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Chronic Activation of Corticotropin-Releasing Factor Type 2 Receptors Reveals a Key Role for 5-HT1A Receptor Responsiveness in Mediating Behavioral and Serotonergic Responses to Stressful Challenge


Background: The corticotropin-releasing factor type 2 receptor (CRFR2) is suggested to play an important role in aiding recovery from acute stress, but any chronic effects of CRFR2 activation are unknown. CRFR2 in the midbrain raphé nuclei modulate serotonergic activity of this key source of serotonin (5-HT) forebrain innervation.

Methods: Transgenic mice overexpressing the highly specific CRFR2 ligand urocortin 3 (UCN3OE) were analyzed for stress-related behaviors and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses. Responses to 5-HT receptor agonist challenge were assessed by local cerebral glucose utilization, while 5-HT and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid content were quantified in limbic brain regions.

Results: Mice overexpressing urocortin 3 exhibited increased stress-related behaviors under basal conditions and impaired retention of spatial memory compared with control mice. Following acute stress, unlike control mice, they exhibited no further increase in these stress-related behaviors and showed an attenuated adrenocorticotropic hormone response. 5-HT and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid content of limbic nuclei were differentially regulated by stress in UCN3OE mice as compared with control mice. Responses to 5-HT type 1A receptor challenge were significantly and specifically reduced in UCN3OE mice. The distribution pattern of local cerebral glucose utilization and 5-HT type 1A receptor messenger RNA expression levels suggested this effect was mediated in the raphé nuclei.

Conclusions: Chronic activation of CRFR2 promotes an anxiety-like state, yet with attenuated behavioral and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses to stress. This is reminiscent of stress-related atypical psychiatric syndromes such as posttraumatic stress disorder, chronic fatigue, and chronic pain states. This new understanding indicates CRFR2 antagonism as a potential novel therapeutic target for such disorders.
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Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) plays a fundamental role in regulating the behavioral and neuroendocrine responses to stressors (1). These effects, mediated via stimulation of the CRF type 1 receptor (CRFR1) include hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation and promotion of anxiety (2). In contrast, urocortins (Ucns) are the endogenous ligands for the CRF type 2 receptor (CRFR2) (3–7), which is suggested to modulate these stress responses. Affective disorders are associated with CRF hyperactivity (8). CRF and Ucns alter the neurotransmitter systems targeted by antidepressants, in particular the serotonergic system (9,10). CRFR2 is abundant in the midbrain raphé nuclei (11–13), the main source of serotonin (5-HT) innervation to the forebrain. CRFR2 activity increases 5-HT neuronal firing rates and 5-HT release in efferent stress-related nuclei (14–18). This interaction may provide the major link between Ucns/CRFR2 and their effects on stress responses.

While acute pharmacologic stimulation of CRFR2 activates the HPA axis (19,20), both anxiogenic and anxiolytic effects on behavior are reported in rodents (21). Differing experimental paradigms or nonspecificity of the pharmacologic tools employed may be responsible (6,21). Urocortin 3 (Ucn3), however, is a highly specific agonist for CRFR2 (3,6,7). Close anatomical association between major Ucn3 terminal fields and CRFR2 in the limbic system and hypothalamus indicate this peptide is well placed to be an endogenous modulator of CRFR2 activity (22). Ucn3 appears to be anxiolytic when administered in acute experiments (21), but elevated Ucn3 in the perifornical hypothalamus is anxiogenic over a period of days (23). Although long-term CRFR2 stimulation is pertinent to human affective disorders, the effects of chronic CRFR2 activation on stress-related behaviors, the HPA axis, and 5-HT function are largely unknown. We have investigated this key issue by exploiting transgenic mice overexpressing Ucn3 (UCN3OE) widely throughout the brain.

Methods and Materials

Animals
Mice overexpressing Ucn3 were generated by pronuclear DNA microinjection of Ucn3 complementary DNA under the control of the ROSA26 promoter into C57BL/6 × BALB/c first generation...
Acute restraint stress, mice were subjected to
30 minutes in a ventilated 50 mL plastic centrifuge tube and
return to the home cage for 30 minutes before testing. Separate
cohorts of animals were used for 1) rotarod and behavioral testing
under basal conditions, n = 14 to 16; 2) behavioral testing following
restraint stress, n = 11 to 15; and 3) Barnes maze analysis, n = 13 to
14. Order of testing was rotarod test (cohorts 1 only), open-field test,
elevated plus-maze (EPM), light-dark transfer test (LDT), and tail
suspension test (TST), with 48 to 72 hours between tests. These
animals were not further used for other experiments described in
this study.

Rotarod Test
Mice were placed on a standard rotarod apparatus with the
speed increasing linearly from 5 to 70 rpm over a 5-minute period.
Latency to fall from the rotating drum was recorded. For evaluation
of motor skill learning, mice were tested three times in quick suc-
cession, and this was repeated three times over a period of 4 hours.
To test motor memory, another three-run cycle was performed the
following day.

Open-Field Test
The apparatus and experimental conditions were as previously
described (25). Each mouse was placed in the center of the appara-
tus to initiate a 10-minute test session. Time spent in the inner
squares of the arena and the total number of squares crossed were
calculated.

Elevated Plus-Maze
The apparatus and experimental conditions were as previously
described (26). Number of open-arm and closed-arm entries and
time spent on the open or closed arms were scored. Arm entries
were defined as entry of all four paws into the arm. Total arm entries
were taken as an index of locomotor activity.

Light/Dark Transfer Test
The apparatus and experimental conditions were as previously
described (25). During a 5-minute test session, the latency to enter
the light compartment and the number of entries and time spent in
the light compartment were measured.

Tail Suspension Test
Mice were suspended from a metal horizontal rod by taping
by the base of the tail for 6 minutes. The duration of immobility
was scored. Any mouse climbing onto the rod was excluded from
analysis.

Barnes Maze
The apparatus consists of a 90 cm diameter Plexiglas circular
platform, with 20 holes (5 cm diameter) equidistant around the
periphery and surrounded by visual cues. One hole leads to an
escape chamber, always located underneath the same randomly
determined hole for each mouse. The initial training session was
performed by placing the mouse in the escape box for 1 minute and
the first trial started 1 minute later. Mice were placed in the middle
of the platform under a cup, which was removed to initiate each
trial. These were carried out with 80 dB white noise and 400 lux
lighting as aversive stimuli. The trial ended upon entry to the escape
chamber or after 5 minutes had elapsed. Sound and light were
turned off immediately upon successful termination of the trial and
the mouse was allowed to remain in the dark for 1 minute. Each
mouse was subject to 16 trials over a period of 10 days. First error
was scored as the number of holes away from the correct hole first
approached by the mouse. An error was defined as searching any
hole that did not have the escape chamber beneath it. Time to
complete the trial and the search strategy were also recorded:
random, unsystematic hole searches with crossings through the
maze center; serial, systematic hole searches (every hole or every
other hole) in a clockwise or counterclockwise direction; and spa-
tial, moving directly to the target hole or to an adjacent hole before
visiting the target. A probe test in which the escape chamber was
closed off was run on the final day. For scoring, the maze was
divided into four quadrants, with the target hole in the center of the
periphery of one quadrant. Latency to first approach the target hole
and time spent, crossings into, and the distance travelled in the
correct quadrant were scored.

Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis Activity
Blood samples were collected from individually housed mice by
retro-orbital eye bleed from unanesthetized animals within 15 sec-
onds of disturbance of the home cage. For basal HPA axis activity,
samples were collected at 7:00 AM and 5:00 PM (lights on 6:00 AM).
For HPA axis response to stress, samples were collected after
2 minutes and 10 minutes of restraint stress at 7:00 AM. Individual
animals were sampled only once (n = 13–15). Adrenocorticotropic
hormone (ACTH) and corticosterone levels were measured in duplica-
te in unextracted plasma samples using commercially available
radioimmunoassay kits as previously described (19). Adrenal glands
were weighed following dissection from a separate cohort of
animals.

Ucn3 Radioimmunoassay
Ucn3 was measured in whole brain (n = 3) by in-house radiom-
unoassay as previously described (27).

Messenger RNA Analysis by In Situ Hybridization
Histochemistry and Quantitative Real-Time
Polymerase Chain Reaction
Antisense and sense (control) RNA probes were generated
using mouse Ucn3 complementary DNA and labeled with DIG-
11-UTP using a labeling kit from Roche Molecular Biochemicals
(Burgess Hill, United Kingdom). In situ hybridization for Ucn3
message RNA (mRNA) (n = 3) and quantitative polymerase
chain reaction (qPCR) for CRFR1, CRFR2, and CRF mRNA expres-
sion (n = 4–7) were carried out as previously reported (28,29).
Primers for serotonin 1A receptor (5-HT1AR) (Htr1a) qPCR: 5’-
GTGCCACCATCAGCAAGGACC-3’ and 5’-GCGCCGAAAGTGGAGT-
AGAT-3’ corresponded to nucleotides 1648-1667 and 1698–1717,
respectively. Primers for serotonin reuptake transporter (Slc6a4)
quPCR: 5’-GGTATTGGATAGTACGTTCGCA-3’ and 5’-CATACGCC-
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brain stereotaxic atlas (34) and included the raphé nuclei, an anxiety-related raphé-amygdala-subiculum circuit, and septal areas implicated in stress recovery. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of 5-HT and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) were performed as previously described (35).

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analyses employed two-way analysis of variance with post hoc analysis using Fisher’s protected least significant difference test or the two-tailed Student t test, as appropriate. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Brain Overexpression of Ucn3 in UCN3OE Mice**

Control brains showed endogenous Ucn3 mRNA expression in the medial amygdala, perifornical area, and the bed nucleus of the stria terminals as previously reported (6, 22). In situ hybridization demonstrated widespread overexpression of Ucn3 mRNA in UCN3OE brains (Figure 1A–G), while increased peptide levels were confirmed by radioimmunoassay (Figure 1K). In addition to expressing Ucn3 mRNA in these endogenous sites, UCN3OE mice have ectopic expression in numerous brain nuclei, including the basolateral amygdala (BLA), cornu ammonis (CA1), CA3, subiculum, and dentate gyrus of the hippocampus; lateral septum (LS) and control hybridization for Ucn3 messenger RNA in UCN3OE (A–G) and control (H–J) mice showed an endogenous pattern of expression in both control and UCN3OE mice in the MeA (E). Ectopic Ucn3 messenger RNA expression was present in the PAG (A), Vst (B), Ctx (C), DRN (D), BLA (E), LS and MS nuclei (F), and the hippocampal CA1, DG, and Hb (G) of UCN3OE mice. (J) Ucn3 peptide levels were increased in UCN3OE brains (K). \( n = 3 \). 3V, third ventricle; 4V, fourth ventricle; Aq, cerebral aqueduct; BLA, basolateral amygdala; CA, cornu ammonis; Ctx, cortex; DG, dentate gyrus; DRN, dorsal raphé nucleus; Hb, habenula; LS, lateral septal; LV, lateral ventricle; MeA, medial amygdala; MS, medial septal; PAG, periaqueductal gray; Ucn3, urocortin 3; Vst, vestibular nucleus.

**High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Analysis of Tissue Concentrations of 5-HT and 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic Acid**

Mice (\( n = 8 \)) were killed by decapitation under basal conditions or 24 hours following restraint stress. Brains were stored at \(-80^\circ C\) until analysis. Areas selected for microdissection (Table S1 in Supplement 1) were identified by comparisons with a standard mouse brain stereotaxic atlas (34) and included the raphé nuclei, an anxiety-related raphé-amygdala-subiculum circuit, and septal areas implicated in stress recovery. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of 5-HT and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) were performed as previously described (35).

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analyses employed two-way analysis of variance with post hoc analysis using Fisher’s protected least significant difference test or the two-tailed Student t test, as appropriate. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).
medial septum; lateral and medial habenula; caudate putamen; piriform cortex; arcuate nucleus and ventromedial hypothalamus; vestibular nucleus; dorsal raphé nucleus (DRN); periaqueductal gray; and cortex. Several of these brain regions, including the LS, ventromedial hypothalamus, and DRN, express high levels of CRFR2 (12). CRF, CRFR1, and CRFR2 mRNA levels were quantified in their principle sites of expression within anxiety-related circuits (Table S2 in Supplement 1). Ucn3 overexpression did not significantly alter their expression in any area.

UCN3OE Mice Show Increased Stress-Related Behaviors

Motor learning and memory were tested using a 2-day, 12-trial rotarod test. Mice overexpressing Ucn3 showed no motor deficits (Figure 2A). Similarly, in the open-field test, UCN3OE mice and littermate control mice showed no differences in total number of crossings, indicating similar locomotor behavior (Figure 2B).

Assessment of stress-related behaviors was initially carried out under basal conditions, without exposing mice to any stress other than that caused by the test itself. Mice overexpressing Ucn3 exhibited higher anxiety-like behavior, as evidenced by less time spent on the open arms (Figure 2C) and less entries made to the open arms (Figure 2D) of the EPM. Again, this was not due to differences in locomotion since the total number of entries was similar between groups (Figure 2E). In the LDT, UCN3OE mice showed increased anxiety-like behavior with a longer latency to enter the light compartment (Figure 2F). Immobility in the tail suspension test following stress. n = 11 to 15. (G) Time spent, (H) crossings in, and (I) distance travelled in the correct quadrant and (J) latency to find the escape hole, n = 13 to 15. **p < .01, *p < .05.

UCN3OE mice (A) spent similar time on and (B) made a similar number of entries into the open arms of the elevated plus-maze as control mice. UCN3OE mice showed a tendency to (C) a shorter latency to enter and (D) made significantly more entries into and (E) spent more time in the light compartment in the light/dark transfer test. (F) Immobility in the tail suspension test following stress. n = 11 to 15. (G) Time spent, (H) crossings in, and (I) distance travelled in the correct quadrant and (J) the latency to find the escape hole during the probe test in the Barnes maze, n = 13 to 15. *p < .05, **p < .01, −p = .09.

Figure 3. Mice overexpressing urocortin 3 (UCN3OE) show attenuated behavioral responses to acute restraint stress and spatial memory deficits. UCN3OE mice (A) spent similar time on and (B) made a similar number of entries into the open arms of the elevated plus-maze as control mice. UCN3OE mice showed a tendency to (C) a shorter latency to enter and (D) made significantly more entries into and (E) spent more time in the light compartment in the light/dark transfer test. (F) Immobility in the tail suspension test following stress. n = 11 to 15. (G) Time spent, (H) crossings in, and (I) distance travelled in the correct quadrant and (J) the latency to find the escape hole during the probe test in the Barnes maze, n = 13 to 15. *p < .05, **p < .01, −p = .09.

Figure 4. Mice overexpressing Ucn3 (UCN3OE) and control mice show similar basal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity but an attenuated adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) response upon acute stress. Plasma ACTH levels were measured in the (A) evening and (B) morning under basal conditions. (C) On acute stress, UCN3OE mice show attenuated ACTH levels. No differences were found in plasma corticosterone levels in the (D) evening and (E) morning or (F) following stress. n = 13 to 15. *p < .05.
light compartment (Figure 2F) and a tendency toward less entries into the light (Figure 2G, p = .06) and less time spent in the light (Figure 2H, p = .07). Mice overexpressing Ucn3 also spent more time immobile than control mice in the TST (Figure 2I) (36).

It has been previously shown that activation of CRF2 affects anxiety-like behavior (23,37,38) under stressed conditions. Following exposure to acute restraint stress (separate cohort), there were no longer differences between behavior of UCN3OE mice and control mice in the EPM (Figure 3A, B; Figure S1 in Supplement 1). This appears due to a stress-related increase in anxiety-like behavior in control but not UCN3OE mice. In the LTD, UCN3OE mice entered the light compartment more often (Figure 3D) and spent significantly more time in the light (Figure 3E) than control mice. This appeared to be due to a decrease in anxiety-like behavior in UCN3OE mice. Stress increased immobility in the TST in both genotypes, but there was no difference between genotypes in immobility following stress (Figure 3F). Overall stress removed the affective behavioral differences between UCN3OE and control mice seen under basal conditions.

Spatial Learning and Memory in the Barnes Maze

Both control and UCN3OE mice showed similar learning in the Barnes maze (39), as shown by measures of reduction in distance of the first hole checked from the escape hole (first error), reduction in number of errors made in each trial set, shorter latency to escape over 16 trials, and the strategy used to locate the escape hole (Figure S1 in Supplement 1). Retention of learning was tested using a single trial probe test. Mice overexpressing Ucn3 explored the correct quadrant significantly less than control mice, as shown by the number of crossings (Figure 3H) and distance travelled (Figure 3I) in the correct quadrant. In addition, UCN3OE mice showed a longer latency to approach the escape hole (Figure 3J). Hence, UCN3OE mice show impaired spatial memory retention.

HPA Axis Responsiveness

ACTH and corticosterone levels measured under stress-free basal conditions were not different between genotypes at the diurnal peak (Figure 4A, D) and trough (Figure 4B, E) of the circadian rhythm. Following restraint stress, UCN3OE mice showed a signific-

### Table 1. LCMRglc in Brain Regions of Control and UCN3OE Mice in Response to 5-HT1AR Agonist 8-OH-DPAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Brain Area</th>
<th>Control Saline</th>
<th>8-OH-DPAT</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>UCN3OE Saline</th>
<th>8-OH-DPAT</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Dorsal Raphé Nucleus</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>25 ± 3a</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
<td>18 ± 5a</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median Raphé Nucleus</td>
<td>46 ± 2</td>
<td>36 ± 3a</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>45 ± 3</td>
<td>24 ± 7a</td>
<td>-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neocortex</td>
<td>Orbitofrontal</td>
<td>62 ± 4</td>
<td>39 ± 4a</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>62 ± 5</td>
<td>52 ± 4</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
<td>33 ± 3a</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>48 ± 4</td>
<td>42 ± 3</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior cingulate</td>
<td>48 ± 4</td>
<td>31 ± 4a</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>45 ± 5</td>
<td>39 ± 4</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prefrontal/Cx</td>
<td>47 ± 5</td>
<td>22 ± 3a</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>47 ± 4</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somatosensory</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
<td>38 ± 5a</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>52 ± 5</td>
<td>45 ± 3</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
<td>34 ± 4a</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>48 ± 5</td>
<td>43 ± 3</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>51 ± 4</td>
<td>32 ± 3a</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>49 ± 5</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Piriform</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>24 ± 2a</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
<td>35 ± 5</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>24 ± 3a</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>Molecular layer/Cx</td>
<td>41 ± 4</td>
<td>26 ± 3a</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>44 ± 3</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal subiculum/Cx</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
<td>24 ± 3a</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>36 ± 3</td>
<td>32 ± 2</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentate gyrus</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>15 ± 1a</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal CA1</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>23 ± 4a</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA2</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
<td>21 ± 2a</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>30 ± 4</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventral CA1</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
<td>20 ± 2a</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventral subiculum</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 2a</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>16 ± 2a</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>34 ± 2</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapyramidal Areas</td>
<td>Medial striatum</td>
<td>43 ± 3</td>
<td>33 ± 3a</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>44 ± 3</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral striatum</td>
<td>48 ± 4</td>
<td>29 ± 3a</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
<td>22 ± 1a</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substantia nigra, reticulata</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
<td>22 ± 2a</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substantia nigra, compacta</td>
<td>37 ± 3</td>
<td>27 ± 1a</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic Areas</td>
<td>Medial septal nucleus</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>29 ± 3a</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>45 ± 3</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral septal nucleus</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>22 ± 3a</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>26 ± 2a</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bed nucleus of the striatal terminalis</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>17 ± 2a</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>28 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 3a</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basolateral amygdala</td>
<td>36 ± 3</td>
<td>22 ± 3a</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central amygdala</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCMRglc shown as mean ± SEM and % change in LCMRglu in DOI compared with saline-treated mice. n = 7. There was a main effect of 8-OH-DPAT treatment for all brain areas.

CA, cornu ammonis; 5-HT1AR, serotonin 1A receptor; LCMRglc, local cerebral glucose utilization; UCN3OE, mice overexpressing urocortin 3.

a p < .05 versus saline.

Main effect of genotype.

Main effect of genotype × 8-OH-DPAT treatment.
cantly attenuated rise in ACTH levels over 10 minutes (Figure 4C), although the rise in corticosterone levels over this time was similar to control mice (Figure 4F). Total adrenal weight did not differ from control mice (control mice 3.62 ± .27 mg, UCN3OE 3.69 ± .33 mg, n = 6 – 8).

Serotonergic Function Is Altered in UCN3OE Mice

Following challenge with the 5-HT1AR-specific agonist 8-OH-DPAT, analysis of variance revealed a main effect of treatment in all brain areas examined and of genotype in the hippocampal CA3 [F(1,24) = 15.082, p < .01] and molecular layer [F(1,24) = 5.921, p < .05], prefrontal cortex [F(1,24) = 7.407, p < .05], dorsal subiculum [F(1,24) = 4.645, p < .05], and the medial septum [F(1,24) = 5.538, p < .05] (Table 1 and Table S3 in Supplement 1). There was a significant interaction of treatment × genotype in the hippocampal CA3 [F(1,24) = 11.081, p < .01], prefrontal cortex [F(1,24) = 7.407, p < .05], dorsal subiculum [F(1,24) = 8.258, p < .01], dentate gyrus [F(1,24) = 4.902, p < .05], and lateral striatum [F(1,24) = 4.558, p < .05]. In contrast, when challenged with the serotonin 2C receptor-specific agonist DOI, despite a main effect of treatment in many brain areas, ranging from hippocampal field CA3 [F(1,24) = 33.923] to parietal cortex [F(1,24) = 11.461], there was no main effect of genotype or any significant interaction of genotype with treatment on LCMRglc (Table 2 and Table S4 in Supplement 1), demonstrating a differential response to 8-OH-DPAT only between genotypes.

Further post hoc analysis (Tables 1 and 2) revealed no significant differences in LCMRglc between vehicle-treated control and UCN3OE mice, indicating no effect of genotype on constitutive cerebral glucose utilization, likely reflecting adaptation to lifelong altered function. Following 8-OH-DPAT, the decrease in LCMRglc was significantly less than in control mice, and, in fact, LCMRglc was not different from vehicle-treated animals in the majority of brain regions studied, indicating an attenuated response to 5-HT1AR agonist DOI, despite a main effect of treatment in many brain areas, ranging from hippocampal field CA3 [F(1,24) = 33.923] to parietal cortex [F(1,24) = 11.461], there was no main effect of genotype or any significant interaction of genotype with treatment on LCMRglc (Table 2 and Table S4 in Supplement 1), demonstrating a differential response to 8-OH-DPAT only between genotypes.
there was a significant main effect of stress in the caudal DRN central amygdala, medial septum, or subiculum (data not shown). Stress (Figure 6C). There were no effects of stress or genotype in the 5-HIAA in both the caudal and dorsal DRN poststress (Figure 6A, B). They also showed decreased 5-HIAA, in the intermediate LS poststress. This area not only receives both DRN and MRN projections but is also implicated in promotion of stress-related behaviors mediated by direct activation of the CRFR2 expressed here (62,63).

Discussion

We show that specific CRFR2 activation by chronic Ucn3 overexpression increases baseline stress-related behaviors and yet attenuates the affective impacts of stress and aspects of HPA axis function. The underlying mechanism for this is plausibly reduced 5-HT1AR signaling in the raphe nuclei.

Ucn3 overexpression should result in continuous and chronic stimulation and relative hyperactivation of CRFR2. Ucn3 overexpression has potential to stimulate endogenous CRFR2 at the site of expression, e.g., in the LS, BLA, hippocampal CA1, and the DRN (12,13), or to exert effects via axonal transport of Ucn3 to CRFR2 fields, e.g., the habenula has no CRFR2 but provides projections to the DRN (40–42). Importantly, CRFR2 levels in functionally significant sites, including the paraventricular nucleus, LS, median raphe nucleus (MRN), and DRN, are not affected by the continuous overexpression of ligand, a finding in keeping with unaltered peripheral expression of CRFR2 in these mice (24).

Mice overexpressing Ucn3 display an increase in stress-related behaviors under basal circumstances, suggesting chronic CRFR2 activation promotes an anxiety-like state. This extends and accords with the previously observed increase in anxiety seen following viral overexpression of Ucn3 in hypothalamus (23). Anxiety impairs spatial memory (43–48), plausibly accounting for the reduced retention of the spatial memory seen in UCN3OE mice. Conversely, following a stressor, indices of anxiety-like behavior and immobility in the TST in UCN3OE mice were similar to or lower than in control mice. This could be due to a ceiling effect of the enhanced anxiety levels in the UCN3OE mice. However, UCN3OE mice even showed some anxiolysis under stressful conditions. Overall, CRFR2 hyperactivation in this model displays a dual and contrasting effect under basal and stress conditions, in agreement with the suggested roles of CRFR2 in contributing to the recovery phases of the stress response (49–51). These effects may be, at least in part, due to direct effects of CRFR2 stimulation by Ucn3 on behavior. However, current understanding of CRFR2 function in relation to this aspect has moved mechanistic theories away from the originally proposed direct opposition of CRFR1 action and toward more complex regulation of other neurotransmitter systems by CRFR2 activity, in particular 5-HT function (15,16,29,52). Consistent with this, 5-HT function is significantly altered in UCN3OE mice, with evidence of attenuated 5-HT1AR responsiveness.

The response to a 5-HT1AR agonist was altered in extrapyramidal brain areas lacking in 5-HT1AR (53,54) but which receive 5-HT projections from the DRN (55,56) in UCN3OE mice, inferring the effect is mediated in the DRN rather than in the forebrain structures themselves. Serotonin innervation of the forebrain is supplied by the MRN and DRN (57), both of which express CRFR2 (12), and it is likely the MRN may be similarly affected. However, 5-HT1AR mRNA expression and 5-HT and 5-HIAA content following stress exposure were altered only in the DRN of UCN3OE mice, suggesting this may be the main site where effects on 5-HT function by CRFR2 are mediated. In keeping with this, 5-HT1AR was downregulated in the UCN3OE amygdala, an area where 5-HT projections are supplied by the DRN (57).

Mice overexpressing Ucn3 show enhanced stress-induced 5-HT and 5-HIAA content in the DRN, consistent with their attenuated response to 5-HT1AR agonist and reduced 5-HT1AR expression here, as less autoinhibition by 5-HT1AR correlates with increased activation and firing rates of 5-HT neurons. While the dorsal DRN is the classic anxiety-related subregion of the DRN activated by anxiogenic drugs, social defeat, fear-potentiated startle, and urocortin 2 (15,58–60), the caudal DRN may mediate stress-induced alterations of 5-HT in forebrain regions including the LS (61). A differential genotype effect in the LS was also observed, with decreased 5-HT and 5-HIAA levels in UCN3OE mice poststress. This area not only receives both DRN and MRN projections but is also implicated in promoting stress-related behaviors mediated by direct activation of the CRFR2 expressed here (62,63).

Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor stimulation in the raphe nuclei regulates efferent 5-HT release in a site-specific manner with increases in cortex and hippocampus but decreases in other regions including the LS and striatum (10). This is presumed due to differential CRFR1 versus CRFR2 activation (9). Our results implicate CRFR2 in modulating these effects following a stressor. In contrast, no difference in the 5-HT responses of UCN3OE mice in amygdala or...
Dysregulated 5-HT functioning is recognized to underlie the pathophysiology of stress-related psychopathologies, including anxiety disorders and depression, with decreased 5-HT1AR activity associated with these disorders (64–66). Learned helplessness, a behavioral model for anxiety and affective disorders, is dependent on 5-HT activity in the DRN and is proposed to result from hyperactivation of 5-HT neurons in the DRN during exposure to uncontrollable stress (67), leading to internalization of inhibitory serotonin 1A autoreceptors, thus sensitizing other DRN 5-HT neurons to subsequent stress (68,69). In addition to modulating 5-HT neuronal activity, CRFR2 stimulation mediates the behavioral aspects of this process (70). Thus, we hypothesize the phenotype of the UCN3OE mice is a consequence of the continued activation of CRFR2 resulting in a similar process. We propose a model where in the healthy animal, Ucn3 is rapidly released in response to an acute stressor (19,71) and is an important mediator of the stress recovery process (51). However, in UCN3OE mice, ongoing Ucn3 stimulation of CRFR2 models a chronically stressed animal with increased anxiety-like behaviors and decreased responsiveness of 5-HT1AR autoreceptors.

Contrary to what might be anticipated given their behavioral phenotype, UCN3OE mice showed no differences in ACTH or corticosterone levels under basal conditions but exhibited attenuated

**Figure 6.** Mice overexpressing Ucn3 (UCN3OE) show stress-induced alterations in tissue concentration of serotonin (5-HT) and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) levels in stress-related brain regions. 5-HT (left panel) and 5-HIAA (middle panel) concentrations under unstressed and 24 hours poststress (+24h) conditions in the (A) dorsal raphé nucleus, caudal part (DRC), (B) dorsal raphé nucleus, dorsal part (DRD), (C) lateral septum, intermediate part (LSI), and (D) basolateral amygdala (BLA). Panels on the right depict the location of the microdissection and approximate distance from bregma (reprinted from Paxinos and Franklin [34] with permission from Elsevier, copyright 2001). n = 8. Scale bar = 1 mm. *p < .05; **p < .01. Ucn3, urocortin 3.
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