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A Comparative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study of the Anatomy, Variability, and Asymmetry of Broca’s Area in the Human and Chimpanzee Brain

Simon S. Keller, Neil Roberts, and William Hopkins

The study of interhemispheric cerebral asymmetries in nonhuman primates represents an important scientific endeavor given that hemispheric specialization, which may be mediated by such asymmetries, is suggested to be related to language (Witelson and Kigar, 1988; Corballis, 2003; Annett, 2006). Therefore, demonstration of human-like neuroanatomical asymmetries in closely related phylogenetic species such as the great apes may indicate a potential substrate for the development of advanced cognition in these species and offer insights into the organization of human cortical language areas (Gannon et al., 1998). There has been a recent proliferation of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of cerebral asymmetry in the great ape brain, which have provided evidence to suggest that human cerebral asymmetries postulated to be important for hemispheric specialization of language are observed in great apes, including fronto-occipital torques (Hopkins and Marino, 2000; Pilcher et al., 2001; Hopkins et al., 2008) and leftward morphological asymmetry of the planum temporale (Gannon et al., 1998; Hopkins et al., 1998) and frontal operculum (Cantalupo and Hopkins, 2001). To build on these studies, we performed an MRI investigation to compare cerebral asymmetry between great apes and humans within the same study using the same image acquisition protocol, anatomical definitions, and quantitative MR image analysis techniques. In particular, we compared the morphology, morphological variability, and volume asymmetry of the frontal operculum between the human and chimpanzee brain.

The frontal operculum—frequently referred to as Broca’s area—located in the posterior inferior frontal gyrus in humans, has generated special interest for the search of neuroanatomical asymmetries given its role in language. Keller et al. (2009b) have recently shown that the literature indicates that morphological asymmetry of the frontal operculum may exist in the human brain, but such asymmetry is not a robust finding and may be dependent on methodological approaches. Although it has been shown that asymmetry of the frontal operculum may be consistent with language lateralization in some cases (Foundas et al., 1996; Dorsaint-Pierre et al., 2006), predicting language lateralization in humans by virtue of interhemispheric asymmetries of this region is seemingly not possible (Keller et al., 2007b, 2009b).
There were three primary objectives of the present study. First, we sought to describe, quantify, and compare the anatomy and morphological variability of the frontal operculum in humans and chimpanzees. Second, using homologous anatomical boundaries and three-dimensional sampling of the gray matter using the Cavalieri method of design-based stereology, we sought to determine volumetric asymmetries of the frontal operculum and compare population-based directional asymmetry between humans and chimpanzees. Finally, we sought to investigate the sulcal configurations affecting volumetric asymmetry. These objectives were sought to be achieved using an equal number of chimpanzee and human MR images acquired using the same acquisition protocol on the same type of MR scanner, with measurements obtained using identical MR image analysis techniques.

Materials and Methods

Subjects and MR image acquisition. We obtained three-dimensional T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid-acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) MR images for 30 chimpanzees and 30 humans using a Siemens 3 tesla Trio MR system. Chimpanzee images were acquired at Yerkes National Primate Research Centre (YNPRC) in Atlanta, Georgia. Human images were acquired at the Magnetic Resonance and Image Analysis Research Centre at the University of Liverpool (Liverpool, UK). The MR sequences used for acquisition of images are shown in Table 1. Acquisition parameters were made to be as similar as possible for humans and chimpanzees. The voxel resolution was slightly reduced in the y direction in humans. Reducing the voxel resolution to 1.0 mm in the y direction in humans resulted in an acquisition time of 12 min, which contrasted to the 36 min (y = 0.6 mm) chimpanzee scan. However, the in-plane voxel resolution was 0.6 × 0.6 mm for both humans and chimpanzees, resulting in a very similar between-tissue contrast (Fig. 1). All humans provided written consent to participate in this study, which had local ethics committee approval. For the chimpanzee scans, subjects were first immobilized by ketamine injection (10 mg/kg) and subsequently anesthetized with propofol (40–60 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) following standard procedures at the YNPRC. Subjects were then transported to the MRI facility and remained anesthetized for the duration of the scans as well as the time needed to transport them between their home cage and the imaging facility (total time, ~2 h). Subjects were placed in the scanner in a supine position with their head fitted inside the human-head coil.

Anatomical definitions. The volume of the frontal operculum was estimated in the left and right cerebral hemispheres for all chimpanzees and humans. Strictly speaking, the term “frontal operculum” is anatomically incorrect in the great ape, because this region of the inferior frontal gyrus does not form an operculum over the insula in any of the great ape species. Taking the precedent from the studies in humans, however, we refer to the region of the inferior frontal gyrus measured in the present study as the frontal operculum in humans and chimpanzees. Figure 2 schematically illustrates this region of cortex in the human and chimpanzee brain, and Figure 3 indicates this region from the surface of the brain in a randomly selected human and chimpanzee studied in the present investigation.

We have described previously in detail the anatomy and sulcal variability defining the frontal operculum in humans (Keller et al., 2007a, 2009b). The inferior frontal gyrus can be divided into three subregions by virtue of the ascending and horizontal rami of the Sylvian fissure, the inferior frontal sulcus and the inferior precentral sulcus. The major rami divide the inferior frontal gyrus into a posterior third (pars opercularis), anterior third (pars triangularis), and ventral third (pars orbitalis), of which the pars triangularis is ordinarily larger than the other constituent regions. The pars opercularis—referred to as the frontal operculum in this study—is demarcated caudally from the ventral precentral gyrus by the inferior precentral sulcus, dorsally from the middle frontal gyrus by the inferior frontal sulcus, and rostrally from the pars triangularis by the anterior ascending ramus of the Sylvian fissure (Figs. 2, 3). Within the human frontal operculum, there is occasionally the diagonal sulcus (Figs. 2, 3), although the term “diagonal” maybe somewhat misleading given that this sulcus is rarely diagonal per se and does not have a uniform appearance. There is great inter-individual variability in the shape, length, continuity, and number of these sulcal contours, which gives rise to the great variability in size, surface area, and volume of the frontal operculum (Ono et al., 1990; Table 1. MRI acquisition information for the study images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Chimpanzee</th>
<th>Human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male–female</td>
<td>14–16</td>
<td>13–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI scanner</td>
<td>3T Siemens Trio, CP Head Coil</td>
<td>3T Siemens Trio, CP Head Coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>MPRAGE T1-weighted</td>
<td>MPRAGE T1-weighted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>2300 ms</td>
<td>2300 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>4.4 ms</td>
<td>4.4 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1100 ms</td>
<td>1100 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flip angle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEX</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>200 × 200 mm</td>
<td>200 × 200 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-plane resolution</td>
<td>0.625 × 0.625 mm</td>
<td>0.625 × 0.625 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness</td>
<td>0.6 mm</td>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data matrix size</td>
<td>320 × 320</td>
<td>320 × 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time</td>
<td>36 min</td>
<td>12 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TR, Repetition time; TE, echo time; IT, inversion time; NEX, number of excitations; FOV, field of view. |

Figure 1. An axial section of the human (left) and chimpanzee (right) T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence acquired in the present study. The voxel sizes are schematically illustrated beneath the image.
Tomaiuolo et al., 1999; Keller et al., 2007a). We have previously discussed the factors that can affect volume estimation of the frontal operculum, which include the presence of discontinuous sulci, bifid sulci, absent rami, misclassification of sulci, and submerged gyri (Keller et al., 2009b). As in our previous studies (Keller et al., 2007a,b; Lux et al., 2008), we sampled the entire gray matter of the frontal operculum, from the fundus of the inferior frontal sulcus dorsally to the fundus of the circular insular sulcus ventrally, including all lateral cortex.

The anatomical definitions of the frontal operculum in the chimpanzee were as similar as possible to human definitions. As in humans, the chimpanzee frontal operculum forms the posterior portion of the inferior frontal gyrus, which is delineated from the precentral gyrus caudally by the inferior precentral sulcus and from the middle frontal gyrus dorsally by the inferior frontal sulcus (Cantalupo and Hopkins, 2001). However, the anterior aspect of the frontal operculum in chimpanzees is demarcated from the remainder of the inferior frontal gyrus by the fronto-orbital sulcus and not the anterior ascending ramus as in humans (Fig. 2, 3). The ventralmost point for measurements was where the inferior precentral sulcus terminates, and the dorsalmost point was, like humans, the fundus of the inferior frontal sulcus. As in humans, the region of interest in the present study encompassed all gray matter of the frontal operculum, excluding white matter.

MR image analysis. All human and chimpanzee images were skull stripped, cerebral hemispheres were extracted (segmented from brainstem and cerebellum), and the cortical surface was reconstructed using the previously validated automated algorithms of FreeSurfer software (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). This step enabled the investigator to view the sulcal contours from the external convexity of the brain.

Before volume estimation, the human images were interpolated from anisotropic (acquired voxel size of 0.6 mm/0.6 mm/1 mm) into isotropic (0.6 mm/0.6 mm/0.6 mm) using MRICro software (http://www.sph.sc.edu/comd/orden/mricor.html). No image interpolation was performed for the chimpanzees given that images were already isotropic (see acquisition details). Volume estimation of the frontal operculum in all humans and chimpanzees was performed using MEASURE software (Barta et al., 1997). MEASURE permits stereological volume estimation using point counting techniques in orthogonal sagittal, coronal, and axial planes simultaneously. We have previously applied stereological techniques to estimate the volume of the frontal operculum in humans using other software packages that permit quantification in only the coronal plane (Keller et al., 2007a,b; Lux et al., 2008). In these previous studies, before volume estimation, images were imported into Brainvoyager software (http://brainvoyager.com) to allow the investigator to manually place markers in the three orthogonal planes to guide point counting in the coronal plane. The use of MEASURE removed the need to place markers as sampling of the entire frontal operculum could be performed in three dimensions (Keller and Roberts, 2009).

The previously described (Keller et al., 2007a) stereological parameters for measurement of the frontal operculum were again used in MEASURE software (sampling density of 3 pixels, 0.186 cm). To achieve a coefficient of error of less than or equal to 5% (Roberts et al., 2000) while maximizing time efficiency, we found that ~200–300 points per structure should be counted (Roberts et al., 2000; Keller et al., 2002a,b, 2007a, 2009a; Keller and Roberts, 2009). Both human and chimpanzee stereological parameters were optimized based on this approach. Given that the chimpanzee brain is smaller than that of a human, we defined the stereological parameters for measurement of the frontal operculum in chimpanzees as 2 pixels (0.125 cm). It is important to note that the property of unbiasedness of the volume estimate is not affected by the sampling intensity. Using the parameters $2 \times 2 \times 2$ and $4 \times 4 \times 4$ will both yield unbiased volume estimates for the frontal operculum, but the coefficient of error will be lower (i.e., the precision will be higher) for the former.

Examples of point counting for volume estimation of the frontal operculum in human and chimpanzee brains are presented in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. No single plane was preferentially used during point counting. However, particular views did permit optimal identification of some sulcal boundary structures. For example, coronal sections best identify coronal sulcal boundary structures. For example, coronal sections best identify coronal sulcal boundary structures.
deep posterior regions of the frontal operculum that are submerged beneath the precentral gyrus, sagittal sections best identify the anterior limits of the frontal operculum determined by the anterior ascending ramus (humans) and fronto-orbital sulcus (chimpanzees), and axial sections best identify the full intrasulcal anatomy of inferior sections of the frontal operculum. When a point was marked (using the mouse button) in one plane as being in the region of interest, the same point on the orthogonal sections was marked (yellow). Marked points could be “unmarked,” again with a click of the mouse button. All marked points were visualized in all three orthogonal planes to confirm that only the gray matter within the frontal operculum was sampled.

Results
Repeatability and reproducibility analyses
Intra-rater and inter-rater reliability for volume estimation of the human frontal operculum using stereological techniques has been demonstrated previously (Keller et al., 2007a). To ensure repeatability and reproducibility of volume estimates for the chimpanzee frontal operculum, intra-rater and inter-rater studies were administered on 10 randomly selected chimpanzee scans from the study cohort. For the intra-rater study, measurements were compared between two measurements of the opercula by the same investigator at least 2 weeks apart. For the inter-rater analysis, measurements were contrasted between two investigators. Results indicated excellent levels of intra-rater (intraclass correlation of 0.99) and inter-rater (intraclass correlation of 0.96) reliability for measurements of the chimpanzee frontal operculum.

Anatomical variability
Results for all individual subjects are provided in the supplemental data (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Human
Results from visual assessment of the variability of the sulcal contours defining the frontal operculum in the human brain are presented in Table 2. There was morphological variability of the sulcal contours, particularly of the inferior frontal sulcus, which was discontinuous and consisted of two segments in 40% of human hemispheres. Furthermore, more than one ventral segment of the inferior precentral sulcus was identified in 5% of hemispheres, and the diagonal sulcus was identified in 76.7% of hemispheres. The diagonal sulcus did not constitute a uniform appearance in all humans, was of various lengths and depths, and was differentially connected to local sulci. Of the 46 diagonal sulci observed in the present study, 18 had no connection with surrounding sulci, and 28 connected to either one or a combination of the inferior precentral sulcus, inferior frontal sulcus, or anterior ascending ramus (see supplemental material, available at www.jneurosci.org). Figure 6 shows some examples of the diagonal sulci observed in the present study.

Chimpanzee
Results from visual assessment of the variability of the sulcal contours defining the frontal operculum in the chimpanzee brain are presented in Table 3. There was morphological variability of the sulcal contours, particularly of the inferior precentral sulcus. This was found to consist of one individual segment in 76.7% of hemispheres, two segments in 20% of hemispheres, and three ventral segments in 3.3% of hemispheres. Figure 7 illustrates some cases of multiple segments of the inferior precentral sulcus observed in the present study. The inferior frontal sulcus was a continuous single sulcus in 83.3% of hemispheres. In these cases, the sulcus usually connected with the inferior precentral sulcus and extended anteriorly until fronto-polar regions. The inferior frontal sulcus was discontinuous in 16.7% of hemispheres and always consisted of two segments. An example of a discontinuous chimpanzee inferior frontal sulcus is provided in Figure 8. The fronto-orbital sulcus was present in all hemispheres studied and did not
within the frontal operculum (i.e., a homolog to the diagonal sulcus in humans).

**Volume and asymmetry**

The mean volume for the left and right frontal operculum in humans was 4.96 cm³ (minimum, 2.51 cm³; maximum, 9.48 cm³; SD, 1.73) and 5.00 cm³ (minimum, 1.85 cm³; maximum, 8.27 cm³; SD, 1.66), respectively. This interhemispheric difference was not significantly different (df = 29, t = −0.116, p = 0.908). Fourteen of the 30 humans had leftward asymmetry of the frontal operculum. The remaining 16 humans had rightward volume asymmetry. When the anterior segment of the inferior precentral sulcus was taken in chimpanzees with more than one segment, the mean volume of the left and right frontal operculum was 1.12 cm³ (minimum, 0.50 cm³; maximum, 1.75 cm³; SD, 0.35) and 1.17 cm³ (minimum, 0.68 cm³; maximum, 2.03 cm³; SD, 0.34), respectively. This interhemispheric difference was not statistically significant (df = 29, t = −0.673, p = 0.506). Twelve of the 30 chimpanzees had leftward asymmetry of the frontal operculum, whereas the remaining 18 chimpanzees had rightward asymmetries.

The variable morphology of the inferior precentral sulcus had an effect on volume estimation of the frontal operculum in all chimpanzee hemispheres with more than one segment, and, importantly, volume asymmetry changed direction based on the segment taken for boundary purposes. The volumes for all chimpanzees with more than one segment of the inferior precentral sulcus are presented in Table 4. There were two predominantly interesting findings: first, volume asymmetry was rightward in 9 of the 10 chimpanzees with more than one segment of the inferior precentral sulcus. In only one subject was there leftward volume asymmetry when this sulcus was bifurcated. Second, volume asymmetry of the frontal operculum changed direction in four subjects (three from rightward to leftward, one from leftward to rightward) when the posterior segment of the inferior precentral sulcus was taken. When the posterior segment was taken, the mean volume of the left and right frontal operculum was 1.28 cm³ (minimum, 0.83 cm³; maximum, 2.37 cm³; SD, 0.35) and 1.32 cm³ (minimum, 0.68 cm³; maximum, 2.51 cm³; SD, 0.51), respectively. This interhemispheric difference was not statistically significant (df = 29, t = −0.436, p = 0.666).

A between-species comparison of the individual volume asymmetries and group mean volumes is presented in Figure 9. Interhemispheric volume asymmetries [calculated using: \((r − l)/ (r + l) \times 2\); negative values are leftward asymmetry and vice versa] are relatively consistent between groups, with both species showing evidence of a slight rightward groupwise asymmetry and both including more cases with rightward volume asymmetry. The mean asymmetry values for humans and chimpanzees connect with any surrounding sulci defining the frontal operculum. It was a single deep sulcus in 95% of hemispheres. The remaining fronto-orbital sulcus consisted of two (3.33%) or three (1.66%) branches. In no case was an additional sulcus present in chimpanzees.
Figure 6. The variability of the diagonal sulcus in humans. A. Eight randomly chosen cases illustrated using orthogonal coronal (left) and sagittal (right) sections. B. Example of one case in which, from the surface of the brain, the anterior ascending ramus is barely visible and could be mistaken for the diagonal sulcus. Only through navigation through the intrasulcal anatomy (indicated through the x, i.e., left–right dimension) can the anterior ascending ramus be correctly identified. Yellow points mark the frontal operculum. ar, Anterior ascending ramus of the Sylvian fissure; ds, diagonal sulcus; ifs, inferior frontal sulcus; ipcs, inferior precentral sulcus.
were 0.01 (maximum leftward, 1.07; maximum rightward, 0.80; SD, 0.45) and 0.05 (maximum leftward, 0.65; maximum rightward, 0.77; SD, 0.36), respectively. This was not significantly different (df = 58, F = 1.366, p = 0.247).

When we analyzed the effect of morphological variability on volume, we found that the presence of a diagonal sulcus had a significant effect on the volume of the frontal operculum in the 60 human hemispheres [volume/no sulcus/sulcus with no connection/sulcus with connection] (ANOVA, df = 58, F = 12.782, p < 0.001). In particular, the smallest opercula were those without a diagonal sulcus, and the largest were generally the opercula with a diagonal sulcus that connected to the inferior precentral sulcus, inferior frontal sulcus, or anterior ascending ramus (Fig. 10). This effect was independent of laterality and existed regardless of the hemisphere side. The number of segments of the inferior frontal sulcus did not affect volume estimation of the human operculum [volume/one segment/two segments] (df = 58, t = −0.001, p = 0.99).

**Discussion**

There were three principle findings of the present study. First, we report that humans and chimpanzees demonstrate inter-individual and interhemispheric variability in the sulcal contours defining the frontal operculum. In particular, chimpanzees had an increased presence of multiple segments of the inferior precentral sulcus relative to humans, and humans had an increased presence of multiple segments of the inferior frontal sulcus. Second, we found that both humans and chimpanzees do not show evidence of population-based asymmetry of the gray matter of the frontal operculum. Third, the presence of the diagonal sulcus in humans significantly increased the volume of the frontal operculum, particularly when connected with surrounding sulci. However, given that there was no interhemispheric difference in the presence of the diagonal sulcus, there was no interhemispheric volume asymmetry of the operculum.

Schenker et al. (2009) examined neuron density and volume of area 44 and area 45 in a sample of 12 chimpanzees, and several findings from that study are germane to the present investigation. First, area 44 was principally found on the gyrus lying between the fronto-orbital and precentral inferior sulci, consistent with the original report by Bailey et al. (1950). In contrast, area 45 was found in cortex anterior to the fronto-orbital sulcus and was thus located in regions outside the gyrus quantified in this study. Second, for both area 44 and area 45, asymmetries were not found in either the volume or neuron density. This observation differs from at least two reports in

| Table 3. Morphological variability in chimpanzees |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Structure   | Morphology | Left hemisphere | Right hemisphere | Total |
| Ipcs        | One segment | 73.3% (22)      | 80% (24)        | 76.7% (46) |
|             | Two segments | 23.3% (7)      | 16.7% (5)     | 20% (12) |
|             | Three segments | 3.3% (1)     | 3.3% (1)       | 3.3% (2) |
| Ifs         | One segment | 86.7% (26)      | 80% (24)       | 83.3% (50) |
|             | Two segments | 13.3% (4)      | 20% (6)        | 16.7% (10) |
|             | Three segments | 0           | 0              | 0 |
| Fos         | One branch | 93.3% (28)      | 93.3% (28)     | 93.3% (56) |
|             | Two branches | 3.3% (1)      | 6.7% (2)       | 5% (3) |
|             | Three branches | 3.3% (1)     | 0              | 1.7% (1) |

Fos, Fronto-orbital sulcus; Ifs, inferior frontal sulcus; Ipcs, inferior precentral sulcus.
humans (Amunts et al., 1999; Uylings et al., 2006), but the sample size was small in the chimpanzees and this is particularly problematic when variability in the size of a structure is so robust. Finally, in humans, handedness and other subject variables are likely to be more consistent, although this is not the case in the chimpanzees and this might explain some between species variability. For example, within this sample of 30 chimpanzees, 11 chimpanzees prefer to gesture with their left hand and 19 prefer to gesture with their right. Within the left-handed sample, 9 of the 11 subjects (82%) showed a right hemisphere asymmetry, whereas within the right-handed group, 9 of the 19 (47%) had a right hemisphere bias. Although not significantly different, the trends clearly point to a potential modulating effect of handedness on this asymmetry, at least in the chimpanzees. Although not significantly different, the trends clearly point to a potential modulating effect of handedness on this asymmetry, at least in the chimpanzees.

The diagonal sulcus was only observed in humans. Results obtained in the present study confirm our previous findings, indicating that the presence of a diagonal sulcus significantly increases the volume of the frontal operculum, and therefore the unilateral presence of this sulcus can predict volume asymmetry of the operculum in individual cases (Keller et al., 2007a). The presence of the diagonal sulcus increases both the cortical volume and presumably the cortical connectivity of the pars opercularis, given the increased intrasulcal surface area. Furthermore, we extend these findings by reporting that diagonal sulci with connections to local sulci and rami increase the volume of the frontal operculum relative to diagonal sulci with no connections. We did not observe a left-greater-than-right incidence of diagonal sulci, which is contrary to our previously reported finding (Keller et al., 2007a, 2009b) but consistent with the findings reported by Knaus et al. (2007). We were unable to identify a homologous sulcus between the inferior precentral sulcus, inferior frontal sulcus, and fronto-orbital sulcus in the chimpanzee brain. The definition of this sulcus is rarely discussed in the literature, and it is crucial to objectively identify this sulcus. In some human hemispheres without a diagonal sulcus in the present study, there was occasionally a small dimple that did not constitute a full sulcus. It has been reported previously that these dimples exist in almost all human frontal opercula (Tomaiuolo et al., 1999). Such dimples were infrequently observed in chimpanzee opercula, but like the dimples in the human opercula without a diagonal sulcus, these morphological features were not substantial enough to be considered sulci. The functional significance of the diagonal sulcus is still unknown, and further functional neuroimaging investigations may offer insight by studying the relationship between diagonal sulcus morphology and lateralized cognitive functions.

There was no population-based volume asymmetry of the human frontal operculum in the present study. This is not in agreement with our previous study in 50 humans (Keller et al., 2007a) but well reflects the inconsistency in the literature regarding macroscopic asymmetry of the frontal operculum across the human population (Keller et al., 2009b). Indeed, a higher proportion of the literature has revealed statistically nonsignificant asymmetry of the pars opercularis in the human brain (Wada et al., 1975; Witek and Kigar, 1988; Tomaiuolo et al., 1999; Knaus et al., 2006, 2007; Keller et al., 2007b) compared with studies reporting statistically significant leftward asymmetry (Falzi et al., 1982; Albanese et al., 1989; Keller et al., 2007a). Given that our previous study indicating leftward asymmetry of the operculum was obtained using a two-dimensional sampling method (Keller et al., 2007a), which contrasts to the null finding obtained using a three-dimensional sampling method in the present study, it may be tempting to speculate that differences in methodological approaches may account for differences in reported (a)symmetry. However, using the identical two-dimensional approach as that used by Keller et al. (2007a), we also reported no significant (or trend for) asymmetry of the frontal operculum in another sample of humans (Keller et al., 2007b). Therefore, the new three-dimensional approach used in the present study cannot account for the differences in results. The real-time three-dimensional approach used in the present study permits easy separation of legitimate and illegitimate region-of-interest brain tissue, which is particularly crucial for convoluted three-dimensional structures such as gyri (Keller and Roberts, 2009). Other studies have called for measurement using all three orthogonal MR sections for anatomical specificity of other brain structures (Pruessner et al., 2000). The frontal operculum is incredibly variable in terms of sulcal morphology, and it is therefore perhaps unsurprising that this cortical region cannot be consistently asymmetric based on population-based volume asymmetry.
on quantitative measurements of gross morphology. Interhemispheric asymmetries consistently reported are generally of structures less variable in local or global structure, such as the planum temporale and fronto-occipital cerebral torque. Therefore, there is little existing evidence to suggest that gross morphological asymmetry of the frontal operculum has significance for functional lateralization in humans. Analysis of interhemispheric asymmetry and connectivity of cytoarchitectonic area 44 and area 45, which are typically located in opercular and triangular regions of the inferior frontal gyrus, may provide insight into the lateralized organization of language in the human brain. Interhemispheric asymmetries of these regions are more consistent with functional lateralization (Keller et al., 2009b).

We did not find evidence of a left-greater-than-right volume asymmetry of the chimpanzee frontal operculum, which is in contrast to the population-based leftward asymmetry of this region reported by Cantalupo and Hopkins (2001) in a mixed sample of great apes. The divergence in results may be attributable to several factors. First, this study was concerned with cortical (gray matter) volume, whereas the previous study measured both gray and white matter within the frontal operculum. Therefore, leftward asymmetry may have been primarily determined by white matter volume in the previous study. We are currently investigating the significance of white matter anatomy in Broca’s area and Broca’s area homolog. Second, the present study assessed the full extent of the intrasulcal anatomy between the fundus of sulci, whereas the previous study measured a proportion of the parasagittal area of the frontal operculum. Specifically, Cantalupo and Hopkins (2001) only quantified the gyrus lying between fronto-orbital sulcus and inferior precentral sulcus and did not include any cortex above the dorsalmost point of the fronto-orbital sulcus (which is in contrast to the present study, which measured all dorsal cortex of the operculum until the fundus of the inferior frontal sulcus). Moreover, Cantalupo and Hopkins (2001) explicitly used the anterior limb of the inferior precentral sulcus as the posterior border of the operculum when inferior precentral sulcus did bifurcate. Such differences in anatomical definitions may account for differences in the reporting of asymmetry of this brain region (Keller et al., 2009b). Third, previous work has reported that asymmetry of the frontal operculum may be related to gesture-handness (Taglialetela et al., 2006). Handedness was not controlled for in the present study, and we studied a group of left- and right-handed chimpanzees, which is one possible confound. Nevertheless, whether interhemispheric asymmetry does or does not exist of the chimpanzee frontal operculum, findings need to be reconciled with the fact that asymmetry is not a robust finding in humans, most of whom are uniformly right-handed. In short, although cortical asymmetry of the operculum was not observed in the present study, it was not observed in chimpanzees or humans. Therefore, the search for the structural neuroanatomical blueprint that underlies the human capability for the acquisition and development of spoken language is unlikely to be successful in comparative studies of the gross morphology of the frontal operculum, particularly in the absence of any behavioral or functional data.

Although the results presented here suggest no asymmetry of macroscopic definitions of Broca’s area and Broca’s area homolog (at least that region mostly encompassing area 44), it may be that the gross morphology of the frontal operculum is not a reliable indicator of Broca’s area per se. This is especially pertinent given that cytoarchitectonic boundaries are more closely related to the regional functional properties of cortex relative to sulcal landmarks, and previous studies have reported asymmetry in the cytoarchitectonic fields considered to represent Broca’s area (Keller et al., 2009b), which may occasionally lie outside the frontal operculum as defined in the present study.

**Figure 9.** Results of volume estimation of the frontal operculum in humans and chimpanzees. Top, Individual volume asymmetry profiles. Negative values indicate leftward asymmetry, and positive is rightward asymmetry. Bottom, Mean volume of the left and right human and chimpanzee frontal operculum.
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