1P., 50 mg kg⁻¹), a marker of cell division. Group 1 received BrdU for 4 days and were killed 14 days later, Group 2 received BrdU for 4 days and were killed 30 days later, and Group 3 received BrdU for 6 days and were killed 70 days later. All animals were transcardially perfused and brains were processed as described under *Tissue preparation* above.

**Immunofluorescence – BrDU**

The BrDU labelling protocol was based on Winner et al. (2002). Briefly, sections were incubated in 0.2% H2O2 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS: 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 M Tris–HCl, pH 7.5) for 30 min, then incubated in 50% formamide/2× SSC (SSC: 0.3 M NaCl, 0.03 M sodium citrate) at 65°C for 2 h, and washed in 2× SSC for 5 min. Sections were then incubated in 2 N HCl at 37°C for 30 min followed by a 2 × 5 min neutralisation wash in 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 8.5). Sections were then washed in TBS + 0.25% Triton X-100 (TBS-T) + 5% NHS for 30 min, followed by incubation with a mouse anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody (1:100 in TBS-T + 5% NHS; no. 11170376001, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) for 70 h at 4°C. Sections were then washed in TBS 3 × 5 min and incubated in a horse anti-mouse secondary conjugated to Texas Red (1:200; TI-2000, Vector Laboratories) in TBS-T with 5% NHS for 2 h at room temperature. Sections were then incubated in 0.1 M TBS-T + 5% normal goat serum (NGS) for 30 min, followed by a rabbit anti-eGFP polyclonal antibody (1:1000; AB3080, Chemicon, USA) + 5% NGS for 70 h at 4°C. Sections were then rinsed in 0.1 M TBS-T and incubated in a goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated to fluorescein (1:200; FI-2000, Vector Laboratories) in 0.1 M TBS-T with 5% NGS for 2 h at room temperature. Sections were mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen, USA), and coverslipped.

Three to four brain sections for each animal were examined for BrDU/eGFP-vasopressin co-localization on a Leica DMR light microscope equipped with fluorescence (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) under ×20, ×40 and ×100 objectives. Multiple images were captured in areas of the MOB and AON for each section where both signals were present in the same field of view. In addition, areas of interest were examined on a Leica TCS-NT confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems) under both ×40 and ×63 objectives, Z-stacks were acquired, red and green channels were obtained serially, and orthogonal photomicrographs were examined.
Odour stimulus test

Social odour tests were modified from Engelmann et al. (1995). Adult male \( (n = 14) \) and female \( (n = 14) \) rats were moved to single experimental cages 2 h prior to testing. Rats were presented with one of the following: (1) a novel juvenile rat for 4 min \( (n = 10) \), (2) a combination of non-social odours (mixed 1:1 in diethyl phthalate, 25 \( \mu l \) total volume, Sigma-Aldrich): \((R)-(−)-\)carvone (Merck, Ismaning, Germany), iso-amyacetate, allylcaproate, anethole (all Sigma-Aldrich) on a cotton bud placed into an air stream produced by a silent computer cooling fan and directed to the head of the animal for 4 min \( (n = 10) \), or (3) no olfactory stimulus (cage moved as in other trials, but no stimulus provided – i.e. cage disturbance, \( n = 8 \)). It has been previously shown that such non-social odours stimulate c-fos protein synthesis in several brain areas (Richter et al. 2005). Rats were transcardially perfused 70 min after the initiation of the test and tissue was processed for eGFP-vasopressin + Egr-1 immunohistochemistry as outlined below.

Predator odour test

Predator odour tests were modified from Staples et al. (2008b). Adult male \( (n = 14) \) and female \( (n = 14) \) rats were moved to single experimental cages 12 h prior to testing. Rats were presented with a 20 cm section of cat collar: (1) worn by a male domestic outdoor cat for 28 days \( (n = 10) \), or (2) impregnated with 30 \( \mu l \) of trimethylthiazoline (TMT; PheroTech Inc., Delta, BC, Canada), a constituent of fox faeces \( (n = 8) \), or (3) impregnated with a combination of non-social odours (mixed 1:100 in diethyl phthalate, otherwise as described above) for 60 min \( (n = 10) \). Rats had access to the collar piece during the course of the experiment. Rats were transcardially perfused 70 min after the initiation of the test and tissue was processed for eGFP-vasopressin + Egr-1 immunohistochemistry as outlined below.

Immunohistochemistry – eGFP-vasopressin + Egr-1

Immunohistochemical protocols were based on Meddle et al. (2007). Sections were rinsed in 0.1 M PB and incubated in 0.3% H2O2 in 0.1 M PB to block endogenous peroxidase activity. Sections were then incubated in 3% NGS in 0.1 M PBT for 30 min and incubated in a horse anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000; BA-1000, Vector Laboratories), followed by a 5 min rinse in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer, and incubation in 0.025% DAB with nickel sulphate, ammonium chloride and 0.03% H2O2 in 0.1 M sodium acetate. Sections were then washed in 0.1 M PB-T, blocked in 3% NHS in 0.1 M PB-T and incubated in a mouse anti-eGFP monoclonal antibody (1:500 in 0.1 M PB-T, vector Laboratories, Billerica, MA, USA) for 2 h at 4°C. Sections were then washed in 0.1 M PB-T and incubated in a goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:500 in 0.1 M PB-T + 3% NGS, BA-1000, Vector Laboratories) for 1 h at room temperature. Egr-1 was visualized using a Vectastain Elite ABC Kit (PK-6101; Vector Laboratories), followed by incubation in 0.025% DAB with 0.03% H2O2 in 0.1 M PB. Sections were mounted on gelatine-subbed slides, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and coverslipped using DPX (Sigma-Aldrich). eGFP immunoreactivity was not detectable in wild-type rats (data not shown) or when the primary antibody was omitted.

The AON and its subdivisions were identified on a Leica DMR light microscope under a ×5 objective using multiple rodent brain atlases (König & Klippel, 1963; Paxinos & Watson, 1998) and Brunjes et al. (2005). As the subdivisions of the AON change shape across the sagittal plane, and because the number of vasopressin neurons decreases medially within each subdivision, all cell counts were distributed evenly across the sagittal extent of each subdivision (Fig. 3A–F). It was not possible to delineate the pars ventroposterior (AONpv) and pars lateralis (AONl) in the sagittal plane, so these regions are collectively referred to as AONl. Egr-1-immunoreactivity was restricted to cell nuclei (black staining), while eGFP-vasopressin immunoreactivity was visualised as brown cytosolic staining (Fig. 3G and H). Total Egr-1 immunoreactivity was assessed in photos acquired on a Leica DMR light microscope under a ×20 objective, and captured with a Leica DFC490 digital camera and Leica Application Suite version 2.8.1 software. Areas within each photograph were sampled for total Egr-1 immunoreactivity utilizing sampling rectangles covering a majority of each respective AON subdivision, with the size of each sampling rectangle consistent in size and placement for each subdivision. Brown eGFP staining was filtered out utilizing the Threshold’Colour plugin (G. Landini; available from http://www.dentistry.bham.ac.uk/landinig/software) for ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).
USA). Photos were then transformed into black and white images and the total area covered by black (i.e. Egr-1 immunoreactivity) was calculated utilizing the same programs. Ratios of the number cells immunoreactive for both Egr-1 and eGFP-vasopressin to the total number of cells immunoreactive for eGFP-vasopressin were calculated, and are represented as activated:total in all graphs. Cell counts were conducted under a ×20 objective on a Leica DMR light microscope by one observer who was blind to treatment. Images were captured using a Leica DFC490 digital camera and Leica Application Suite version 2.8.1 software and were cropped and labelled in Adobe Photoshop or Illustrator. Overview photos of the AON (Fig. 3A–C) were stitched together from multiple adjoining images using PTGui Pro version 8.22 (New House Internet Services B.V., The Netherlands).

**Total vasopressin cell counts in the AON**

The total number of vasopressin cells in the AON was estimated according to the following methodology. The number of eGFP-vasopressin cells were counted in a subset of 52 μm sections across animals in the predator odour study. Sections were divided into sagittal regions indicative of the lateral to medial aspects of this brain area. The average number of eGFP-vasopressin cells in each sagittal region was multiplied by the total number of sections typical for that region. Cell counts were Abercrombie corrected to increase precision (Guillery, 2002).

**Statistical analysis**

Data that were normally distributed with homogeneous variance across treatment groups are represented with mean ± standard error of the mean measurements. Data were natural log transformed (ln transform) or ranked to achieve normality or homogeneous variance as necessary. Total Egr-1 immunoreactivity (area fraction) and ratios of the number of Egr-1 immunoreactive cells and eGFP-vasopressin immunoreactive cells to the total number of eGFP-vasopressin immunoreactive cells were compared with two factor ANOVA, with treatment and sex as factors. Comparisons of cells showing co-expression of neurochemical markers were made by comparing the percentages of immunopositive cells in each region counted in at least three sections from three rats. Statistical comparison was made using Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks. Data reported in the text represent the overall percentage in each region for all sections and cell numbers counted.

**Results**

**Characterization of eGFP-vasopressin neurones in the AON**

There are approximately 2240 ± 104 vasopressin cells in the pars externa and 3780 ± 142 cells in the pars principalis of each AON; the latter excludes some vasopressin cells that appear continuous with those within the pars principalis, but would normally be considered part of the piriform layer. Field Ca(III) cells were characterised by the expression of somatostatin and proopiomelanocortin (POMC) immunoreactivity, and corresponded to the AONl, AONd, and AONe subdivisions of the AON (Fig. 1A). In contrast, eGFP-vasopressin immunoreactivity was not found in the AONl, but was apparent in the AONd and AONe subdivisions of the AON (Fig. 1B–D). Egr-1 immunoreactivity was found in the AONl, AONd, and AONe subdivisions of the AON (Fig. 1B–D). Figure 1. Vasopressin neurones in the AON

Vasopressin neurones are found in all AON subdivisions in wild-type Sprague–Dawley rats (A; scale bar = 100 μm). eGFP-immunoreactivity mirrors vasopressin immunoreactivity in eGFP-vasopressin transgenic rats (B–D – AONl). BrDU labelling in the AON was typically found around the rostral migratory tract, while eGFP-vasopressin cells in the pars principalis were most often distributed in the superficial aspect of the deep cellular layer (Layer II). Vasopressin neurones were not co-localised with BrDU in the olfactory bulb (E and F – glomerular layer of the MOB; scale bar = 100 μm and 20 μm, respectively; F – arrow shows location of orthogonal view in the boxed inset) or in any region of the AON (G – lateral AON; scale bar = 20 μm), suggesting that vasopressin cells in the AON are not simply newly born neurones.
cortex. In all areas of the AON, every cell that was immunoreactive for eGFP was also immunoreactive for vasopressin in eGFP-vasopressin rats (Fig. 1B–D; AONE: n = 352 cells examined; AOND: n = 454; AONL: n = 482; AONE: n = 352; AOND: n = 454; AONL: n = 482), and the distribution and number of vasopressin immunoreactive cells in wild-type rats matches that seen in eGFP-vasopressin transgenics (Fig. 1A). eGFP-vasopressin cells are located in both the pars externa (AONE) and all subdivisions of the pars principalis, primarily in the superficial half of the deep cellular zone (Figs 1A, 3A–H). We found no sex differences in the number of eGFP-vasopressin cells per 52 µm section in AONL (males 285 ± 18, females 258 ± 13; F_{0.05,2,22} = 1.876, P = 0.185), AOND (males 140 ± 11, females 134 ± 9; F_{0.05,2,22} = 0.347, P = 0.562), AONm (males 85 ± 8, females 80 ± 7; F_{0.05,2,22} = 0.279, P = 0.603), or AONE (males 196 ± 7, females 167 ± 14; F_{0.05,2,22} = 1.663, P = 0.211). There was no treatment effect or significant sex × treatment interaction on the number of eGFP-vasopressin neurons for any AON subdivision (data not shown).

Although extensive BrDU labelling was detected in the olfactory bulb and along the rostral migratory tract, no vasopressin cells were labelled with BrDU, 14, 30, or 70 days post-injection, in the olfactory bulb (Fig. 1E and F). BrDU and eGFP-vasopressin immunoreactive cells were both found at the intersection of the glomerular and external plexiform layers of the main and accessory olfactory bulbs. In the AON, BrDU labelled cells (14 days: 132.9 ± 7.5; 30 days 126.1 ± 5.1; 70 days: 131.2 ± 5.0, mean three sections/seven animals per group) were typically located in and around the rostral migratory tract through the pars principalis, while eGFP-vasopressin cells were most often found in the superficial aspect of the deep cellular layer (Layer II). Extensive examination by confocal microscopy never demonstrated any co-localization of these two signals in the AON (0 of 7884 BrDU positive cells examined; AONL: n = 264; AONE: n = 331). In the AON, eGFP-vasopressin cells were all immunoreactive for GABA (Fig. 2M–O; AONE: n = 162; AOND: n = 204; AONL: n = 280), and none were immunoreactive for glutamate (Fig. 2P–Q, AONE: n = 183; AOND: n = 128; AONL: n = 81). Not all V1b, calbindin-D28k or GABA positive cells in the AON are immunoreactive for eGFP. No immunoreactivity to oxytocin was detected in any region of the AON (data not shown).

### Odour stimulus test

The AON is an olfactory cortex located just caudal to the olfactory bulbs (Fig. 3A–F). Egr-1 and eGFP-vasopressin immunoreactivity and co-localization of these signals were easily discernible in the pars externa and all subdivisions of the pars principalis as black nuclear and brown cytosolic staining, respectively (Fig. 3G–H). Egr-1 immunoreactivity was significantly different across treatments in AONL (F_{0.05,2,20} = 3.528, P < 0.05). Post hoc tests after the two factor ANOVA showed no pairwise differences between groups; however, there was no effect of sex (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.000, P = 0.987) or a sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.527, P = 0.598), a post hoc analysis following a one way ANOVA with the sexes lumped together showed that levels were higher in animals presented with a novel juvenile rat versus animals in control group (Fig. 3P). Egr-1 immunoreactivity did not vary by treatment (F_{0.05,2,20} = 1.184, P = 0.326) or sex (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.174, P = 0.681), nor was there a sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.156, P = 0.857) in AOND (Fig. 3Q). Egr-1 immunoreactivity did not vary by treatment (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.855, P = 0.440) or sex (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.278, P = 0.604), nor was there a sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2,20} = 0.692, P = 0.512) in AONm (Fig. 3R).

The ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells was significantly different across treatments in AONL (F_{0.05,2,22} = 7.368, P < 0.005; Fig. 3I). Post hoc tests showed that more vasopressin cells expressed Egr-1 in AONL after exposure to a novel juvenile rat than either a non-social odour or cage disturbance. There was no effect of sex (F_{0.05,1,22} = 1.135, P = 0.298) nor a significant sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,1,22} = 0.107, P = 0.899) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONL. The ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin

---

**Figure 2. Chemical characterization of vasopressin neurones in the AON**

Some vasopressin cells in the AON co-express V1aR (A–C) and all co-express V1bR (D–F). Vasopressin neurones in the AON express calbindin-D28k (G–I), but not calretinin (J–L) and are GABAergic (M–O), but not glutamatergic (P–R). Scale bars = 20 µm.
Figure 3. Immediate early gene expression (Egr-1) in vasopressin cells in the anterior olfactory nucleus following odour exposure

The AON is subdivided into the pars externa (AONE) and pars principalis. The pars principalis is composed of three subdivisions, the pars dorsalis (AOND), pars lateralis (AONL), and pars medialis (AONM) (A–C). Diagrammatic representations of the cellular layers of AON subdivisions are indicative of a typical adult male or female eGFP-vasopressin rat (D–F; MOB, main olfactory bulb; AOB, accessory olfactory bulb). Vasopressin cells were most regularly located along the superficial edge of the deep cellular layer of the pars principalis (G; scale bar = 50 μm). An eGFP-vasopressin+/Egr-1− (white arrow), a double labelled (grey diamond), and an eGFP-vasopressin−/Egr-1+ cell (black arrow) are shown (H; scale bar = 10 μm). Exposure to a conspecific juvenile increased the ratio of vasopressin cells expressing the Egr-1 protein over the total number of vasopressin cells (i.e. activated: total) in AONL (I) and AOND (J), but not AONM (K) as compared to both cage agitation (ctr) and non-social odour (non) controls. Exposure to heterospecific predator odours (cat, fox) did not alter the ratio of vasopressin cells expressing the Egr-1 protein over the total number of vasopressin cells as compared to non-social odour controls in AONL (L), AOND (M), or AONM (N). Exposure to a juvenile increases overall Egr-1 expression in the AONI (P), but not AOND (Q) or AONM (R). Exposure to fox odour increases Egr-1 expression in the AONI (S) and AOND (T), but not AONM (U), over non-social odour controls. Egr-1 expression was widespread in the AON, and is represented as the total area fraction covered by Egr-1 immunoreactivity within a sampled area within an AON subdivision. Asterisks denote significant differences in post hoc analyses.
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cells was significantly different across treatments in AONd (F_{0.05,2.22} = 8.504, P < 0.005; Fig. 3I). Post hoc tests showed that more vasopressin cells expressed Egr-1 in AONd after exposure to a novel juvenile rat than either a non-social odour or cage disturbance. There was no effect of sex (F_{0.05,1.22} = 0.230, P = 0.636) nor a significant sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.318, P = 0.731) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONd. There was no treatment effect (F_{0.05,2.18} = 1.908, P = 0.177), sex difference (F_{0.05,1.18} = 2.050, P = 0.169), or significant sex × treatment interaction effect (F_{0.05,2.18} = 2.706, P = 0.094) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONd (Fig. 3K). There was no treatment effect (ln transform; F_{0.05,2.22} = 2.679, P = 0.091), sex difference (ln transform; F_{0.05,1.22} = 0.582, P = 0.454), or significant sex × treatment interaction effect (ln transform; F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.448, P = 0.644) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONe.

**Predator odour test**

Egr-1 immunoreactivity was significantly different across treatments in AONI (F_{0.05,2.22} = 5.029, P < 0.05; Fig. 3J). Post hoc tests showed a greater increase in animals exposed to a fox versus non-social odour. There was no effect of sex (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.357, P = 0.556) or a sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2.22} = 1.202, P = 0.319) in AONI. Egr-1 immunoreactivity was significantly different across treatments in AONd (F_{0.05,2.22} = 4.827, P < 0.05; Fig. 3I). Post hoc tests showed a greater increase in animals exposed to a fox versus non-social odour. There was no effect of sex (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.939, P = 0.343) or a sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.278, P = 0.760) in AONd. Egr-1 immunoreactivity did not vary by treatment (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.226, P = 0.799) or sex (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.000, P = 0.989), nor was there sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2.22} = 1.853, P = 0.180) in AONm (Fig. 3U).

The ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells was significantly different across treatments in AONI (F_{0.05,2.22} = 8.709, P < 0.005; Fig. 3I), but post hoc tests showed that neither cat nor fox odour treatments was significantly different from non-social odour controls. There was no effect of sex (F_{0.05,1.22} = 0.109, P = 0.745) nor a significant sex × treatment interaction (F_{0.05,2.22} = 1.776, P = 0.193) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONI. There was no treatment effect (F_{0.05,2.22} = 2.468, P = 0.108), sex difference (F_{0.05,1.22} = 0.144, P = 0.708), or significant sex × treatment interaction effect (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.516, P = 0.604) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONd (Fig. 3M).

There was no treatment effect (F_{0.05,2.22} = 0.544, P = 0.588), sex difference (F_{0.05,1.22} = 0.315, P = 0.580), or significant sex × treatment interaction effect (F_{0.05,2.22} = 1.175, P = 0.328) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONm (Fig. 3N). There was no treatment effect (ranked; F_{0.05,2.22} = 1.218, P = 0.315), sex difference (ranked; F_{0.05,1.22} = 0.763, P = 0.392), or significant sex × treatment interaction effect (ranked; F_{0.05,2.22} = 2.078, P = 0.149) on the ratio of Egr-1 expressing eGFP-vasopressin to total eGFP-vasopressin cells in AONE. A Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks with treatment as the factor further verified that there were no treatment effects in AONE (X^2_{0.05,2} = 2.235, P = 0.327).

**Discussion**

Here, we have described a previously undiscovered population of vasopressin neurones in the AON, a cortical region where odour stimuli are integrated (Lei et al. 2006). These cells are widely distributed across both the pars externa and in all subdivisions of the pars principalis. We have recently shown a novel population of vasopressin neurones in the external plexiform and glomerular layer of the main and accessory olfactory bulb. However, the vasopressin neurones in the AON differ from those in the olfactory bulb in their neurochemical characteristics. Vasopressin neurones in the olfactory bulb do not express GABA, calbindin-D28k or calretinin, but co-express glutamate (Tobin et al. 2010). Vasopressin neurones in the AON co-express calbindin-D28k and GABA, but not calretinin and glutamate. We found no sex differences in the number of vasopressin cells in the AON, differentiating it from other brain regions where such differences are well established, such as in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (De Vries & al-Shamma, 1990).

As neurones newly born in the subventricular zone in the adult rat migrate to the main and accessory olfactory bulbs via the rostral migratory stream (Lledo et al. 2008), a fibre tract that runs through the AON, it is possible that vasopressin neurones in the AON are new neurones destined for the olfactory bulb. However, while injections of BrDU, a marker of cell division, yielded a high level of incorporation in olfactory bulb neurones and in the rostral migratory stream, there was no incorporation in vasopressin neurones in the main or accessory olfactory bulbs or the AON. This suggests that vasopressin neurones in the AON are not simply migrating to the olfactory bulbs, and that vasopressin neurones in the olfactory bulb and AON are not replaced in the adult rat.

Although the axonal targets of the AON have been well characterized, including the main olfactory bulb,
piriform cortex and contralateral AON itself (Haberly & Price, 1978a,b; Mohedano-Moriano et al. 2005; Illig & Eudy, 2009), the targets of the vasopressin neurones in the AON are not yet known. Recent work suggests that the somato-dendritic release of neuropeptides, including vasopressin, in the brain is at least as relevant as release from axonal terminals (Ludwig et al. 2002; Engelmann et al. 2004; Leng & Ludvig, 2008). Considering such release, as well as the presence of bilateral AON axonal connections, AON-produced vasopressin would be predicted to exert some of its effects locally. We have shown V1a receptor expression in the AON, confirming results from previous receptor binding (Vallet et al. 1995; Schorscher-Petcu et al. 2009) and mRNA (Szot et al. 1994) studies. We have also shown V1b receptor immunoreactivity for the first time in the AON, although it has been described in both the olfactory tubercle and piriform cortex previously (Hernando et al. 2001). All vasopressin cells in the AON express V1b receptor and some express V1a receptor, which suggests that these cells might be sensitive to their own signal. Thus, vasopressin may provide a direct feedback to its neurones of origin in the AON.

We have shown that expression of the immediate early gene product, Egr-1, is increased in a subdivision-specific pattern in the AON in rats exposed to either conspecific or heterospecific social odours. Although changes in immediate early gene expression have not been previously examined in rats exposed to conspecific juveniles, our results are consistent with reports showing that exposure to predator odours leads to such increases in subdivisions of the AON (Staples et al. 2005, 2008b). These and other studies examined the expression of Fos, the protein product of the immediate early gene c-fos. Preliminary studies in our lab showed a general paucity of immuno-reactivity for Fos in AON relative to that for Egr-1 (data not shown). By contrast, Egr-1 expression in the AON is extremely widespread. Egr-1 is also involved in memory, being necessary for late phase LTP in the dentate gyrus and associated with impaired object recognition when knocked out in mice (Bozon et al. 2003). It was therefore a logical choice for neuronal activation studies investigating brain regions involved in the formation of social odour memory.

We have demonstrated that exposure to a conspecific, but not heterospecific, social odour leads to increased Egr-1 protein expression in vasopressin neurones in the AON. It is important to note that the conspecific juvenile stimulus used in this study differed from the other stimuli in that a live animal, rather than just an odour mixture, was presented. It is therefore possible that other cues, such as visual or auditory, may have affected our results. The olfactory system interacts with other sensory systems (Wesson & Wilson, 2010), and future studies should address whether multiple sensory modalities might feed back on olfactory-specific brain regions, such as AON.

Our results are consistent with previous studies documenting the role of olfactory vasopressin in social recognition and the modulation of social behaviour (Dluzen et al. 1998a,b). Classically, social odour cues are thought to be processed via the accessory olfactory system. The AON is largely considered to be part of the main olfactory system, but recent studies suggest that social odour cues, including non-volatile pheromonal signals, may be processed by both the main and the accessory olfactory bulb (Spehr et al. 2006; Keller et al. 2009), with active compounds able to elicit neuronal activity in both regions in mice (Brennan & Zufall, 2006). There is also some evidence that social cues may also be processed through the main olfactory system in rats. For example, social buffering of fear responses is eliminated in main olfactory bulb lesioned male rats (Kiyokawa et al. 2009).

The AON lies at a nexus of regions that process olfactory information, exchanging information with both the piriform cortex and the main olfactory bulb. If vasopressin produced in the AON acts locally in the processing of social odour cues, it may serve as a quick reference site for conspecific social recognition. When an animal is exposed to a novel odour combination, for example from a conspecific juvenile, a predictable topographic pattern of neuronal activation emerges in the olfactory bulb (Uchida et al. 2000). During a subsequent exposure to the same animal, the combination of its relevant odours would be predicted to create a similar activation pattern. It is thought that the AON mediates the first order central integration of odour information from spatially diffuse bulbar signals prior to subsequent central processing (Haberly, 2001). It is therefore in the perfect position for a quick comparison of a new and remembered social odour combination. In the present study, we have shown that an initial encounter with a novel juvenile rat leads to an increase in Egr-1 in vasopressin neurones in the AON, which may be indicative of vasopressin release. Similar to the hypothesized function of vasopressin in the olfactory bulb (Tobin et al. 2010), vasopressin in the AON may act to filter out recognized odour information, and thereby facilitate the remembrance of conspecifics. This would be advantageous as it would prevent an animal from expending energy engaging in unnecessary olfactory investigation. Filtering at the level of both the olfactory bulb and the AON may facilitate discrimination of subtle odour combinations likely to be required for individual recognition. That a response in vasopressin neurones is seen after exposure to a conspecific but not a predator odour is not surprising, as we would predict responses to a predator odour would be more stereotyped and perhaps less likely to be modulated by peptidergic signalling.

If vasopressin produced in the AON is also released from axon terminals into the main olfactory bulb, it
may act there to further modulate social recognition. Vasopressin administered bilaterally into the olfactory bulb lengthens the time that male rats can recognize a conspecific juvenile upon re-exposure in a social discrimination test (Dluzen et al. 1998a). Both a general vasopressin V1 receptor antagonist and a V1a receptor siRNA administered bilaterally into the olfactory bulbs disrupt social recognition in the adult rat (Tobin et al. 2010). As the olfactory bulb produces its own vasopressin intrinsically (Tobin et al. 2010), additional release from the AON may represent a higher order fine tuning of the recognition responses mediated at this level.

Recently, there have been a wealth of studies linking vasopressin signalling to human behaviour, including altruism (Knafo et al. 2008), aggression (Coccaro et al. 1998), social cognition (Thompson et al. 2004, 2006), personality and creativity (Bachner-Melman et al. 2005; Meyer-Lindenberg et al. 2009), pair-bonding (Walum et al. 2008), and autism (Wassink et al. 2004; Yirmiya et al. 2006). Comparisons of human and rodent olfaction are often difficult, given that rodents rely heavily on a functional accessory olfactory system that humans do not possess. The AON, however, is part of the main olfactory system and is present in humans. It is one of the first sites of neural pathology in Parkinson’s disease (Lerner & Bagic, 2004, 2006), which suggests that vasopressin signalling in olfactory regions may be of eventual clinical importance.

We have discovered a new population of vasopressin neurons in the AON and demonstrated that the activity of these cells is linked to the processing of social odour information in a region-specific manner. Specifically, vasopressin neurons in the AONi and AOND, but not the AONm, of the pars principalis show increased activity after exposure to a novel juvenile rat versus a non-social odour stimulus, while heterospecific predator odours do not activate these neurons above control levels. Future studies should address the connectivity of these cells, as well as the ability of other contextually relevant conspecific odours (e.g. odour of a sexually receptive female, rival adult male) to alter the activity of vasopressin neurons in the AON.
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