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Summary

Background:
Chronic established pain, especially that following nerve injury, is difficult to treat and represents a largely unmet therapeutic need. New insights are urgently required and we reasoned that endogenous processes such as cooling-induced analgesia may point the way to novel strategies for intervention. Molecular receptors for cooling have been identified in sensory nerves and we demonstrate here how activation of one of these, TRPM8, produces profound, mechanistically novel, analgesia in chronic pain states.

Results:
We show that activation of TRPM8 in a subpopulation of sensory afferents (by either cutaneous or intrathecal application of specific pharmacological agents or by modest cooling) elicits analgesia in neuropathic and other chronic pain models in rats, inhibiting the characteristic sensitisation of dorsal horn neurons and behavioural reflex facilitation. TRPM8 expression was increased in a subset of sensory neurons after nerve injury. The essential role of TRPM8 in suppression of sensitised pain responses was corroborated by specific knockdown of its expression after intrathecal application of antisense oligonucleotide. We further show that the analgesic effect of TRPM8 activation is centrally mediated and relies on Group II/III metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), but not opioid receptors. We propose a scheme in which Group II/III mGluRs would respond to glutamate released from TRPM8-containing afferents to exert an inhibitory gate control over nociceptive inputs.
Conclusions: TRPM8 and its central downstream mediators, as elements of endogenous cooling-induced analgesia, represent a novel analgesic axis that can be exploited in chronic sensitised pain states.

Introduction

Chronic neuropathic pain arising from peripheral nerve damage is a severe clinical problem with limited treatment options[1]. Changes in both damaged and undamaged primary afferent neurons as well as central (spinal cord) sensitisation lead to hyperalgesia (accentuated responses to painful stimuli), allodynia (pain in response to normally innocuous stimuli) and spontaneous pain. We hypothesised that elucidating the poorly understood mechanisms underlying cold-induced analgesia might lead the way to novel neuropathic analgesics.

Since Hippocrates and Galen[2,3], sporadic reports have described the use of cooling to produce analgesia[4]. Clinical trials show beneficial effects of cooling on chronic back pain, dental pain, post-operative pain, and muscle injuries[5]. Preparations containing menthol, which produces a cool sensation, are used topically to relieve neuralgia in traditional Chinese and European medicine[6,7]. Mint oil has been reported to alleviate thermally-elicited pain and post-herpetic neuralgia[8,9] and oral menthol can cause short-term analgesia[10]. Furthermore, in mice, oral or intracerebroventricular application of menthol decreased nociceptive responses to the hot-plate test and acetic acid writhing test[11]. Despite this history, no definitive mechanism has been established for cool-induced analgesia.

The recent isolation of the TRP (transient receptor potential) cation channels present in primary sensory neurons has revolutionised our understanding of cutaneous temperature detection. The best characterised example is the capsaicin- and heat-sensitive TRPV1 receptor[12] and although much less is known about cool-sensitive TRPs, they are the target of intensive research[13]. TRPM8 is activated at innocuous cool temperatures (with 50% activation around 18-19°C[14]) and by menthol and icilin[15,16], which act as selective (but not totally specific) activators of the channel[14,15]. The TRPM8 channel is expressed by a subpopulation of sensory neurons in dorsal root (DRG) and trigeminal ganglia[15,16] where responses to cooling correlate well with mRNA expression and menthol sensitivity[17,18,19].

The TRPA1 channel is also expressed in DRG and trigeminal ganglia[14,22] and is reportedly activated by cooling temperatures beginning 5-6°C lower than that for TRPM8[14,20] and by noxious chemicals such as cinnamaldehyde and mustard oil[14,20,21]. However, the role of TRPA1 in physiological cold sensation is currently unclear[13] with some reports of TRPA1 not being activated by cold[21,22], and of normal cold sensitivity in TRPA1 knockout mice[23]. In contrast, another study of TRPA1 knockout mice reported attenuated responses to noxious cold[24], and antisense knockdown studies show a decrease in development of nerve injury- or inflammation-induced hyperalgesia to intense cold stimuli[25,26]. On balance, TRPA1 seems a less likely candidate than TRPM8 for the mediator of cooling-induced analgesia.

A central spinal mechanism of analgesia is suggested, since cutaneous cooling can prevent pain produced by afferent stimulation[4]. Glutamate receptor-dependent plasticity in spinal cord neurons commonly underlies chronic pain states with both ionotropic and metabotropic receptors participating at pre- and post-synaptic sites. Although most glutamate receptors are excitatory, the Group II/III metabotropic receptor (mGluR) subtypes exert inhibitory influences, suggesting the hypothesis that they could potentially underpin cooling-induced analgesia. Indeed,
Group II and III mGluRs are present in spinal cord largely on afferent terminals, but with some glial and post-synaptic expression[27,28] and their activation inhibits both nerve injury- and inflammation-induced sensitisation of neuronal and behavioural responses[29,30,31].

Here we demonstrate marked analgesic effects of peripherally or centrally applied TRPM8 activators (such as icilin or menthol), or mild cooling of the skin, in a model of neuropathic pain. TRPM8 levels in DRG and superficial dorsal horn were increased following nerve injury. Analgesia was restricted to injury-sensitised responses and abolished following antisense knockdown of TRPM8. Peripheral application of icilin or menthol also activated slowly conducting afferents (in a TRPM8-antisense-sensitive manner) and suppressed the increased responsiveness of single dorsal horn neurons ipsilateral to nerve injury. In contrast, activation of TRPA1 produced hyperalgesia (in both naïve animals and nerve-injured animals). TRPM8-mediated analgesia was selectively reversed by intrathecal administration of Group II/III mGluR antagonists and mimicked by agonists, while ionophoresis of Group III mGluR antagonist, as an example, reversed the inhibitory effect of icilin on sensitised single neurons. Sensitisation-specific analgesia from TRPM8 activation was also observed in inflammatory, afferent demyelination and TRPA1 activator-induced pain models.

Results

Reversal of nerve injury-induced reflex sensitisation by peripheral activation of TRPM8 channels

In order to model potential clinical usage, we administered icilin topically to the paws by placing rats with chronic constriction injury (CCI) to sciatic nerve in a bath with 1 cm deep drug solution, kept at 30°C to avoid any effects on local skin temperature. After 5 min, icilin (80µM), but not vehicle (0.2% dimethylformamide in water), caused striking reversal of CCI-induced behavioural reflex sensitisation to thermal and mechanical stimuli (Fig 1A). Concentration-dependent effects were observed from 2.5µM up to a maximum of 500µM, with no effect on contralateral or naïve responses (Fig 1B). At much higher concentrations of icilin, we observed the beginning of a trend towards increasing reflex sensitivity, ipsilateral and contralateral to CCI and in naïves (Table 1), that was statistically significant in mechanical and (after a delay) in thermal tests, only at the highest concentration tested, 5 mM. This effect was distinct from the prominent analgesia at low concentrations of icilin as it was not specific to a sensitised state and may be due to weak interaction with other targets or non-specific actions. Specific reversal of sensitised responses was also caused by another selective TRPM8 activator, (-)-menthol (4mM). The stereoisomers isomenthol and (+)-menthol, which are several fold less potent agonists of TRPM8[32,33] also produced reversal of sensitised responses at concentrations of 8mM and 16mM (Fig 1C).

Icilin is expected to activate TRPM8-containing afferents, so we recorded firing activity in saphenous nerve afferents following topical application of icilin (Fig 1D). The nerve was dissected to produce small-number preparations of fine afferents, with conduction velocities of up to 2.6ms⁻¹ (representing C- and Aδ-fibre afferents[34]). Icilin (200µM) applied to the receptive field on the hindlimb caused a significant increase in firing frequency in 21.6% (40 out of 185) of recorded fine afferents with a mean 7-fold increase in firing frequency from baseline of 4.5 ± 2.5 Hz to 31.6 ± 3.4 Hz, mean time to peak effect of 3.3 ± 0.5 mins. Icilin did not produce
rapid desensitisation, agreeing with some\textsuperscript{[35]}, but not other reports\textsuperscript{[36]}. Recovery was consistently observed. Similar results were obtained from both hairy and glabrous skin. Large myelinated mechanoreceptors (conduction velocities $6.8$-$15\text{ ms}^{-1}$, $n=43$) were unaffected.

Consistent with a TRPM8-mediated mechanism, paw immersion at $16$-$20^\circ$C for 5 min also produced statistically significant mechanical analgesia (Fig 1E). Recordings from a subcutaneous thermistor probe showed that deep skin temperatures were $0.5^\circ$C above bath temperatures after 5 min in similar conditions. This temperature is in the range expected to activate TRPM8, and stimulate innocuous cool-sensitive fibres. Immersion temperatures below $14^\circ$C (in the range where other cold sensors, in addition to TRPM8, are also likely to be activated) elicited active nociceptive withdrawal reflexes limited to the period of hindpaw immersion, in agreement with the known temperature activation range for nociceptive cold fibres\textsuperscript{[37]}.

**Localisation of TRPM8 in afferents and superficial dorsal horn: increased expression following nerve injury**

The presence and localisation of TRPM8 in DRG and spinal cord were investigated by immunoblotting and immunohistochemistry. Following rapid homogenisation of DRGs in Laemmli lysis buffer and SDS-PAGE, immunoblots probed with a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised to TRPM8 residues 278-292 and 1090-1104 (human)\textsuperscript{[38]}, showed a single, strong band at approximately $128$ kDa (the predicted molecular weight of TRPM8), with faint bands observed at approximately $170, 60$ and $50$ kDa (Fig 2A). Both antigen-preabsorption and antisense-knockdown controls were consistent with specificity of this antibody in recognition of TRPM8 at approximately $128$ kDa under the conditions used. Pre-incubation of the antibody with membranes from COS7 cells transfected with TRPM8 expression plasmid abolished the band at $128$kDa, whereas sham preabsorption with membranes from cells with empty vector did not (Fig 2A). Correspondingly, intrathecal delivery of TRPM8 antisense oligonucleotide to naïve (non-CCI) rats over 5 days, also resulted in almost complete knockdown of the $128$kDa band (Fig 2A), whereas mis-sense control oligonucleotide was ineffective (see below). Intrathecally delivered fluorescent-labelled oligonucleotides have been shown to effectively penetrate the DRG, as soon as 4 hours after initial delivery\textsuperscript{[39]}. The faint bands, at 50 and 60 kDa at least, remained present in each case and so are likely to represent non-specific interactions of the antibody under these conditions. As further controls, we showed that TRPV1 immunoreactivity was unaffected by treatment with the TRPM8 antisense reagent and that the housekeeping enzyme GAPDH (36kDa) was evenly present in each lane (Fig 2A). Following nerve injury, there was a marked increase in expression of the $128$kDa TRPM8-immunoreactive band specifically in ipsilateral, but not contralateral DRG (Fig 2A), while immunoreactivity for GAPDH was unaltered. Densitometric ratios for TRPM8 expression as percentage of GAPDH were $80.7 \pm 4.1$ ipsilateral to CCI, which was significantly greater than that seen contralateral to CCI ($49.3 \pm 3.2$) and in naïve DRG ($50.7 \pm 2.7$), (means $\pm$ SEM, n=5-6). L4-5 spinal cord extracts showed that TRPM8 immunoreactivity was present centrally, and after preparation of a crude particulate fraction (centrifugation at 11,000g for 45 min) these also showed consistent increases in expression ipsilateral to injury. Densitometric values ipsilateral to CCI were $198 \pm 6.7\%$ of those in naïves ($p<0.05$; means $\pm$ SEM, n=5), whereas contralateral values were $125 \pm 7.1\%$ ($p>0.05$).

Immunohistochemistry was carried out using a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised to TRPM8 residues 656-680 (rat)\textsuperscript{[40]}, the specificity of which was addressed by antigen preabsorption and antisense knockdown controls. The labelling observed in a
discrete subpopulation of DRG cells was abolished following pre-incubation with the peptide antigen (no positive cells seen, counted over twelve 500µm² sections, compared with a mean of 5.3 ± 0.4 TRPM8-positive cells per 500 µm² DRG section with sham treatment of antibody, and 5.1 ± 0.5 TRPM8-positive cells per 500 µm² DRG section with untreated antibody, counted over 12 sections each). In immunoblots, the antibody also labelled a single band at approximately 128kDa in naïve DRG tissue that was abolished either by preabsorption with the peptide antigen or by prior 5 day intrathecal infusion of TRPM8 antisense (Fig 2B). Immunohistochemical staining in spinal cord showed that TRPM8 in spinal cord was largely expressed in superficial dorsal horn, like the C-fibre marker, peripherin (Fig 2C) and that following dorsal rhizotomy (L2-6), the vast majority of TRPM8 (and peripherin) immunoreactivity was lost ipsilaterally (reductions of approximately 80-90%) suggesting that spinal TRPM8 originates largely from afferents. In confirmation of the immunoblot findings, levels of TRPM8-like immunoreactivity were increased in dorsal horn ipsilateral to injury (by approximately 70-80%), but retained a similar distribution to that in naïve animals (Fig 2D).

To establish whether the increases in afferent TRPM8 expression occurred in specific subpopulations of DRG cells, we investigated TRPM8 colocalisation with markers of myelinated afferents (neurofilament-200; NF-200[41]) and unmyelinated afferents (peripherin[42]). In naïve rats, TRPM8 immunoreactivity was largely confined to a subpopulation of unmyelinated DRG cells (8.3 ± 0.2% of peripherin-positive cells; 34 of 408 cells) and only minimally expressed in myelinated, NF-200-positive cells (1.3 ± 0.5%; 6 of 445 cells). However, following CCI, TRPM8 expression was significantly increased ipsilaterally in both NF-200- and peripherin-positive cells to 7.9 ± 1.2% (31 of 390 cells) and 15.5 ± 0.8% (64 of 412 cells), respectively. Corresponding contralateral values were unaltered from naives at 2.0 ± 0.4% (14 of 346 cells) and 9.2 ± 0.4% (42 of 452 cells) (Fig 2 E, F). Data taken from 3 CCI and 3 naïve animals, counted across 15 - 21 sections. The additional TRPM8-expressing NF-200-positive cells were small (average diameter, 19.7 ± 0.8µm), presumed Aδ myelinated neurons[34]. There were no significant differences in the diameters of NF-200- or peripherin-positive cells or in the numbers of NF-200- or peripherin-positive DRG neurons per section.

Molecular identification of TRPM8 as the mediator of icilin-induced analgesia

To define the specific involvement of TRPM8 in icilin analgesia we further utilised the antisense oligonucleotide knockdown strategy. TRPM8 antisense or mismatched control oligonucleotides were delivered intrathecally over 13 days to parallel the sensitisation developing following CCI. The development of CCI-induced behavioural reflex sensitisation was unaffected, including thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia (Fig 3A, B) and cold allodynia (control CCI animals showed elevation of the paw ipsilateral to nerve injury out of 4°C water for 8.1 ± 0.5 s at peak, 9-11 days after surgery, whereas corresponding values in antisense-treated CCI animals were 7.6 ± 0.6s). In contrast, the reversal of neuropathic reflex sensitisation produced normally by 80µM icilin applied to the paws (Fig 1A) was abolished by treatment with antisense (Fig 3A), but not mis-sense (Fig 3B) reagents. The mean ± SEM % reversals of ipsilateral sensitisation over 10-25 minutes after icilin treatment in antisense- and mis-sense-treated animals were 7.7 ± 7.4% and 82.8 ± 6.9%, respectively for PWL, and 9.4 ± 8.2% and 58.7 ± 8.2% for PWT; with mis-sense-treated, but not antisense-treated animals, retaining significant effects of icilin (p<0.05). When antisense osmotic pumps were depleted, but animals were still neuropathic (18 days following surgery for insertion of 14 day minipumps and CCI), responses to icilin were restored to 83.7 ± 10.1% reversal of sensitisation for PWL,
and 54.0 ± 7.2% for PWT. Effectiveness of knockdown was assessed by SDS-PAGE/immunoblotting. Expression of the 128kDa TRPM8-immunoreactive band in both ipsilateral and contralateral DRGs was greatly reduced by the antisense reagent and the increase in TRPM8 expression normally seen ipsilateral to nerve injury was prevented (Fig 3C). The mis-sense reagent had no effect (Fig 3C) showing TRPM8 expression similar to that in untreated CCI animals (Fig 2A). In mis-sense-treated animals, TRPM8:GAPDH ratios were 77.9 ± 2.0% ipsilateral to CCI and 52.9 ± 2.1% contralateral, similar to corresponding control values (Fig 2A and above), whereas in antisense-treated animals, values were much lower (19.8 ± 2.2% and 14.9 ± 2.1%, respectively, means ± SEM, n=5).

To confirm that antisense knockdown of TRPM8 resulted in associated functional changes in afferents, saphenous nerve recordings were made from naïve animals receiving intrathecal delivery of TRPM8 antisense or mis-sense oligonucleotides, 4-5 days after insertion of pump. The increase in firing frequency evoked by topical icilin (200µM) was strongly reduced in animals receiving antisense. Only 3 out of 34 recorded slowly conducting fibres (8.8%) showed a partial activation in response to drug, increasing firing by approximately 2-fold from a baseline of 5.8 ± 1.4 to 12.7 ± 0.6 Hz, compared with the 7-fold increase observed in over 20% of fine afferents in naïve animals. In contrast, mis-sense animals showed a 7-fold increase in firing frequency in 25% of 40 recorded fibres from a baseline of 3.3 ± 0.7 to 23.1 ± 3.2 Hz, similar to results from naïve animals. Similarly, in mis-sense-treated animals, topical (-)-menthol (4mM) produced an approximately 8-fold increase in mean firing frequency (from 4.5 ± 2.9 to 38.9 ± 7.6Hz), activating 20% of fibres (35 identified afferents recorded). This compared with no obviously activated afferents in antisense-treated animals (mean firing frequency 4.0 ± 1.8Hz at background, 4.8 ± 1.9 Hz post-drug application, 28 identified afferents recorded). However, TRPM8 antisense treatment did not alter the effect of topically applied resiniferatoxin (1mM), a potent TRPV1 agonist, acting as a control. In antisense-treated animals, resiniferatoxin evoked a 6-fold increase in firing frequency in activated afferents (from 4.5 ± 0.7 baseline to 25.9 ± 1.8 Hz at peak response, 16 afferents activated out of 28 recorded), which was similar to responses in mis-sense-treated animals (showing a mean 5-fold change in firing frequency from 4.6 ± 2.8 to 24.8 ± 3.1Hz).

Central intrathecal administration of TRPM8 activators also inhibits neuropathic sensitisation

Since TRPM8 is present on central terminals of primary sensory neurons as well as their peripheral terminals (Fig 2B,C,[43,44]), we investigated whether intrathecal application of TRPM8 activators near to the central terminals would also produce analgesia. Intrathecal injection of icilin (10nmol) produced robust reversal of CCI-induced behavioural reflex sensitisation in thermal and mechanical tests (Fig 4A, p<0.05 for up to 55 min). Intrathecal injection of (-)-menthol (200nmol) in CCI rats also caused a significant reversal of the sensitised responses, lasting 35-40 min (Fig 4B). Due to the higher potency and efficacy of icilin at TRPM8[15], further experiments mainly utilised icilin as the representative TRPM8 activator. Icilin produced dose-dependent analgesic effects restricted to the nerve injury side in both thermal and mechanical tests that were statistically significant by 0.125nmol and increased to almost complete reversal of sensitisation by 10nmol. Non-linear curve-fitting indicated that maximal effects of icilin were similar for PWL and PWT (91.6 ± 9.9 and 82.6 ± 6.8% reversal of sensitisation, respectively), as were EC50 values (dose for 50% of maximal effect; 0.17 ± 0.02 and 0.31 ± 0.02 nmol, respectively).
In complete contrast to the effects of TRPM8 activators, the TRPA1 activator, cinnamaldehyde\[20\] (75nmol injected intrathecally) significantly increased reflex responsiveness in thermal and mechanical tests and was effective contralateral as well as ipsilateral to nerve injury (Fig 4C). The sensitising effects of cinnamaldehyde were prevented by co-injection of Ruthenium Red (0.25nmol), which can block TRPA1 channels\[22,46\], whereas the analgesic effect of intrathecally-injected icilin was unaffected (Fig 4D). Sensitising effects of cinnamaldehyde were also seen in naïve animals with a 36.9 ± 7.9% reduction in PWL and a 41.9 ± 6.7% reduction in PWT. Similar effects were produced by two further TRPA1 activators\[46,47\], allicin (25nmol), where corresponding reductions were 33.2 ± 5.9% and 20.7 ± 8.2%, respectively and diallyl disulphide (50nmol) with equivalent values of 25.9 ± 7.0% and 28.5 ± 6.2% (means ± SEM, n=3-6). In contrast, the TRPM8 activators, icilin (10nmol) and (-)-menthol (200nmol) were without effect in naïve animals (data not shown). Topical application of cinnamaldehyde (1.5mM) also produced bilateral sensitisation of behavioural reflexes in naïve animals (mean decrease of 32.0 ± 8.6% in PWL and 20.2 ± 8.2% in PWT, p<0.05, n=6). This corresponds to the licking and shaking behaviour as well as decrease in PWL reported following intraplantar injection of cinnamaldehyde\[20\].

In further experiments, we investigated whether the sensitised pain behaviours caused by TRPA1 activators or other pain models were susceptible to icilin-induced analgesia. Sensitisation caused by intrathecal or topical cinnamaldehyde was markedly attenuated by intrathecal icilin (Table 2). The effect of topical cinnamaldehyde was additionally reversed by topical icilin (200μM, data not shown). Sensitisation caused by focal demyelination of the sciatic nerve\[42\] or intraplantar injection of Complete Freund’s Adjuvant (CFA) was also significantly inhibited by intrathecal icilin (Table 2).

Central mechanism of icilin reversal of neuropathic sensitisation involves mGlu group II/III receptors

Since topical icilin increases activity in fine afferents (Fig 1D) and both intrathecal and topical icilin reverse nerve injury-induced sensitisation, centrally-mediated events are likely to be important in icilin action. Icilin-responsive afferents are expected to release glutamate, so we hypothesised that inhibitory glutamate receptors in dorsal horn might underlie icilin-induced analgesia. Group II/III mGluRs could subserve such a role since they are antinociceptive in models of inflammatory, neuropathic and acute pain\[29,30,31\] and inhibit transmission between primary afferent and spinal cord neurons in sensitised states\[48,49\]. Group II mGluRs are localised on primary afferent terminals in lamina II, particularly in small nociceptive afferents\[27,51\], although some are found post-synaptically and on glia\[28\]. Group III mGluRs are also found pre-synaptically in the dorsal horn and are 45% co-expressed with either IB4 or Substance P (markers of small nociceptive neurons\[50\]). To assess whether activation of Group II/III mGluRs might mimic icilin reversal of neuropathic sensitisation, we intrathecally injected the selective Group II or III mGluR agonists, 2R, 4R-APDC or ACPT-III and AP-4, respectively. 2R, 4R-APDC (15nmol) caused 72.1 ± 6.4% reversal of thermal and 56.0 ± 10.9% reversal of mechanical reflex sensitisation ipsilateral to CCI (15-30 min post-injection) with no effect on contralateral responses. ACPT-III and AP-4 (150nmol each) also reversed thermal sensitisation (by 83.6 ± 6.3% and 60.8 ± 6.7%, respectively), as well as mechanical sensitisation (65.7 ± 11.4% and 60.7 ± 8.0%), again with no effects contralaterally (p<0.05 in each case). Furthermore, selective Group II and Group III mGluR antagonists, LY 341495 (5nmol, Fig 5A) and UBP 1112 (10nmol, Fig 5B) each prevented the effect of icilin (10nmol, Fig 4A). Similarly, the analgesia produced by intrathecal (-)-menthol (200nmol, Figure 4B) was reversed by intrathecal LY 341495 and UBP 1112. The mean percentage
reversal of sensitisation over 20-30 minutes post-injection was 86.1 ± 8.1% for PWL and 80.6 ± 4.2% for PWT with (-)-menthol alone, 22.0 ± 6.9% for PWL and 7.1 ± 7.1% for PWT with menthol and LY 341495, and 9.2 ± 6.9% for PWL and 0.0 ± 0.0% for PWT with (-)-menthol and UBP 1112 (n=6). Neither LY 341495 nor UBP 1112 had any effects alone (data not shown), suggesting that Group II/III mGluRs show little tonic activation following CCI, but are specifically utilised downstream of icilin. In contrast, intrathecal co-administration of the μ-opioid receptor antagonist, naloxone (25nmol) with icilin had no effect (Fig 5C), indicating that icilin analgesia is opioid-independent. To avoid any possibility of non-specific drug interactions, we also administered icilin (200µM) topically, but the mGluR antagonists, intrathecally. Fig 5D shows that the icilin reversal of thermal and mechanical sensitisation in this case was again prevented by LY 341495 or UBP 1112. The analgesic effect of skin cooling to 16 °C (Fig 1E) was also prevented by intrathecally applied LY 341495 (5 nmol) or UBP 1112 (10 nmol). The mean percentage reversal of ipsilateral CCI-induced reductions in PWT caused by cooling was 0.0 ± 0.0% in the presence of either drug (n=5).

To confirm the analgesic effect of icilin at the level of single spinal cord neurons, we made in vivo extracellular recordings of large lamina I and III/IV neurons (that integrate nociceptive and non-nociceptive inputs). Topical administration of icilin (200µM) to the peripheral receptive field area ipsilateral to CCI caused inhibition of the elevated neuronal responses to motorised rotating brush (Fig 5E). In the 8 neurons out of 12 that were affected by icilin (2 in lamina I and 6 in laminae III/IV) brush-induced responses were reduced to 37.4 ± 5.5%, p<0.001). Vehicle had no effect. Contralateral neurons were unaffected (111.9 ± 8.9% of control; n=6). As an example of one of the Group II/III mGluR antagonists investigated on reflexes, UBP 1112 was ionophoresed in the vicinity of recorded dorsal horn neurons at currents of 20-60nA. UBP 1112 reversed the effect of icilin; the brush-induced firing rate reverted to 80.2 ± 9.3% of control values (Fig 5E), but UBP 1112 had no effect alone, nor did saline current controls.

Since some Group II/III mGluRs may be post-synaptic, we asked whether icilin could reverse the additional sensitisation of behavioural reflex responsiveness caused by intrathecally applied NMDA in CCI animals. Icilin (10 nmol) clearly attenuated the additional ipsilateral sensitisation induced by 3.75 nmol of NMDA plus 0.75 nmol of its co-agonist site activator, ACPC, injected intrathecally. Ipsilateral PWL values in thermal tests were 10.1 ± 0.6 s at baseline and decreased to 7.9 ± 0.3s (15-30 minutes after injection of NMDA/ACPC), but increased to 14.9 ± 0.6 s in the additional presence of icilin. Contralateral values were unaltered by icilin or NMDA/ACPC. Ipsilateral PWT values in mechanical tests were 1504.2 ± 105.3 mN/mm² at baseline, 891.6 ± 27.3 mN/mm² after injection of NMDA/ACPC and 3482.7 ± 174.3 mN/mm² with co-injection of icilin (means ± SEM, n=6). Contralateral values again were unaltered. A component of the central events elicited by icilin may therefore be post-synaptic, although it is important to note that functional NMDA receptors may also be present on afferent terminals[52].

Discussion
Little is known of the mechanism underlying cooling-induced analgesia, but a number of cool-sensitive ion channels, including TRPM8, have recently been identified in somatosensory afferents[13]. We now show that TRPM8 activation reverses nerve injury-induced hypersensitivity. TRPM8 can be activated by menthol[15,16], which is analgesic in hot plate and acetic acid writhing tests[11], although menthol can produce pain at very high doses[53,54]. Here, either topical or
intrathecal application of (-)-menthol produced behavioural analgesia in the CCI model of neuropathic pain, most likely by activation of TRPM8. Similar effects were seen with another TRPM8 activator, icilin. As with menthol, very high doses of icilin were found to cause a generalised increase in sensitisation, affecting CCI animals bilaterally and naïve animals in a similar fashion (Table 1). Importantly, analgesic effects of icilin were seen at 200-fold lower concentrations than those causing non-specific sensory changes. Specific involvement of TRPM8 in the reversal of neuropathic sensitisation was confirmed by the abrogation of icilin analgesia following intrathecal infusion of a TRPM8 antisense oligonucleotide to knock-down TRPM8 expression. Furthermore, the analgesic profile was mimicked by cutaneous cooling to 20–16°C, a range activating the TRPM8 channel. Icilin and menthol were applied cutaneously in solution at 30°C, so any possible drug effects on skin temperature were avoided. TRPM8 antisense had no effect alone on CCI-induced sensitised responses to noxious heat, mechanical stimuli or intense cold, similar to observations made in an alternative neuropathic pain model. A role for the TRPA1 channel in analgesia seems unlikely since selective TRPA1 activators, cinnamaldehyde, allicin and diallyl disulphide, caused contrasting sensitisation/hyperalgesia not only after CCI, but also in naïve animals. The analgesic effects of icilin were only seen in the sensitised pain state, but were not restricted to nerve injury, since sensitisation due to peripheral inflammation, afferent demyelination and TRPA1 activation was also reduced. The significant behavioural and electrophysiological effects of topically applied icilin demonstrate that icilin can cross the skin sufficiently to excite peripheral afferents, and point to the likely clinical utility of this or related drugs.

The precise identity of the TRPM8-containing cool-responsive afferents is not clear. Subpopulations of Aδ- and C-fibres are responsive to different ranges of cool temperatures (~15-30°C, and <15°C). Innocuous cooling (15-30°C) activates a subpopulation of Aδ- and C-fibres in primates, but almost solely unmyelinated fibres in rodents. In contrast, intense noxious cold is signalled by unmyelinated polymodal nociceptors, which also respond to heat and mechanical stimuli. The TRPM8 activator menthol activates cool-sensitive fibres, and sensitises stimulus-induced responses in the range 20-30°C. Studies of TRPM8 in vitro identify this channel as a likely transducer of moderate cool temperatures. In DRG and trigeminal cultures, responses to menthol, cooling (15-30°C) and TRPM8 mRNA expression all correlate closely. TRPM8 is expressed in 5-20% of DRG cell bodies that are small and presumed Aδ- or C-fibres, but not in large myelinated fibres. We observed that TRPM8 immunoreactivity is normally associated with a subpopulation of peripherin-positive C-fibres, but only minimally with NF-200-positive afferents, whereas high sensitivity cRNA hybridisation suggests the presence of some TRPM8 mRNA in up to 19% of NF-200-positive afferents. The capsaicin- and heat-sensitive TRPV1 channel, which contributes to thermal nociception and inflammatory sensitisation, is found in both peptidergic afferents (~85%), and non-peptidergic (isolectin-B4, IB4-positive) cells in the rat. TRPM8 is not categorically associated with either peptidergic or IB4-positive afferents but is often present in those containing the NGF receptor, Trk A. Different groups have reported co-expression of mRNAs for TRPM8 and TRPV1 or menthol/capsaicin responsiveness of DRGs at 29-50% or close to zero. Overall it seems likely that TRPM8 is normally expressed in a distinct population of cool-responsive afferents and possibly also to an extent in some nociceptors. Our findings further identified increased expression in peripherin-positive C-fibres, but induction in small NF-200-positive presumed Aδ-fibres, suggesting that plasticity in TRPM8 expression may participate in icilin analgesia in neuropathic pain. No changes in DRG expression of TRPM8 were reported in an alternative neuropathic pain model (ligation of L5 spinal
nerve or indeed following CFA)\textsuperscript{[25,26]}, suggesting that specific aspects of the particular model, such as the co-existence of injured and uninjured afferents in DRG following CCI, may be important in TRPM8 upregulation.

TRPA1, which has also been proposed as a cool receptor\textsuperscript{[14]} appears to play an entirely different role, eliciting reflex pain behaviours in naïve animals, as well as increasing thermal and mechanical responsiveness in the neuropathic state. This may correspond to clinical observations after nerve injury in which moderately cool stimuli are perceived as painful\textsuperscript{[1]}.

TRPA1 is present mainly in small cells in sensory ganglia\textsuperscript{[14,22]} and may increase ipsilateral to nerve injury and inflammation\textsuperscript{[25,26]}. Nerve-injury and inflammation-induced hyperalgesia to noxious cold (5°C) is reported to be decreased by antisense knockdown of TRPA1\textsuperscript{[25,26]}. Correspondingly, mutant mice homozygous for targeted disruption of the TRPA1 gene show reduced reflex withdrawal responses to selective TRPA1 activators and reduced sensitisation of noxious heat and innocuous mechanical responses caused by these agents\textsuperscript{[23]}. However, the role of TRPA1 in noxious cold responses is disputed, with results from different lines of TRPA1\textsuperscript{−/−} animals showing either attenuated or unaltered coldplate withdrawal responses\textsuperscript{[23,24]}. Thus the precise role of TRPA1 in cold sensation remains unclear, but here as in other studies TRPA1 clearly acts in a pro-nociceptive manner\textsuperscript{[20,23,46,47]}. Although icilin may interact with low potency at the TRPA1 channel\textsuperscript{[14]}, the analgesic profile of TRPM8 activators here is entirely different from the pro-nociceptive profile of TRPA1 activators. The extent of TRPM8/TRPA1 co-expression in afferents is reported to be minimal\textsuperscript{[14,58]}.

The analgesia induced by icilin and menthol and by skin cooling to 16°C was shown to be centrally-mediated and dependent on Group II/III mGluRs. The lack of effect of naloxone suggests independence from classical opioid analgesia. Furthermore, at the doses used, mGluR Group II/III antagonists selectively reversed icilin and menthol analgesia in sensitised responses, without any effects alone. Group II/III mGluRs are known to inhibit nociceptive responses\textsuperscript{[29,30,31,48,49]} and we showed that Group II/III mGluR agonists selectively inhibit sensitised responses in neuropathic pain. Both Group II and III mGluR subtypes are expressed in primary afferents, especially IB4-positive cells\textsuperscript{[27,50,51]}. Activation of Group II/III mGluRs can inhibit affere nt-evoked potentials in dorsal horn\textsuperscript{[48]}, since Group II mGluRs are both pre- and post-synaptic at primary afferent synapses\textsuperscript{[28]}, whereas Group III mGluRs are largely pre-synaptic\textsuperscript{[50]}. Menthol is reported to increase mEPSC frequency at some synapses between DRG and dorsal horn neurons in culture and in slices\textsuperscript{[43,44]}, presumably corresponding to the activation of TRPM8-containing afferents (Fig 1D) and increased release of glutamate. Figure 6 shows a schematic outline of a model in which glutamate released from TRPM8-expressing afferents could mediate icilin-induced analgesia by acting on Group II/III mGluRs (located pre-synaptically on nociceptive afferents and possibly also post-synaptically) to result in attenuation of pain-related sensitisation (Fig 5E) and behavioural analgesia (Fig 5D).

Conclusions

In summary, these novel findings show that both peripheral and central activation of TRPM8 can produce an analgesic effect that specifically reverses the sensitisation of behavioural reflexes elicited by peripheral nerve injury. This effect is produced by very low concentrations of topically applied TRPM8 activators, pointing to the likelihood of its ready utility in a clinical context. Other sensitised pain states, in addition to that induced by nerve injury, are similarly sensitive to reversal by TRPM8 activation, emphasising the likely value of TRPM8 activators and downstream central...
mediators of TRPM8 action, such as Group II/III mGluRs, as targets for the development of novel analgesics.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Animals**

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986, and guidelines of the University of Edinburgh. Adult male Wistar rats (Harlan, UK) weighing between 120-250g were used for all experiments.

**Neuropathic and inflammatory pain models**

Pain models were generated under halothane anaesthesia (Zeneca, Cheshire, UK). For the chronic constriction injury (CCI) model of neuropathic pain, four ligatures were tied loosely to constrict the sciatic nerve at mid-thigh level (as described previously\(^6^1\)). An inflammatory pain model was generated by injecting 100\(\mu\)l of Complete Freund’s Adjuvant (CFA, Sigma-Aldrich Ltd) into the ventral surface of the right hindpaw\(^6^1\). A model of peripheral demyelination-induced pain was produced by focal application of lyssolecithin to the sciatic nerve\(^4^2\). Peak behavioural sensitisation was observed post-surgically between days 10-16 for CCI, 1-3 for CFA, and 7-14 for lyssolecithin, when pharmacological and electrophysiological experiments and tissue removal were conducted.

**Behavioural testing**

Thermal sensitivity was assessed by measuring paw withdrawal latency (PWL, s) in response to a noxious thermal stimulus (Hargreaves’ thermal stimulator, Linton Instrumentation, Diss, UK) directed to the hindpaw mid-plantar glabrous surface. Mechanical sensitivity was recorded as the paw withdrawal threshold (PWT, mN/mm\(^2\)) to calibrated von Frey filaments (Stoelting, Illinois, US), as previously described\(^6^1\). Sensitivity to noxious cold was assessed by placing animals in a waterbath with an aluminium floor containing 1cm deep 4\(^\circ\)C water and counting the time the paw was held suspended over a 20 s period.

**Intrathecal application of drugs**

The following drugs were applied intrathecally in a 50 \(\mu\)l volume of saline-based vehicle at 37\(^\circ\)C: icilin (2.5-200 \(\mu\)M in saline with 0.2% dimethylformamide, DMF), LY 341495 (100 \(\mu\)M in saline), UBP 1112 (200 \(\mu\)M in saline), 2R, 4R-APDC ((2R, 4R)-4-aminopyrrolidine-2,4-dicarboxylate), 300 \(\mu\)M in saline), ACPT-III ((1R,3R,4S)-1-aminocyclopentane-1,3,4-tricarboxylic acid), 3 mM in saline), AP-4, ((L-(1)-2-amino-4-phophonobutyric acid), 3 mM in saline), naloxone (0.5 mM in saline), NMDA (75 \(\mu\)M in saline) and ACPC (1-aminocyclopropene-carboxylic acid, 15 \(\mu\)M in saline) (Tocris Cookson, Bristol, UK), (-)-menthol (1R, 2S, 5R-(-)-menthol, 4 mM in saline), cinnamaldehyde (1.5 mM in saline) and Ruthenium Red (5 \(\mu\)M in saline) (Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd, UK) and allicin (0.5 mM in saline with 0.5% DMF) and diallyl disulphide (DADS, 1 mM in saline with 0.5% DMF) (LKT Laboratories Inc., St Paul, MN, USA). Drugs were injected into the L5/6 intrathecal space under brief halothane anaesthesia, using a 25-gauge needle microsyringe (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK), as described previously\(^6^1\) in animals that were at peak levels of behavioural sensitisation. Behavioural reflex testing commenced 15 min following injection to allow recovery from anaesthesia\(^4^2,6^2,6^3\) and continued every 5 min thereafter until readings returned to baseline levels (n = 6 in each case). We, and others\(^4^2,6^2,6^3\) find complete recovery from anaesthetic by 15 minutes. All appropriate controls were carried out to eliminate the possibility of effects due to vehicle or to injection procedure.
The TRPM8 channel activators, icilin and (-)-menthol were tested in CCI and naïve animals. The effects of icilin were additionally assessed in animals with CFA-induced inflammation or with lysolecithin-induced demyelination. Icilin was also co-administered with the µ-opioid receptor antagonist naloxone, the Group II metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) antagonist, LY 341495, or the Group III mGluR antagonist, UBP 1112. The effects of these antagonists alone as well as effects of the Group II mGluR agonist, 2R, 4R-APDC and the Group III mGluR agonists, ACPT-III and AP-4, were assessed in CCI animals. The TRPA1 channel activator, cinnamaldehyde, alone and with icilin, was tested in CCI animals and in naïve animals. Further TRPA1 channel activators, allicin and diallyl disulphide were assessed in naïve animals. Both icilin and cinnamaldehyde effects in CCI animals were also investigated in the additional presence of Ruthenium Red.

**Topical application of drugs**

Icilin was applied at concentrations of 2.5–500 µM (in water with 0.2% DMF), by placing CCI or naïve rats unrestrained for 5 min in a 1 cm deep waterbath (sufficient to cover paws), which was thermostatically controlled to a temperature of 30°C, or by very lightly anaesthetising rats and immersing hindpaws in small tubes containing 5 ml icilin (500 µM–5mM, with a vehicle of 45% dimethylformamide in 0.2% aqueous Tween 80) for 5 min, followed by sensory testing for 60-80 mins. The effects of (-)-menthol and its stereoisomers isomenthol (1S, 2R, 5R-menthol,) and (+)-menthol (1S, 2R, 5S (+)-menthol) (4 – 16mM in 80% ethanol) were also assessed. Relevant vehicles were always assessed in similar experiments. As a contrast, the effect of cinnamaldehyde (1.5mM in water) and the effect of cinnamaldehyde with additional icilin (80 µM in water with 0.2% DMF) were assessed in naïve animals. The effects of icilin (80 µM) were further assessed in CCI animals that had undergone antisense and mis-sense treatment for knockdown of TRPM8 or immediately following intrathecal injection of either LY 341495 or UBP 1112. Actual skin temperatures were measured by subcutaneous thermistor probe and were found to equilibrate to around 0.5°C above bath temperature. The effects of brief paw immersion at different temperatures (10-22°C for 5 min) on CCI rats were assessed by mechanical testing. The effects of intrathecal LY 341495 or UBP 1112 on the reversal of ipsilateral sensitisation in CCI following a 16°C cool challenge for 5 min were measured. Reflex testing commenced 5 minutes following challenge, unless animals had been anaesthetised, in which case 15 minutes was allowed for recovery. Six replicate animals were tested in all pharmacological experiments.

**Dorsal rhizotomy**

To establish whether TRPM8 expression in spinal cord was predominantly pre- or post-synaptic, a unilateral L2-6 dorsal rhizotomy was performed under anaesthesia, following laminectomy to expose the dorsal roots. Eight days later, tissue was removed and processed for immunohistochemistry.

**Western blots**

Experiments were performed by standard procedures as previously described[61], for more detail see Supplementary material.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Experiments were performed as previously described[42], for more detail see Supplementary material.
Antisense knockdown of TRPM8

Antisense and mis-sense oligonucleotides were 22-mers with phosphorothioate bonds at the last two positions at 5’ and 3’ ends (MWG Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany). Antisense extended from base –10 to base +12 relative to the start of the open reading frame for the rat TRPM8 gene: 5’ C*T*CGAAGGACATCTTGCCGT*G*G 3’, where * represents phosphorothioate linkages. Mis-sense was designed with 4 inversions of C/G or A/T as appropriate at residues 3, 11, 14 and 22, preserving overall G/C content. BLAST searches of both oligonucleotides indicated no significant complementarity to any known gene sequence. 14-day or 7-day osmotic minipumps (for CCI experiments or naïve electrophysiology experiments respectively - Alzet Minipump, models 2002, 2001; Charles River, UK) containing oligonucleotides (1 µg/µl in sterile saline) were connected to canulae inserted under the dura of the spinal cord to level L5/6 and produced a predicted infusion rate of 0.5 µl/h. CCI surgery was performed at the same time as minipump implantation. Sensory tests were carried out to assess the time-course of behavioural sensitisation in animals which had also undergone a CCI injury. Icilin was applied topically rather than intrathecally so as to prevent any interference with the infusion canula. Peripheral nerve recordings and tissue harvesting were carried out after an interval of 4-5 days to allow time for protein knockdown.

Electrophysiology

Peripheral: Recordings of saphenous (sensory) nerve were made in naïve animals (n=7) to assess the effects of topical icilin on primary afferents. In addition, recordings were carried out on animals which had undergone TRPM8 antisense or mis-sense treatment beginning 4-5 days previously. Rats were anaesthetised (with 0.6ml 25% urethane /100g, i.p.) and the saphenous nerve was exposed and dissected from its associated vein and artery. Further dissection under liquid paraffin enabled identification of afferent preparations comprising a small number of fibres. The conduction velocity of single identified afferent fibres was determined using bipolar electrodes and the peripheral stimulus technique[64]. Following isolation of preparations, icilin (200µM in water with 0.2% DMF), (-)-menthol (4mM in 25% ethanol) resiniferatoxin (1mM in ethanol) or vehicle alone were applied to the hindlimb receptive fields and neuronal responses recorded using the Chart programme (version 3.6).

Central: Recordings of spinal dorsal horn neurons were made in CCI animals, as described previously[61]. Following halothane induction, the jugular vein and trachea were canulated and intravenous anaesthetic delivered: α-chloralose (0.6 mg/kg, Fisher) and urethane (1.2mg/kg, Sigma), with small supplementary doses of α-chloralose as required throughout the experiment. Core body temperature was maintained at 37-38°C by means of a thermostatically controlled heated blanket. The animal was placed in a stereotaxic frame, and the thoracolumbar spinal column was supported using three pairs of swan-necked clamps. A laminectomy was performed at L2-L5, and agar (2% in saline at 37°C) was delivered over exposed cord to increase mechanical stability. Above the recording region the agar and spinal cord dura were removed and liquid paraffin poured into the pool. Extracellular recordings were made from single multireceptive neurons in laminae I-IV through the centre barrel of a seven-barrelled glass microelectrode filled with 4M NaCl (tip-diameter 4-5 mm, DC resistance 5-8 MO). The receptive fields of hair-follicle innervated neurons on the distal hindlimb were identified by an innocuous brush stimulus[61]. Icilin (200 µM in water with 0.2% DMF) was applied peripherally to the receptive field of individual recorded neurons, and the effect on neuronal response to a rotating brush was recorded and analysed using Spike2 programme (Version 3.2, CED). The Group
III mGluR antagonist UBP 1112 (20 mM in water), pH 8.5, and control 1M NaCl, pH 8.5 were ionophoresed from the side barrels of the electrode using currents of between 20nA and 80nA (Neurophore BH2 ionophoresis system, Medical Systems, Great Neck, NY) to measure effects on neuronal response to icilin.

Statistics
All data were analysed for statistical significance using Sigmastat software (version 2.03) with p values <0.05 being considered significant. Differences in thermal sensitivity between the paw ipsilateral to nerve injury and the contralateral paw were assessed using Student’s t-test. Any effect of drug treatment was analysed by One-way Repeated Measures ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post-hoc multiple comparisons test. The equivalent non-parametric tests for mechanical sensitivity were Wilcoxon rank test for ipsilateral:contralateral differences, and Friedman Repeated Measures ANOVA followed by Dunn’s test for changes from pre-drug control values. Western blot densitometric values were compared using the Wilcoxon test, immunohistochemistry cell counts were analysed by One-Way ANOVA, and electrophysiological spike frequencies were analysed by One-Way ANOVA on ranks.
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**Figure 1** Peripheral TRPM8 activation and moderate cooling are analgesic following CCI.

A,B,C,E) Behavioural data from CCI animals, shown as mean ± SEM, each graph represents n of 6 animals. A) Paw withdrawal latency (PWL; s) to noxious heat and paw withdrawal threshold (PWT; mN/mm²) to mechanical stimuli before and following 5 min paw immersion in a shallow 30°C water bath containing 80µM icilin or vehicle; O: ipsilateral paw plus icilin, •: ipsilateral + vehicle; ■: contralateral plus icilin, □: contralateral plus vehicle, * indicates significant ipsilateral-contralateral differences, † indicates significant difference from pre-drug baseline (p<0.05). B) Concentration-response curve for mean ± SEM percentage reversal of ipsilateral sensitisation for thermal (○) or mechanical (♦) tests calculated over 10-15 min following paw immersion in 2.5-500µM icilin. C) Reversal of ipsilateral sensitisation by paw immersion in (-)-menthol at 4mM, and by higher concentrations of the less potent stereoisomers (+)-menthol and isomenthol (8 and 16mM). Values were calculated over 10-25 min following paw immersion from experiments as shown in A). † indicates significant differences from pre-drug baseline (p<0.05). D) Typical electrophysiological recording from the subpopulation of icilin-responsive C-fibre afferent fibres before, 2 min following topical application of icilin to the receptive field (peak effect) and 12 min later. E) Mechanical analgesia measured over 5 min following 5 min immersion of paws at the indicated temperature range. § denotes spontaneous withdrawal responses during the immersion period, * denotes significant difference from contralateral paw and † from pre-immersion baseline (p<0.05).

**Figure 2** TRPM8 immunoreactivity is present in DRG and spinal cord, arises from afferents and is increased ipsilateral to CCI.

A) Immunoblots of DRG show whole gels with TRPM8 protein running at 128kDa and additional faint bands at approximately 170, 60 and 50 kDa, in normal rat DRG with specific knockdown of the 128 kDa band in DRG from antisense-treated animals. In additional controls, when the TRPM8 antibody was pre-incubated with membranes from TRPM8-expressing cells, the 128kDa immunoreactive band was removed, whereas sham-treatment had no effect. Blots additionally show GAPDH loading controls. TRPV1 expression (single band at ~90 kDa) was unaltered in DRGs from TRPM8 antisense-treated animals. Immunoblots for TRPM8 protein showed a clear increase in expression of the specific 128kDa band in DRG ipsilateral (‘ipsi’) to nerve injury compared to contralateral (‘con’) and naive DRG, with no change in GAPDH. Spinal cord (SC) whole lysates showed no discernable changes in TRPM8 levels, however, increased levels ipsilateral to nerve injury were seen in crude particulate fractions. B) Shows Western blots of DRGs from naïve or TRPM8 antisense-treated rats probed with the TRPM8 antibody used for immunohistochemistry in C)-F) below. Pretreatment of the antibody with the antigenic peptide or TRPM8 antisense treatment removed the single specific band at ~128 kDa. C) L5 spinal cord sections taken 8 days after dorsal rhizotomy were immunostained for peripherin (green) and TRPM8 (red) and showed marked reduction of both proteins ipsilateral to rhizotomy. D) Immunostaining for TRPM8 (red) and the neuronal marker NeuN (green) in spinal dorsal horn from CCI animals showed that TRPM8 was increased ipsilateral to CCI with no change in distribution, whereas NeuN levels were unchanged. Scale bar for C) and D) is 500µm. E,F) Immunohistochemical colocalisation in DRG sections ipsilateral or contralateral to nerve injury and in naive animals of TRPM8 (red) with (E) NF-200 (green) or (F) peripherin (green). In naïve animals, TRPM8 is mainly located in peripherin-positive C-fibres with little or no apparent expression in myelinated (NF-200) cells. Ipsilateral to nerve injury, TRPM8 expression was increased markedly in small NF-200-positive cells, whereas a lesser increase in
TRPM8:peripherin co-expression was also observed. Scale bar 50µm. The bar charts in E) and F) show the percentage co-expression (mean ± SEM) for TRPM8:NF-200 and TRPM8:peripherin respectively; actual cell counts shown above columns. Statistically significant increases in the percentage co-expression values were seen in both cases ipsilateral to CCI, p<0.05 (*).

Figure 3 Specific TRPM8 knockdown by antisense oligonucleotide prevents icilin-induced analgesia following CCI.
A, B) Paw withdrawal latency (PWL, s) to noxious heat and paw withdrawal threshold (PWT; mN/mm²) to mechanical stimuli are shown ipsilateral (O) or contralateral (■) to CCI animals with antisense (n=12) or mis-sense (n=10) treatments. Data show mean ± SEM. A) The analgesia normally elicited by topical icilin was not observed following antisense knockdown of TRPM8 receptor indicated by the persistence of significant ipsilateral-contralateral differences in PWL and PWT (* p<0.05). B) In contrast, following mis-sense treatment, icilin produced a significant reversal of CCI-induced ipsilateral sensitisation in PWL and PWT responses in comparison to baseline values († p<0.05), as in untreated CCI animals (Fig 1A). C) Immunoblots of DRG tissue probed for TRPM8 and GAPDH protein levels following TRPM8 antisense or mis-sense treatment. TRPM8 expression (and the increase in expression normally seen ipsilateral to CCI, Fig 2A) was selectively reduced by antisense, but not mis-sense infusion, whereas GAPDH levels were unchanged.

Figure 4 Central TRPM8 activation is analgesic following CCI, whereas TRPA1 activation is hyperalgesic.
Paw withdrawal latency (PWL, s) to noxious heat and paw withdrawal threshold (PWT, mN/mm²) to mechanical stimuli are shown ipsilateral (O) or contralateral (■) to CCI. * denotes significant ipsilateral-contralateral differences (* p<0.05). Data show mean ± SEM and each test represents n of 6 animals unless otherwise indicated. Rats were intrathecally injected at arrow. The TRPM8 activators, A) Icilin (10nmol), and B) (-)-menthol (200nmol) both significantly reversed ipsilateral thermal and mechanical sensitisation in comparison to pre-injection values († p<0.05). C) In contrast, intrathecal application of the TRPA1 activator, cinnamaldehyde (75nmol) produced bilateral hyperalgesia and allodynia in CCI animals. §(p<0.05) shows statistically significant increases in thermal and mechanical reflex responsiveness of both ipsilateral and contralateral paws. D) Shows that Ruthenium Red (0.25 nmol) inhibits cinnamaldehyde (75 nmol)-induced hypersensitivity, but not icilin (10 nmol)-mediated analgesia, ipsilateral to CCI. Values are means ± SEM, n=4. Statistically significant changes in PWL/PWT values due to cinnamaldehyde or icilin compared to pre-drug baseline are shown as (§) and (†) respectively (p<0.05) and for Ruthenium Red-reversal of the effect of cinnamaldehyde (††, p<0.05).

Figure 5 Icilin-induced analgesia following CCI is prevented by Group II and III mGluR antagonists.
(A-D) Paw withdrawal latency (PWL, s) to noxious heat and paw withdrawal threshold (PWT, mN/mm²) to mechanical stimuli ipsilateral (O) or contralateral (■) to CCI. Data represent mean ± SEM, with an n of 6 animals in each case. Rats were intrathecally injected (at arrow). Icilin (10nmol) was co-administered with A) the group II mGluR antagonist, LY 341495 (5nmol), B) the group III mGluR antagonist, UBP 1112 (10nmol) or C) the opioid receptor antagonist, naloxone (25nmol). A, B) When either LY 341495 or UBP 1112 were co-administered with icilin, they abolished the analgesic effect of icilin as indicated by the persistence of sensitised (* p<0.05) PWT/PWL responses ipsilateral to nerve injury. C) naloxone co-administration with icilin did not prevent the icilin-induced analgesia seen in PWT and PWL responses ipsilateral to nerve injury († p<0.05). * indicates significant ipsilateral-contralateral differences, † indicates significant ipsilateral analgesic effect of drug (p<0.05). D) The
Analgesic effects of topically applied icilin (200µM at 30 °C) were also reversed by intrathecal injection of Group II/III mGluR antagonists. The figure shows mean % reversal of the ipsilateral/contralateral difference in either PWL or PWT measured over 15-30 min following 5 min topical application of icilin, with or without concurrent intrathecal injection of LY 341495 (5nmol) or UBP 1112 (10nmol), or following mGluR antagonists alone. E) Typical extracellular recording of a single dorsal horn neuron ipsilateral to CCI, responding to continuous motorised brushing of the cutaneous receptive field on the hindpaw and the effects of icilin (200µM at 30 °C) topically applied to an adjacent area of the receptive field. Similar results were observed in 8 out of 12 neurons with examples in both laminae III/IV and lamina I. Neuronal firing is displayed as action potentials per second (Hz) plotted against time. (i): brush-evoked firing in neurons ipsilateral to nerve injury was consistently inhibited by topically applied icilin, (ii): this effect was reversed by ionophoresis of UBP 1112 at 20-60nA, (iii): recovery was observed following removal of the UBP 1112 ejection current. Neurons contralateral to nerve injury were unaffected by icilin and topical vehicle had no effect. In addition, ionophoresis of UBP 1112 alone or saline current controls showed no discernable effect.

Figure 6 Schematic representation of a possible mechanistic basis for TRPM8-mediated analgesia following CCI.
In this simplified hypothetical model, activation of TRPM8 in a subpopulation of afferents, by icilin, menthol or moderate cooling leads to central synaptic release of glutamate which then acts through inhibitory Group II/III mGluR receptors located either pre-synaptically on injury-activated nociceptive afferents or perhaps also post-synaptically on dorsal horn neurons, thereby attenuating neuropathic sensitisation.
Table 1: Analgesic effects of topically administered icilin against neuropathic sensitisation revert at very high concentrations to a general nociceptive effect

Reflex response sensitivity at different times after icilin application to paw

**Thermal PWL (s)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Concentration (µM)</th>
<th>Naïve</th>
<th>CCI Ipsi</th>
<th>CCI Con</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-drug</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 mins</td>
<td>50 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (vehicle)</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.8†</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.9†</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.7 ± 1.0†</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.6§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mechanical PWT (mN/mm²)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Concentration (µM)</th>
<th>Naïve</th>
<th>CCI Ipsi</th>
<th>CCI Con</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-drug</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 mins</td>
<td>50 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (vehicle)</td>
<td>4830.6 ± 0.0</td>
<td>805.7 ± 26.3</td>
<td>4793.6 ± 37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4830.6 ± 0.0</td>
<td>702.8 ± 185.3</td>
<td>4530.1 ± 300.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>4830.6 ± 0.0</td>
<td>651.9 ± 193.0</td>
<td>4454.9 ± 245.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3828.6 ± 316.8§</td>
<td>412.2 ± 24.3§</td>
<td>2040.2 ± 115.2§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant effects of drug on reflex responses are indicated: † denotes significant increase from baseline indicating analgesic effect of icilin, § denotes significant decrease from baseline values indicating hyperalgesic effects.
Table 2: Reversal of sensitisation by intrathecal icilin administration in different pain models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Model</th>
<th>PWL (s) difference from control (no drug)</th>
<th>PWL (s) difference from control (+ icilin)</th>
<th>Mean % reversal of thermal sensitisation (after 15-30mins)</th>
<th>PWT (mN/mm$^2$) difference from control (no drug)</th>
<th>PWT (mN/mm$^2$) difference from control (+ icilin)</th>
<th>Mean % reversal of mechanical sensitisation (after 15-30mins)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>$5.9 \pm 0.9^*$</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 1.2^\dagger$</td>
<td>$84.7 \pm 6.0$</td>
<td>$3347.4 \pm 126.3^*$</td>
<td>$775.4 \pm 467.7^\dagger$</td>
<td>$76.8 \pm 5.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA</td>
<td>$4.7 \pm 0.9^*$</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 1.6^\dagger$</td>
<td>$66.0 \pm 7.5$</td>
<td>$2975.6 \pm 245.5^*$</td>
<td>$1707.6 \pm 149.9^\dagger$</td>
<td>$42.6 \pm 2.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysolecithin</td>
<td>$7.8 \pm 1.0^*$</td>
<td>$2.4 \pm 1.2^\dagger$</td>
<td>$69.2 \pm 5.1$</td>
<td>$3003.8 \pm 182.4^*$</td>
<td>$1102.5 \pm 422.5^\dagger$</td>
<td>$63.3 \pm 6.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamaldehyde (intrathecal)</td>
<td>$5.9 \pm 0.9^*$</td>
<td>$0.5 \pm 1.8^\dagger$</td>
<td>$91.5 \pm 4.1$</td>
<td>$2022.6 \pm 375.4^*$</td>
<td>$150.3 \pm 125.2^\dagger$</td>
<td>$92.6 \pm 2.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamaldehyde (topical)</td>
<td>$5.0 \pm 1.5^*$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 1.6^\dagger$</td>
<td>$92.0 \pm 6.1$</td>
<td>$1002.0 \pm 316.8^*$</td>
<td>$0.0 \pm 0.0^\dagger$</td>
<td>$100.0 \pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant ipsilateral-contralateral differences in surgical pain models or, in the case of cinnamaldehyde-induced responses, differences from prior baseline are indicated (*, p<0.05). Significant icilin (10nmol, i.t.)-induced reversal of sensitisation is indicated (†, p<0.05).
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Western blots
Ipsilateral or contralateral L4-L6 dorsal root ganglia (DRG) were taken from CCI animals (n=6), and from naive animals. DRG were also taken from naive and CCI animals that had undergone either antisense or mis-sense treatment to knockdown TRPM8 expression (n=5). Spinal cord from CCI animals was hemisected and samples from naive animals were also processed. Individual samples were weighed and homogenised immediately upon removal. For whole lysate preparations, tissue was homogenised in 20 volumes of Laemmli lysis buffer (Tris (tris-hydroxymethylaminoethane, 50 mM, pH 7.4 with 5% mercaptoethanol and 2% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)), boiled for 5 min and frozen. In some cases with spinal cord samples, a crude particulate fraction was prepared to obtain relative enrichment of membrane-bound compared to cytosolic proteins. Tissue was homogenised in 20 volumes of ice-cold Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4, containing 1% protease inhibitor cocktail III (Calbiochem, Merck Biosciences Ltd., Nottingham, UK) and then centrifuged at 11000g for 45 min at 4°C, before solubilising the pellet in Laemmli buffer. Western blotting was carried out as described previously [57]. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on 3-8% Tris-acetate gradient gels using the (NuPage System, Invitrogen Ltd., Paisley, UK). Blots were incubated with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to TRPM8 (either an antibody raised against TRPM8 residues 278-292 and 1090-1104 (human); 1:500; Abcam Ltd., Cambridge, UK or occasionally an antibody raised against TRPM8 residues 656-680 (rat); 1:100; Phoenix Peptides, Belmont, CA, USA), a rabbit polyclonal antibody to TRPV1 (1:250, Chemicon International Ltd, Harrow, UK) or a mouse monoclonal antibody to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, 1:750; Chemicon) and immunoreactive bands were detected by peroxidase-linked secondary antibody and enhanced chemiluminescence. Quantitative densitometry analysis of protein bands was performed using the ScanAnalysis (Elsevier) programme. Antigen preabsorption controls were carried out to assess the specificity of the TRPM8 antibodies, by preincubating the antibody with either membrane preparations from cells heterologously expressing TRPM8 (or control) or the antigenic peptide (or control). COS7 cells were transfected with human TRPM8 in pCMV6-XL4 expression plasmid or empty vector (OriGene Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD, USA) using GeneJuice Reagent (Novagen, Merck Biosciences Ltd., Nottingham, UK) and used 48 h later. Cells were scraped into ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1% protease inhibitor cocktail III (Calbiochem) and homogenised (Ystral homogeniser) before centrifuging at 1000g for 10 min (and discarding the low speed pellet). The supernatant was then centrifuged at 48,000g for 30 min and the pellet from this was resuspended in primary antibody buffer (2% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS). Anti-TRPM8 (residues 278-292 and 1090-1104; human) antibody was added to TRPM8-expressing or control membrane suspensions and rolled at 4°C for 16 h prior to use. In the case of the anti-TRPM8 (residues 656-680; rat) antibody, the antigenic peptide was available, so aliquots of the antibody were preincubated with the peptide (dissolved at a stock concentration of 1mg/180 µl in PBS) and used at a ratio of 5 µg peptide:1 µg antibody, by rolling at 4°C for 16 h. Control aliquots were treated with PBS alone.

Immunohistochemistry
DRGs and spinal cord from lumbar segments L5/6, ipsilateral or contralateral to CCI or from naïve or rhizotomised animals were taken. Tissue was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and embedded in OCT (Cell Path plc. Powys. Wales, UK). Cryostat sections (15µm) were cut and thaw-mounted on poly-L-lysine slides (Merck-BDH). Slides
were blocked in 10% normal goat serum, 0.1M PBS, pH 7.4, 0.2% Triton X-100, 4% fish skin gelatin for 1 h at room temperature and incubated overnight with appropriate primary antibodies in buffer (0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4, 0.2% Triton X-100, 4% normal goat serum, 4% fish skin gelatin). Antibodies used were: rabbit polyclonal anti-TRPM8, raised against residues 656-680 (rat) (Phoenix Peptides, 1:450), mouse monoclonal anti-NF-200 (Sigma, 1:1000), mouse monoclonal anti-peripherin (Chemicon, 1:250), mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (Chemicon, 1:500). In experiments to assess specificity of the TRPM8 antibody used for immunohistochemistry, antibody aliquots were pre-incubated with the antigenic peptide or PBS control, as above. Slides were washed, incubated with appropriate fluorescent secondary antibodies in the same buffer excluding Triton X-100, (goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 568 1:750, goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor 488, 1:500, Molecular Probes, OR, USA), washed, stained with To-Pro nuclear stain (1:1000, To-Pro-3-iodide, Molecular Probes), coverslipped and sealed. Control sections were processed as above omitting the primary antisera. Sections were visualised using a Leica TCSNT confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems GMBH, Germany. Image analysis was performed with Image Tool software (UTHSCSA Image Tool Version 3.0) and illustrations prepared using Adobe Photoshop 7.0. Cell counts were performed on five to eight randomly selected DRG sections (separation of 100 µm) from each of three animals in each group, and only neurons with clear nuclei were counted. Results were expressed as the proportion of TRPM8-labelled cells per total number of peripherin/NF-200 labelled cells from all sections.
Figure 2

A

Untreated
anti-TRPM8
NaiveAntisense
DRG DRG

Sham-treated
anti-TRPM8
NaiveAntisense
DRG DRG

Antigen-preabsorbed
anti-TRPM8
NaiveAntisense
DRG DRG

Untreated
anti-TRPV1
NaiveAntisense
DRG DRG

DRG

Ipsi Con Naive

TRPM8

GAPDH

CCI

SC - Lysate

Ipsi Con Naive

TRPM8

GAPDH

CCI

B

Anti-TRPM8

a5e60

Naive Antisense

DRG DRG

GAPDH

Peptide-blocked

Anti-TRPM8

a5e60

Naive Antisense

DRG DRG

C

Ipsi Con

Rhizotomy

Peripherin

Ipsi Con

RPM8

CCI

NeuN

TRPM8

D

Ipsi Con

E

TRPM8 +

NF-200

Ipsi Con Naive

TRPM8 co-localization

with NF-200 (%)

15

10

5

0

Ipsi Con Naive

14/346

6/445

31/860

F

TRPM8 +

peripherin

Ipsi Con Naive

TRPM8 co-localization

with peripherin (%)

15

10

5

0

Ipsi Con Naive

42/452

34/406

64/412
Figure 3

A

Antisense thermal

CCI

Baseline | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80

PWT (s)

Baseline | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20

Time post-application (mins)

Baseline | iclin (topical)

Antisense mechanical

Baseline | 0 | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 | 6000

PWT (mN/mm²)

Baseline | iclin (topical)

B

Mis-sense thermal

CCI

Baseline | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80

PWT (s)

Baseline | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20

Time post-application (mins)

Baseline | iclin (topical)

Mis-sense mechanical

Baseline | 0 | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 | 6000

PWT (mN/mm²)

Baseline | iclin (topical)

C

TRPM8

GAPDH

Antisense | Mis-sense

Ipsi | Con | Ipsi | Con
Figure 4

A

B

C

D

Ipsilateral response threshold change as percentage of baseline

- icilin (l.t.)
- cinnamaldehyde (l.t.)
- Ruthenium Red (l.t.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thermal</th>
<th>Mechanical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>††</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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