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Phosphatidylinositol 3 Kinase Activation and AMPA Receptor Subunit Trafficking Underlie the Potentiation of Miniature EPSC Amplitudes Triggered by the Activation of L-Type Calcium Channels

Andrew W. Baxter and David J. A. Wyllie
Division of Neuroscience, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9JZ, United Kingdom

We have characterized a mechanism by which the amplitudes of miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) in CA1 pyramidal neurons in rat hippocampal organotypic slice cultures are potentiated by approximately twofold after a series of depolarizing voltage pulses from –80 to +20 mV. The increase in mEPSC amplitudes is triggered by the activation of L-type calcium channels and is independent of NMDA receptor (NMDAR) activation but also requires calcium release from intracellular stores. The potentiation induced by depolarizing pulses does not alter the kinetic parameters of mEPSCs. The induction phase of this potentiation involves phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase (PI3 kinase) activation because it is blocked completely in the presence of the PI3 kinase inhibitors wortmannin and 2-(4-morpholinyl)-8-phenyl-2H-1-benzopyran-4-one (LY294002). Furthermore, we show that the maintenance phase of depolarizing pulse potentiation requires continued PI3 kinase activity because the application of either wortmannin or LY294002 results in a reversal to control levels of the amplitudes of mEPSCs. Finally, we demonstrate that the increase in mEPSC amplitudes is mediated by the increased expression of functional AMPA receptors (AMPARs) because the potentiation is blocked by N-ethylmaleimide, botulinum toxin A, and a variety of short-sequence peptides that disrupt the interaction of AMPAR subunits with proteins involved with the trafficking of these to the cell membrane. Our data are consistent with the notion that PI3 kinase and membrane fusion/trafficking events play a pivotal role in coordinating changes in synaptic strength, mediated by AMPA receptors, which are triggered by alterations in postsynaptic calcium concentrations whether these changes are initiated via NMDAR-dependent or NMDAR-independent routes.

Key words: synaptic plasticity; mEPSC; hippocampus; AMPA receptor; PI3 kinase; membrane fusion

Introduction

The best studied cellular model of synaptic plasticity, NMDA receptor-dependent (NMDAR-dependent) long-term potentiation (LTP), contains many of the elements required of activity-dependent changes in synaptic strength that are thought to underlie learning and memory in the mammalian CNS. A fundamental observation concerning the induction of this form of LTP is its absolute requirement for a rise in the intracellular calcium level, triggered by calcium entry via the NMDAR. Typically, this form of plasticity is monitored by recording the amplitude of evoked AMPA receptor-mediated (AMPA-mediated) synaptic currents (or potentials). It is, however, also possible to record the spontaneous activity in a neuron. Such miniature synaptic events result from the activation of AMPARs after the spontaneous, tetrodotoxin-insensitive, release of single vesicles of glutamate from the presynaptic nerve terminal and can provide insights into the mechanisms by which changes in synaptic strength occur. CA1 pyramidal neurons, however, contain many thousands of synaptic sites, and typical LTP-inducing protocols result in only a small proportion of synaptic sites experiencing activity-related changes in synaptic strength, making it difficult to resolve changes in miniature synaptic events. Previously, we have described a method for studying changes in miniature EPSC (mEPSC) amplitudes in CA1 pyramidal neurons that are triggered by rises in postsynaptic calcium after the activation of L-type calcium channels (Wyllie and Nicoll, 1994; Wyllie et al., 1994). This depolarizing pulse-induced potentiation (DPP), which is distinct from conventional NMDAR-mediated LTP, gives rise to a twofold potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes and causes large changes in intracellular calcium levels that most likely allows for potentiation at many more synapses than can occur with either pairing-induced or tetanus-induced LTP (Perkel et al., 1993).

In this study we have used DPP of mEPSC amplitudes to assess the contribution of two signaling pathways implicated in
NMDAR-dependent LTP to determine whether these also are activated when changes in intracellular calcium levels are mediated via NMDAR-independent processes. The first of these is phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase (PI3 kinase), a kinase that is crucial for NMDAR-dependent activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-related kinase (MAPK/ERK) (Chandler et al., 2001; Perkinton et al., 2002), and that if inhibited blocks the induction of LTP (Kelly and Lynch, 2000; Opazo et al., 2003) and in certain cases regulates the expression of LTP (Sanna et al., 2002). The second of these is the role of membrane fusion events as a mechanism to account for the increased amplitude of mEPSCs that accompanies DPP. The role of soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein attachment protein receptors (SNAREs) in NMDAR-dependent LTP was demonstrated by Lledo et al. (1998); subsequently, the idea that AMPARs are trafficked into and out of synaptic sites as a mechanism of regulating synaptic plasticity has gained considerable support (for review, see Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Bredt and Nicoll, 2003; Collingridge et al., 2004). The data we present in this study show that both PI3 kinase and receptor trafficking/membrane fusion events play pivotal roles in the NMDAR-independent potentiation of miniature synaptic currents and suggest that common mechanisms are responsible for translating changes in intracellular calcium levels to alterations in synaptic efficacy.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of organotypic slice cultures. Organotypic hippocampal slice cultures were prepared from postnatal day 7–10 (P7–P10) male Wistar rat pups, using the method described by Stoppini et al. (1991). Briefly, the animals were decapitated (according to procedures authorized by current United Kingdom Home Office regulations); their brains were removed quickly and placed in an ice-cold solution composed of the following (in mM): 125 NaCl, 26 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 4 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, and 25 glucose, bubbled with 95% O2/5% CO2. Brains were hemisected, and each hippocampus removed, glued on to an agar block, and mounted in a custom-made tissue chamber on a Vibratome 1000 Plus tissue slicer (Campden Instruments, Loughborough, UK). Individual slices (250 μm thick) were transferred to 0.4 μm Millipore (Bedford, MA) cell culture membrane inserts placed in 35 mm Petri dishes containing 1 ml of a cell culture solution that comprised 50% horse serum, 25% Hank’s balanced salts, 50 U of penicillin/streptomycin, Eagle’s minimum essential media with Earle’s salts, 25% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, and 5% 2mM-L-glutamine (all from Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). Slices were coated with Sylgard 184 resin (Dow Corning, Midland, MI) to reduce their capacitance, and their tips were fire-polished. The final resistances of the recording electrodes were between 5 and 10 MΩ.

Whole-cell currents were recorded with an Axopatch-1D amplifier (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA) and displayed on an oscilloscope; currents also were stored on digital audio tape for subsequent offline analysis. Cells were voltage-clamped at a potential of –80 mV, and, to be included for analysis, the holding currents had to be less than –150 pA; series resistances, which typically were ~20–30 MΩ, could not drift by >15% during the course of the experiment.

Before the application of depolarizing pulses the amplitudes of mEPSCs were measured during a 5 min baseline (control) period. DPP was induced by applying a series of 10 voltage steps from –80 to +20 mV, lasting 3 s, given at an interpulse interval of 6 s. In experiments in which the effects of drugs that inhibited DPP were investigated, we performed “interleaved” control experiments to ensure that we still were able to obtain potentiation in cells from sister cultures not treated with the drugs. In such experiments the recordings were made from treated and nontreated preparations in an alternate manner. Additionally, for these interleaved control experiments the external recording solution, and where appropriate the internal recording solution, contained dimethylsulfoxide or ethanol if these solvents had been used in a particular experiment.

For the analysis of mEPSCs the currents were replayed from tape, filtered at 2 kHz, and digitized at 10 kHz by using WinEDR v6.1 software (John Dempster, University of Strathclyde, UK; http://spider.science.strath.ac.uk/PhysPharm/showPage.php?pageName = software). The amplitudes, frequencies, and kinetic parameters of mEPSCs were measured with the computer program MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft, Fort Lee, NJ). The threshold for the detection of mEPSCs was typically ~4–6 pA. mEPSCs included for analysis, mEPSCs had to have a monotonic rising phase with a 10–90 rise time of <6 ms and decays that followed an exponential time course with a decay time constant of <25 ms. The vast majority of mEPSCs, however, had rise times and decay time constants considerably less than these upper limits (see below). Amplitude mEPSCs were measured by subtracting the mean current amplitude recorded in the 5 ms before the event from the average of five data points at the peak of the event. Throughout the text we report the absolute amplitudes of mEPSCs, but because these events were recorded as inward currents, their true amplitude is the negative of the values stated, as is indicated in the histograms of mEPSC amplitudes. The decay phases of mEPSCs were fit with single exponential components to obtain an estimate of the decay time constant (τdecay). Mean mEPSC waveforms were obtained by averaging between 50 and 100 individual events. Because of the variation in the mean amplitudes and frequencies of mEPSCs, when reporting the mean values for data pooled from a series of experiments, we have normalized these values to those recorded in baseline recording period before the application of depolarizing pulses. To assess differences in the distributions of mEPSC amplitudes, we constructed cumulative probability plots. In such plots we report the median amplitude value (AV50) of the distribution to allow for comparison between control mEPSCs distributions and distributions obtained after the application of depolarizing pulses.

Statistical analysis. All results are given as the mean ± SEM. Statistical
analysis of amplitudes (and frequencies) of mEPSCs recorded in the baseline (control) period with those recorded after the application of depolarizing pulses was performed with SigmaStat v3, using either Mann–Whitney U or Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, as appropriate, with statistical significance taken to be at the $p < 0.05$ level.

**Results**

In a series of preliminary experiments we observed that the frequency of mEPSCs in acute hippocampal slices prepared from P7–P14 rat pups was too low ($< 0.1$ Hz) to permit the recording of a sufficient number of events, over a typical recording duration of 30–40 min, to allow for their detailed analysis. Recordings from CA1 pyramidal neurons in organotypic slice culture have indicated that mEPSCs display higher frequencies but retain similar kinetic properties when compared with recordings made from acute slices (de Simoni et al., 2003). Because of these considerations we used the organotypic hippocampal slice preparation for all of the experiments described in this work.

**Characteristics of mEPSCs recorded from CA1 pyramidal neurons**

In the presence of TTX (300 nM) and picROTOXIN (50 μM) spontaneous inward currents with fast monotonous rise times and exponential decays were readily apparent in whole-cell current recordings made from CA1 pyramidal neurons voltage-clamped at –80 mV (Fig. 1A). These events were blocked by the application of CNQX (30 μM; $n = 4$ slices) (data not shown), and we therefore conclude that these events represent glutamatergic, AMPAR-mediated, TTX-insensitive mEPSCs. No NMDAR-mediated component to mEPSCs is apparent in these recordings because they were made in the presence of MgCl₂ (1.3 mM) and at a holding potential at which the voltage-dependent block of the NMDAR channel by magnesium ions is expected to be strong. Furthermore, the absence of an NMDAR-mediated component was confirmed by the lack of sensitivity of both the amplitude and the decay time constants of mEPSCs to d-AP5 (50 μM; $n = 3$ slices) (data not shown). Over a recording period of 30–40 min the distribution of mEPSCs was stable (Fig. 1B), although amplitude histograms of these events showed a skewed distribution (Fig. 1C) typical of that reported for mEPSCs recorded from CA1 pyramidal neurons in both acute and organotypic slices (Manabe et al., 1992; Wyllie et al., 1994; de Simoni et al., 2003). The AV$_{50}$ value obtained from the cumulative probability plot in this example is 16.7 pA, whereas the mean mEPSC amplitude recorded in a series of experiments designed to assess the stability of amplitudes over prolonged recording periods was 20.6 ± 1.7 pA ($n = 8$) (Fig. 1D, top plot); the range of mean amplitudes recorded in these experiments was between 15.4 ± 2.0 and 27.2 ± 2.4 pA. The frequency of mEPSCs during the first 10 min of recording in these experiments was 1.5 ± 0.2 Hz, with a range of 0.5–2.3 Hz. During the course of recording, however, we observed that the frequency of mEPSCs was reduced such that after 25–30 min of whole-cell recording the mean mEPSC frequency was 1.2 ± 0.3 Hz ($n = 8$) (Fig. 1D, bottom plot). We also examined whether there were correlations among the rise times, decay time constants, and amplitudes of mEPSCs. Figure 1E illustrates a series of such correlation plots for ~500 randomly selected events recorded during the course of an experiment. As can be seen, we observed no significant correlations among any of these parameters. The mEPSCs we recorded will have originated throughout the dendritic tree of a CA1 neuron and will have been subjected to dendritic filtering such that the rise times, decay times, and amplitudes will not be equivalent to the values of these parameters at their site of origin; however, we did not find evidence that large-amplitude events were associated with fast rise times and decay time constants or vice versa. In these experiments the mean 10–90% rise time of mEPSCs was 1.2 ± 0.1 ms, whereas the average decay time constant was 8.8 ± 0.3 ms. These values are in good agreement with previous reports characterizing mEPSC properties recorded from organotypic hippocampal slices cultured for a similar period of time (McKinney et al., 1999; de Simoni et al., 2003). Our criteria for the inclusion of events for analysis were not so strict as to include only events that originate in close proximity to the soma (i.e., events with the fastest rise times) (Smith et al., 2003), because we wanted to ensure that we included as many events as possible in our studies and because
the depolarizing pulse stimulation protocol is expected to result in a cell-wide potentiation of mEPSCs.

Potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes by postsynaptic depolarization

LTP of glutamatergic synaptic responses in the CA1 region of the hippocampus is critically dependent on a rise in the postsynaptic calcium concentration, this rise usually resulting from calcium entry via the NMDAR (for review, see Nicoll and Malenka, 1999). It is this initial rise in calcium levels that triggers the biochemical cascade that ultimately leads to increased synaptic efficacy. Conventional LTP-inducing protocols, however, result only in the potentiation of comparatively few synapses in a typical CA1 pyramidal neuron. This makes it difficult to observe changes in miniature synaptic currents with these stimulating paradigms because after LTP induction the vast majority of events will still occur at sites that have not experienced any change in synaptic strength. Previous studies have shown that applying a series of depolarizing voltage pulses from a holding potential of −80 to +20 mV to hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons results in a robust, although transient, potentiation of both evoked EPSC and mEPSC amplitudes (Kullmann et al., 1992; Wyllie and Nicoll, 1994; Wyllie et al., 1994). The transient nature of this potentiation could be converted to a sustained potentiation in the presence of protein phosphatase inhibitors (Wyllie and Nicoll, 1994).

In agreement with these earlier studies, we observed that applying a series of 10 depolarizing pulses from −80 to +20 mV to CA1 neurons in organotypic slices cultures resulted in an increase in the amplitude of mEPSCs (Fig. 2A). However, as can be seen in Figure 2, B and C, this protocol resulted in a sustained potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes in contrast to the transient potentiation seen in acute slices. We did not investigate the reasons for this difference. As shown in Figure 2B and also apparent in the comparison of amplitude histograms of events recorded before and after the application of depolarizing pulses (Fig. 2D), there is a reduction in the number of small-amplitude events and an increase in the number of large-amplitude events after the potentiation. Figure 2E shows the corresponding cumulative probability plot for the data shown in the amplitude histograms. In this example the AV50 amplitude for mEPSCs recorded in the control period is 18.2 pA, whereas the corresponding value for mEPSCs recorded after the application of depolarizing pulses is increased significantly to 50.7 pA (p < 0.001; Kolmogorov-Smirnov). The potentiation induced by depolarizing pulses did not alter the rise times and decay times of mEPSCs (Wyllie et al., 1994). Figure 2F shows an average of 50 control mEPSCs (gray trace) and 50 potentiated mEPSCs (black trace); when scaled, the control and potentiated mean mEPSCs are superimposable.

The data from all of the experiments in which depolarizing pulses were applied in the absence of any pharmacological manipulations are shown in Figure 3 (n = 60). Overall we observed...
that mEPSC amplitudes increased by approximately twofold (from 22.1 ± 0.9 to 46.1 ± 0.1 pA) after the application of depolarizing pulses (Fig. 3A). No changes were observed in the overall mean rise times and decay times of mEPSCs recorded before and after the application of depolarizing pulses, these values being 1.4 ± 0.1 and 11.9 ± 0.1 ms and 1.4 ± 0.1 and 12.6 ± 0.1 ms, respectively. Additionally, and as was the case with the recordings illustrated in Figure 1, potentiated mEPSCs showed no correlations in their rise times, decay times, and amplitudes (data not shown). After the potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes we observed a small transient but nonsignificant increase in the frequency of events from 1.6 ± 0.2 to 1.9 ± 0.2 Hz (p > 0.05; Mann–Whitney U test) (Wyllie et al., 1994). As was the case with our experiments that monitored mEPSC parameters in the absence of any depolarizing pulses (Fig. 1), the frequency of events decreased with time to ~70% of baseline (pre-DPP induction) levels. The overall mean mEPSC frequency 25–30 min after the induction of DPP was 1.1 ± 0.1 Hz, which is not significantly different (p > 0.05; Mann–Whitney U) from the value of 1.2 ± 0.3 Hz that we obtained at 25–30 min in the experiments illustrated in Figure 1D. Because of this generalized decrease in mEPSC frequency, we have restricted our analysis of the effects of various pharmacological manipulations, described below, mainly to the potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes. The distribution of the extent of potentiation seen across all experiments was distributed normally (Fig. 3C). Figure 3D shows that the initial mean mEPSC amplitude was not dependent on the frequency of events recorded in a cell, nor did the degree of potentiation in mEPSC amplitudes show dependence on initial mEPSC amplitude or frequency (Fig. 3E,F). These data could be taken as evidence to indicate either that DPP does not result in the saturation of spines with AMPARs (Choquet and Triller, 2003) or that, in addition to increased AMPAR expression, DPP results in the modification of AMPAR function/kinetic behavior such that it has a higher probability of opening (Erreger et al., 2004). In relation to this latter point it has been shown recently that Stargazin, a protein involved in the trafficking of AMPARs, also modulates the kinetic behavior of these receptors (Tomita et al., 2005).

Sources of calcium required for DPP of mEPSCs

As mentioned above, the main route of calcium entry into the postsynaptic cell during LTP-stimulating protocols is via the NMDAR. However, as shown in the examples of mEPSCs and the plot of the time course of potentiation illustrated in Figure 4A, sustained potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes is still observed in the presence of the NMDAR antagonist d-AP5 (50 μM). No differences in the magnitude of DPP are observed in experiments performed in the absence of d-AP5 (Fig. 3A) and those performed under conditions of NMDAR blockade. In another series of experiments we confirmed that this concentration of d-AP5 was sufficient to block the slow component of a glutamatergic EPSC recorded at +40 mV (data not shown). Thus, although depolarizing the neurons to +20 mV relieves voltage-dependent magnesium block, calcium entry via this route is not sufficient to potentiate mEPSCs.

Although the activation of NMDARs is not required for DPP, there is an absolute requirement for a rise in postsynaptic calcium levels for this type of potentiation to occur (Kullmann et al., 1992; Wyllie et al., 1994). As shown in Figure 4B, the activation of high-threshold voltage-gated calcium channels is required for this form of potentiation because nifedipine (10 μM; n = 3) prevents the depolarizing pulse-induced increase in mEPSC amplitudes. Preventing the rise in calcium levels in the CA1 pyramidal neuron by including BAPTA (10 mM) in the internal recording solution that was used to fill the patch electrode also resulted in the complete block of potentiation (Fig. 4C). These three observations are in agreement with previously published data concerning the role of calcium in DPP in acute hippocampal slices (Wyllie et al., 1994). To assess whether
was a dependence of DPP on intracellular calcium stores, we performed a series of experiments in the presence of thapsigargin and ryanodine (each, 10 μM; n = 6). When used in combination, these inhibitors deplete intracellular calcium stores and prevent calcium-induced calcium release, which, as illustrated in Figure 4D, results in a block of DPP. In all cases in which pharmacological manipulations that interfered with calcium levels in the cell resulted in a block of DPP, interleaved control experiments resulted in significant potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes (Fig. 4B–D, filled circles) (p < 0.05; Mann–Whitney U). Although the most parsimonious explanation for the results described above is that calcium entry via L-type calcium channels is the initial trigger for DPP of mEPSCs, our present study and earlier work (Wyllie et al., 1994) show only that the activation of these channels is required. Thus the possibility exists that a direct protein–protein interaction between L-type calcium channels and ryanodine receptors is responsible for DPP of mEPSCs. Indeed, direct interactions between these proteins have been reported (for review, see Blackstone and Sheng, 1999).

Inhibitors of PI3 kinase block the induction and maintenance of voltage pulse potentiation

PI3 kinase has been implicated as playing a role in the induction (Kelly and Lynch, 2000; Opazo et al., 2003) and maintenance (Sanna et al., 2002) of NMDAR-dependent LTP in the rat hippocampus. Additionally, Man and colleagues (2003) have demonstrated a role for this kinase in glycine-induced potentiation of mEPSCs in cultures of hippocampal neurons.

We investigated whether PI3 kinase played a role in the potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes induced by depolarizing pulses. We have used two structurally unrelated inhibitors to examine the role of PI3 kinase: wortmannin, an antifungal antibiotic (Powis et al., 1994), and LY294002, a synthetic and specific inhibitor of PI3 kinase (Vlahos et al., 1994).

Notwithstanding the role that PI3 kinase may play in LTP, controversy exists as to whether PI3 kinase inhibitors, at concentrations that affect LTP, also result in an attenuation of baseline synaptic transmission (Sanna et al., 2002; Opazo et al., 2003). Figure 5 shows the effects of wortmannin (n = 4) (Fig. 5A) and LY294002 (n = 3) (Fig. 5B) on mEPSC amplitudes recorded in a series of experiments to which no depolarizing pulses were applied. In neither case do these inhibitors, at the concentrations used in this study, affect the mean amplitude, the shape of the amplitude histogram, nor the overall distribution of mEPSCs (as indicated by cumulative probability plots) when compared with recordings made in the absence of these inhibitors (Fig. 1).
however, we found no evidence that the calcium currents evoked by the depolarizing pulses were altered in the presence of wortmannin (data not shown), ruling out the trivial explanation that the inhibition of PI3 kinase blocks DPP by reducing calcium entry during the induction of the potentiation. We additionally confirmed the role of PI3 kinase in DPP by performing a series of experiments in the presence of LY294002 (5 μM; n = 6). Figure 5D shows that, as was the case with wortmannin, LY294002 also completely blocked the induction of DPP, whereas interleaved control experiments (n = 5) showed potentiation. Finally, to ensure that the block of DPP by LY294002 was not attributable to a nonspecific action of this drug because of its chemical nature, we performed a series of experiments in the presence of LY303511 (5 μM), an inactive analog of LY294002. These two compounds differ at only one position: their morpholine rings, in which a nitrogen atom in LY303511 replaces the oxygen found in LY294002. As shown in Figure 5E, DPP was obtained in the presence of this inactive analog (n = 5).

Reversing the potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes with PI3 kinase inhibitors

Inhibitors of PI3 kinase applied after the induction of LTP can reverse the increase in synaptic efficacy that is observed (Sanna et al., 2002). However, it also has been reported that the concentrations of inhibitors required to reverse LTP maintenance also affect nonpotentiated (control) pathways (Opazo et al., 2003). We examined whether it was possible to reverse the DPP of mEPSCs by using the same concentrations of PI3 kinase inhibitors that we had demonstrated had no effect on the baseline amplitudes of mEPSCs (Fig. 5). Figure 6A illustrates the design of experiments (n = 8) to assess the role of PI3 kinase in the maintenance of the increased mEPSC amplitudes. After inducing DPP and observing an increase in mEPSC amplitudes, we exchanged the external recording solution to one that contained wortmannin (100 nM; a specific inhibitor of PI3 kinase) and observed the effects on mEPSC amplitudes and the time course of the experiment. Figure 6B shows superimposed sweeps on mEPSC activity recorded during the control period (1), at approximately the peak of the potentiation (2), and after wortmannin has reversed the increase caused by the application of depolarizing pulses (3). Examples of mean time courses of mEPSCs recorded during the periods indicated in A and B. The 10–90% rise times for the mean mEPSC from all three time periods are similar (control, 1.5 ± 0.1 ms; potentiated, 1.6 ± 0.1 ms; wortmannin-treated, 1.2 ± 0.2 ms). Similarly, the decay time constants show little difference among the three groups (control, 10.0 ± 0.7 ms; potentiated, 11.2 ± 0.3 ms; wortmannin-treated, 10.0 ± 1.1 ms). D, The PI3 kinase inhibitor LY294002 (5 μM; n = 7) also reverses the increase in mEPSC amplitudes induced by depolarizing pulses, with a time course similar to that seen with wortmannin. E, The inactive analog LY303511 (5 μM; n = 4) does not result in a reversal of DPP. Error bars indicate the mean ± SEM.

N-ethylmaleimide and botulinum toxin A inhibit DPP

Increases in the amplitude of mEPSCs are attributed most easily to an increase in the number of receptors activated by a single packet of transmitter. Although such a change could be accounted for by an increase in the probability that AMPARs open (P_open) after the binding of glutamate, this can occur only if P_open is sufficiently low to allow it to be modulated by a factor large enough to account for the change in mEPSC amplitude. Alternatively, an alteration in the single-channel conductance of synaptically located AMPARs also could account for the increase in mEPSC amplitudes we have observed. Indeed, both of these types of modulation have been proposed as mechanisms of AMPAR-mediated changes in synaptic strength (Benke et al., 1998; Banke et al., 2000) (for review, see Erreger et al., 2004). An alternative mechanism also has been described in which there is postsynaptic SNARE-dependent change in synaptic strength that results in the insertion of AMPARs into spines after activity-dependent or pharmacologically induced changes in synaptic strength (Lledo et al., 1998; Nishimune et al., 1998; Song et al., 1998; Shi et al., 1999, 2001; Lu et al., 2001) (for review, see Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Bredt and Nicoll, 2003; Collingridge et al., 2004). It is this latter mechanism that we investigated to determine whether the
NEM does not affect the amplitude of mEPSCs but reduces their frequency. A, Data from a single experiment showing the amplitude of individual mEPSCs recorded with a patch pipette filled with an internal solution containing NEM (5 mM). B, Amplitude histogram and cumulative probability plot (inset) of the data shown in A. C, Pooled data (n = 3) showing that the amplitudes of mEPSCs are stable in the presence of NEM over a 30 min recording period. D, NEM causes the frequencies of mEPSCs to decrease during the course of a 30 min recording. The dashed line indicates the normalized mEPSC frequency observed during the initial 5 min of recording. Error bars indicate the mean ± SEM.

We first examined the effect of NEM, a broad spectrum inhibitor of N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein (NSF), on the amplitude of mEPSCs. We included NEM (5 mM) in the solution used to fill the patch pipettes to attempt to restrict its actions to the postsynaptic cell, because bath application of NEM also would be expected to interfere with SNAREs located in the presynaptic nerve terminal and, hence, transmitter release. In the absence of depolarizing pulses NEM did not affect the individual amplitudes of mEPSCs nor their distribution (Fig. 7A, B). The mean amplitude of events recorded in the presence of NEM was 24.1 ± 0.4 pA and remained stable during the time course of these experiments (n = 3 experiments) (Fig. 7C). In addition, NEM did not alter the rise times or decay time constants of mEPSCs when compared with control events, these values being 1.0 ± 0.3 and 10.2 ± 1.9 ms, respectively (data not shown). Each of these sets of parameters is not significantly different from the equivalent values we obtained in the control recordings of mEPSCs, the data for which are illustrated in Figure 1 (p > 0.1 for all tests; Mann–Whitney U). However, we did observe that NEM reduced, significantly, the frequency of mEPSCs after 25–30 min of whole-cell recording (from 1.9 ± 0.1 to 1.0 ± 0.1 Hz; p < 0.05; Mann–Whitney U) (Fig. 7D). This reduction in frequency is greater than has a different profile from the “rundown” in mEPSC frequencies that we observed in earlier experiments (Fig. 1D) and may be taken as evidence that the interaction of AMPARs with NSF is required for constitutive cycling of these receptors (Lledo et al., 1998; Noel et al., 1999).

We next examined whether NEM could prevent the increase in mEPSC amplitudes seen after the application of depolarizing pulses. Figure 8A shows examples of superimposed traces of mEPSC activity recorded in the presence of NEM (5 mM) and has a different profile from the “rundown” in mEPSC frequencies that we obtained in the control recordings of mEPSCs, the data for which are illustrated in Figure 8D. The mean data from all experiments (n = 6) in which NEM was included in the patch pipette and corresponding interleaved control experiments (n = 6) are illustrated in Figure 8D.

We next examined the effects of another inhibitor of membrane fusion events on DPP. Botulinum toxin A, when acting at presynaptic nerve terminals, inhibits the action of synaptosome-associated protein of 25 kDa (SNAP-25) and also has been shown to block the potentiation of synaptic currents after NMDAR-dependent LTP (Lledo et al., 1998). Again, for this series of experiments we included this toxin in the solution filling the patch pipette. As a positive control for this series of experiments, we confirmed that the stock solution and concentration used (10 ng/ml) were sufficient to block synaptic transmission at the mouse neuromuscular junction (data not shown) (courtesy of R. R. Ribchester and D. Thomson, University of Edinburgh, UK). The effects of toxin were similar to those reported for NEM. Thus, as shown in Figure 8E–H, no evidence for an increase in mEPSC amplitude was seen when botulinum toxin A was included in the patch pipette. The mean data from all experiments (n = 7) in which botulinum toxin A was included in the patch pipette and corresponding interleaved control experiments (n = 5) are illustrated in Figure 8H.

Preventing the interaction of glutamate receptor 2 AMPAR subunits with NSF and protein interacting with C kinase 1 inhibits DPP

The experiments showing that NEM and botulinum toxin A inhibit DPP imply a role of SNARE complexes and SNAP-25 in the induction of this form of plasticity. However, in the experiments described above, there is the possibility that some of the effects of NEM and botulinum toxin A may be mediated by these inhibitors diffusing from the postsynaptic neuron to affect the function of presynaptic release sites, and this may contribute to the inhibition of DPP of mEPSCs. Thus to gain insight into the mechanism by which an increase in mEPSC might be achieved, we performed additional experiments with a series of short-sequence peptides that inhibit the interaction of AMPAR subunits with proteins involved in the trafficking of these subunits to the cell surface.

Pep2m is a 10-amino-acid sequence that targets the NSF binding motif on the glutamate receptor 2 (GluR2) AMPAR subunit. This peptide has been reported to reduce the amplitude of evoked EPSCs and decrease the surface expression of AMPAR subunits when it is included in internal recording solutions (Nishimune et al., 1998; Lüscher et al., 1999; Luthi et al., 1999; Noel et al., 1999; Kim and Lisman, 2001; Shi et al., 2001; Gardner et al., 2005; Liu and Cull-Candy, 2005). This reduction in evoked EPSC amplitudes may arise from the fact that, during recording, the same populations of synapses are sampled over a prolonged period. Thus, if GluR2 receptor subunits are trafficked (constitutively cycled) on a relatively short time scale (but see Adesnik et al.,...
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To ensure that the action of pep2m was not attributable to a nonspecific effect of including this peptide in the internal recording solution, we also performed experiments with pep4c, a 10-

To test whether pep2m (50 μM) affected the amplitude of mEPSCs recording in the absence of depolarizing pulses (Fig. 9A). The mean amplitude of mEPSCs recorded in these experiments (n = 4) was 29.5 ± 3.3 pA, which although higher than some of the amplitudes recorded in our control experiments, does not indicate that pep2m causes a generalized decrease in mEPSC amplitudes similar to that reported for evoked EPSCs (Noel et al., 1999). We did observe that the frequency of mEPSCs was reduced significantly (p < 0.05; Mann–Whitney U) during the time course of these experiments (Fig. 9B) from an initial value of 1.3 ± 0.2 to 0.9 ± 0.1 Hz after 25 min of whole-cell recording. However, this reduction in frequency is not significantly different from our control recordings (p > 0.05; Mann–Whitney U) (Fig. 1D); thus it is difficult to ascribe, unequivocally, this reduction to a specific action of pep2m as has been suggested previously (Noel et al., 1999) (but see Liu and Cull-Candy, 2005). Nonetheless, consistent with the idea that the infusion of pep2m affects the properties of, or results in an ablation of, mEPSCs originating from synapses proximal to the soma, we observed an increase in both the rise time and decay time constants of mEPSCs recorded toward the end of the 30 min recording period, compared with events recorded under control conditions. These values were 1.7 ± 0.1 and 21.1 ± 0.9 ms, respectively, which is to be expected if such events originated from more distal sites (Noel et al., 1999).

Figure 10A illustrates the effects of pep2m (50 μM) on DPP. Superimposed sweeps on mEPSC activity recorded before and after the application of depolarizing pulses indicate that there is little change in the amplitude of these events in the presence of this inhibitor. Comparison of amplitude histograms and corresponding cumulative probability plots confirmed that the induction of DPP is blocked by pep2m. To ensure that the action of pep2m was not attributable to a nonspecific effect of including this peptide in the internal recording solution, we also performed experiments with pep4c, a 10-amino-acid peptide that differs from pep2m in position 8, where the asparagine residue of pep2m is replaced by a serine residue.

This single amino acid substitution prevents pep4c from interacting with the NSF binding domain of the GluR2 AMPAR subunit (Nishimune et al., 1998). In a series of experiments (n = 3; data not shown) we confirmed that pep4c did not affect the amplitude of mEPSCs in the absence of depolarizing pulses (23.1 ± 2.0 pA). In these experiments we also noted that pep4c did not affect the frequency of mEPSCs nor their rise time or decay time.
Effects of peptide inhibitors on control mEPSC amplitudes and frequencies. A, B, Effect of pep2m (50 μM; n = 4) on mEPSCs recorded in the absence of depolarizing pulses. Although pep2m does not affect the amplitude of events, their frequencies are reduced significantly in the final 10 min of the recording period as compared with the initial 10 min of recording (p < 0.05; Mann–Whitney U). C, D, Pep2-AVKI (50 μM; n = 3) does not affect the amplitude of mEPSCs. The frequencies of mEPSCs are not significantly different during the initial and final 10 min of recording (p > 0.05, Mann–Whitney U). E, F, Pep1-TGL (50 μM; n = 3) on its own does not affect the amplitudes or frequencies of mEPSCs. The dashed lines indicate the normalized mEPSC amplitudes or frequencies observed during the initial 5 min of recording. Error bars indicate the mean ± SEM.

Discussion

DPP: comparison with NMDAR-dependent LTP

Changes in synaptic strength in the CA1 region of the mammalian hippocampus, whether these result in increased or decreased activity, are triggered initially by spatiotemporal changes in intracellular calcium levels. Conventional NMDAR-dependent LTP-inducing protocols result in only a small percentage of synapses experiencing this change in calcium level, making changes in miniature synaptic events difficult to observe (but see Manabe et al., 1992). Although the potentiation described in this paper is not dependent on NMDAR activation and is unlikely to be equivalent to CA1 NMDAR-dependent LTP, our results show that increases in synaptic activity brought about by activation of VDCCs share common

GluR1 subunit interactions with synapse-associated protein-97 are required for DPP

AMPERs in CA1 pyramidal neurons contain GluR2 subunits existing predominately as heteromeric complexes of GluR1/GluR2 receptor subunits or GluR2/ GluR3 receptor subunits (Wenthold et al., 1996) (for review, see Bredt and Nicoll, 2003). The experiments described above have examined only the interaction of the GluR2 receptor subunit with membrane fusion/trafficking processes. Although GluR2 subunit interactions with NSF and PICK1 have been suggested to play an important role in constitutive cycling of AMPARs, the mechanisms of expression of LTP may involve, in addition, interaction of the GluR1 subunit with calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMIIK) and synapse-associated protein-97 (SAP-97) (Leonard et al., 1998; Hayashi et al., 2000; Shi et al., 2001; Rumbaugh et al., 2003). The 11-amino-acid peptide pep1-TGL is a peptide sequence targeted to the TGL motif found in the C terminus of the GluR1 subunit that binds to SAP-97 (Hayashi et al., 2000; Shi et al., 2001). We investigated, by interfering with this interaction between GluR1 and SAP-97, whether DPP was compromised.

As with all of the peptides investigated in this study, we examined, initially, the effect of pep1-TGL (50 μM) on mEPSCs recorded from CA1 pyramidal neurons in the absence of any depolarizing pulse-stimulating protocol. The mean amplitude of mEPSCs recorded in the presence of pep1-TGL (23.1 ± 3.1 pA; n = 3) (Fig. 9E) was not significantly different from mean values recorded in control cells (p < 0.05; Mann–Whitney U). Additionally, pep1-TGL did not alter the mEPSC frequencies (Fig. 9F) nor their kinetic properties. However, inclusion of pep1-TGL (50 μM) in the internal recording solution resulted in a block of the potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes induced by depolarizing pulses. The mean data obtained from all experiments performed in the presence of pep1-TGL (n = 7) and the corresponding interleaved control experiments (n = 5) are shown in Figure 10E.

In addition to the interaction of GluR2 subunits with the NSF protein, synaptic expression and composition of AMPARs have been shown to be dependent on the action of protein interacting with C kinase 1 (PICK1) (Li et al., 1999; Chung et al., 2000; Daw et al., 2000; Perez et al., 2001; Hirbec et al., 2002; Terashima et al., 2004). The 11-amino-acid peptide pep2-AVKI is directed against the PICK1/GluR2 receptor-binding motif (Osten et al., 2000) without affecting interactions with other proteins, such as GRIP/ABP (glutamate receptor-interacting protein/AMPAR-binding protein), which also are involved in the trafficking of AMPARs containing this subunit. We investigated whether this peptide could prevent DPP. In a series of control experiments (n = 3) we confirmed, in agreement with a recent report (Liu and Cull-Candy, 2005), that this peptide did not affect mEPSC amplitudes (20.0 ± 3.6 pA) or frequencies (1.6 ± 0.2 Hz) in the absence of depolarizing pulses (Fig. 9C,D). The values are not significantly different from those illustrated in Figure 1D (p > 0.05; Mann–Whitney U). However, as shown in the pooled data (n = 8) illustrated in Figure 10D, pep2-AVKI prevented the increase in mEPSC amplitudes after the application of depolarizing pulses. As with pep2m, we observed a small transient potentiation of amplitudes immediately after the induction protocol.
signaling pathways and expression mechanisms, with changes in synaptic efficacy resulting from NMDAR-dependent LTP.

The role of VDCCs in NMDAR-dependent LTP induced by theta burst stimulation has been investigated (Grover and Teyler, 1990; Morgan and Teyler, 2001), and these studies have provided evidence that, in addition to an NMDAR-dependent component to theta burst-induced LTP, VDCCs can be activated and induce potentiation of synaptic potentials that are blocked by nifedipine. Thus, although DPP is not equivalent to such theta burst-induced potentiation (because it shows no NMDAR-dependent component), there is reason to believe that our induction protocol activates signaling cascades that also can be triggered with physiologically relevant stimuli.

Our finding that the potentiation was stable over the time course of our experiments, rather than transient, is in contrast to earlier reports (Kullmann et al., 1992; Wyllie and Nicoll, 1994; Wyllie et al., 1994). Earlier studies were performed by using acute slices and were performed on a different species (guinea pig compared to rat). Nevertheless, using rat acute slices, Hendricson and colleagues (2003) have reported transient potentiation with the use of a protocol similar to that described here. One intriguing possibility to explain the temporal differences in the potentiation is that levels of phosphatase activity are different in acute and organotypic slices. In experiments that used acute slices, Wyllie and Nicoll (1994) showed that inhibition of phosphatase activity converted transient potentiation to a stable form. Alternatively, organotypic slice preparations simply might give rise to a potentiation that decays more slowly and that was not detected during the time course of our experiments. Our fundamental observations, namely that DPP of mEPSC amplitudes is NMDAR-independent, requires activation of L-type VDCCs, and is blocked by postsynaptic chelation of calcium, are similar to those reported in the original study (Wyllie et al., 1994). In addition, the experiments with Ryanodine and thapsigargin reveal a requirement for functional intracellular calcium stores in the induction of DPP. It remains to be determined whether calcium itself triggers intracellular release or whether it results from second messenger action, e.g., inositol triphosphate-stimulated release.

It should be noted that, although our data demonstrate that DPP and NMDAR-dependent LTP show some similarities in terms of expression mechanisms, calcium entry via VDCCs does not substitute for calcium entry via NMDARs in other processes triggered by NMDAR activation. For example, excitotoxicity initiated by calcium entry via NMDARs is not mimicked by calcium entry via VDCCs even when levels of calcium entry through VDCCs are equal to, or greater than, those sufficient to trigger cell death via NMDARs (Tymianski et al., 1993; Sattler et al., 1998; Hardingham and Bading, 2003).

Figure 10. Pep2m, pep2-AVKI, and pep1-TGL each block the induction of DPP. A, Top, Superimposed sweeps of mEPSC activity recorded before (top traces) and after (bottom traces) the application of depolarizing pulses in the presence of pep2m (50 μM). B, Bottom, Amplitude histogram and cumulative probability plot (inset) of mEPSC amplitudes recorded in a control period (gray dashed line/gray symbols) and in a similar time period after the application of depolarizing pulses (solid line/black symbols). No significant differences are seen in these distributions. B, Top, Shown are superimposed sweeps of mEPSC activity recorded before (top traces) and after (bottom traces) the application of depolarizing pulses in the presence of pep4c (50 μM), a peptide that differs from pep2m by a single amino acid; the asparagine residue at position 8 of pep2m is replaced by a serine residue in pep4c. In the presence of pep2m, clear potentiation of mEPSC amplitudes can be seen. C, Mean data from all experiments in which pep2-AVKI (n = 8) or pep4c (n = 4) was included in the internal recording solution and corresponding control experiments performed during the same period (n = 3); error bars indicate the mean ± SEM. D, Mean data from all experiments in which pep2-AVKI (n = 8) was included in the internal recording solution and corresponding control experiments performed during the same period (n = 3); error bars indicate the mean ± SEM. E, Mean data from all experiments in which pep1-TGL (n = 7) was included in the internal recording solution; error bars indicate the mean ± SEM. Complete block of potentiation was observed when this peptide was present. Data from interleaved control experiments (n = 5) showed typical potentiation.

PI3 kinase and DPP
PI3 kinase plays a pivotal role in intracellular signaling cascades and is a common target (or link) with other biochemical trans-
duction mechanisms thought to be involved in LTP (for example, NMDAR-dependent ERK activation). Our findings that DPP also has a dependency on PI3 kinase suggest that the processes activated by calcium entry either through NMDARs or VDCCs may have a common convergence; whether this is at the level of the kinase itself or upstream or downstream of PI3 kinase remains to be determined. We did not examine the mechanism by which calcium entry via VDCCs results in PI3 kinase activation. Because DPP also has a requirement for CaMKII activity (Wyllie and Nicoll, 1994), activation of PI3 kinase may depend on upstream signaling cascades rather than on direct activation of PI3 kinase by calcium entry from VDCCs. In this respect it is interesting to note that Sanna and colleagues (2002) also report that a VDCC-dependent form of LTP is blocked by LY294002. Furthermore, our data lend support to the argument that inhibiting the activity of PI3 kinase can reverse the increase in synaptic strength after LTP (Sanna et al., 2002) (but see Opazo et al., 2003). The fact that neither of the PI3 kinase inhibitors used in this study affected the mean mEPSC amplitudes in control recordings suggests that this kinase does not play a prominent role in maintaining surface expression of AMPARs (at least on the time scale monitored in this study). Rather, PI3 kinase activity is essential in the induction and maintenance of the potentiation. We did not, in the experiments addressing reversibility of potentiation, examine the maintenance phase sensitivity to PI3 kinase inhibitors by applying these to slices at later time intervals. In this respect it is interesting to note that, following NMDAR-dependent LTP, AMPARs composed of GluR1/GluR2 subunits are inserted rapidly into membranes, only to be replaced at a later time with complexes containing GluR2/GluR3 (Shi et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2004), indicating that mechanisms exist for the replacement of recently inserted AMPA receptors. Thus the sensitivity of reversibility of DPP to PI3 kinase inhibitors may be related to this mechanism. In addition to our present results showing a role for PI3 kinase in DPP, it is interesting to note that protein kinase A (PKA) also has been implicated in AMPAR subunit trafficking in synaptic plasticity-induced organotypic slice cultures (Esteban et al., 2003). It remains to be determined whether there is any PKA dependency of DPP.

Membrane fusion events, receptor trafficking, and DPP

Inhibitors of membrane fusion events, together with small peptides that disrupt the interaction of AMPAR subunits with proteins involved in receptor trafficking, each blocked the induction of DPP. Although both NSF and botulinum toxin A have been shown to block the induction of NMDAR-dependent LTP (Lledo et al., 1998), experiments that used the inhibitory peptide pep2m to block the interaction of the GluR2 AMPAR subunit with NSF generally have concluded that the GluR2–NSF interaction is involved in the constitutive cycling of AMPARs (Nishimune et al., 1998; Lüscher et al., 1999; Luthi et al., 1999; Noel et al., 1999; Kim and Lisman, 2001; Shi et al., 2001; Gardner et al., 2003; Liu and Cull-Candy, 2003) and in the expression of long-term depression (LTD) (Lüscher et al., 1999; Luthi et al., 1999). In this respect the effects of pep2m on DPP contrast with those seen with conventional LTP.

Conflicting reports exist concerning the role of PICK1 in regulating AMPARs at synapses. In the hippocampus PICK1 decreases GluR2 subunit expression by promoting internalization of AMPARs (Kim et al., 2001; Perez et al., 2001) and thus may be involved in the expression of LTD but also can increase the insertion of AMPARs by releasing them from intracellular membranes (Daw et al., 2000) or by promoting the expression of GluR2 lacking AMPARs (Terashima et al., 2004). In the cerebellum PICK1 promotes the insertion of GluR2-containing AMPARs after high-frequency stimulation of synaptic inputs to stellate cells (Gardner et al., 2005; Liu and Cull-Candy, 2005). We observed that the inhibitory peptide pep2-AVKI, which blocks the interaction of the GluR2 subunit with PICK1, blocks the DPP of mEPSC amplitudes. However, we have not investigated the rectification properties of potentiated mEPSCs and therefore cannot determine whether this stimulation protocol results in a similar change that has been seen with high-frequency stimulation of inputs to stellate cells. We did, however, observe a small transient potentiation in the presence of pep2-AVKI, which might indicate that other trafficking proteins can mediate, albeit to a lesser extent, aspects of this potentiation.

In our final set of experiments we observed that blocking the interaction of GluR1 subunits with SAP-97 with the peptide pep1-TGL also inhibited DPP. This suggests that, in addition to interactions of GluR2 with trafficking proteins, there is a requirement for an intact GluR1 trafficking mechanism to allow DPP to be established. Whether the nature of the stimulus used to induce DPP reveals a different mechanism for receptor trafficking than has been suggested for NMDAR-dependent LTP or whether such interaction also can occur in other forms of activity-dependent changes in synaptic strength remains to be determined.

Conclusion

Our data support the emerging consensus that rises in intracellular calcium lead to increases in synaptic efficacy by increasing the number of functional AMPARs expressed in spines. The increase in mEPSC amplitudes triggered by depolarizing pulses is mediated by a signaling cascade that involves PI3 kinase and the interaction of AMPAR subunits with proteins such as NSF, PICK1, and SAP-97 and, in this respect, shares a commonality with NMDAR-dependent plasticity. The ability to induce changes at a large number of sites throughout the dendritic tree of a CA1 pyramidal cell provides a mechanism to investigate alterations in synaptic strength by using biochemical and imaging techniques that have, to date, been reliant mainly on pharmacologically induced potentiation to achieve cell-wide potentiation.
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