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Abstract—Robust and repeatable processes are required to fabricate reference electrodes for micro-scale integrated electrochemical sensors. One method for this is to produce a “silver/silver chloride” (Ag/AgCl) electrode through chemical chlorination of a thin film silver layer. This paper presents test structures, which can electrically characterise the process to aid process development and in-line control of the chlorination process.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A high quality reference electrode is essential for electrochemical sensing as it represents a stable potential against which all other electrode potentials are referred. Macro scale Ag/AgCl reference electrodes [1] that include liquid junctions and saturated electrolytes, such as the example shown in Fig. 1, are readily available. However, when using microfabricated electrodes for electrochemical sensing such reference electrodes are inappropriate as it is clearly desirable to integrate a miniaturised reference electrode as part of the fabrication process [2]. This raises a number of challenges, one of which is the chlorination of silver to create the required Ag/AgCl electrode structure. There are two approaches to produce an AgCl layer on an Ag electrode: active electrochemical conversion, and a direct chemical reaction [2]. While the electrochemical conversion is a very controllable approach it requires each reference electrode on the substrate to be electrically connected to distribute current to all of them. After the chlorination process an extra step is required to remove or disconnect this current supply interconnect, while also ensuring that no metal connected to the reference electrode is exposed in an area where there will be electrolyte during operation. Hence, from a manufacturing viewpoint the electrochemical chlorination was deemed less straightforward than using a direct chemical reaction to convert Ag to AgCl. However, characterising and controlling the thickness of this chemical process is less straightforward than electrochemical conversion of the silver. This paper provides a solution to this issue by detailing a test structure which can provide information on the thickness of the AgCl formation during the fabrication of an integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Controlling and monitoring this process step is a key element in successful reference electrode fabrication.

II. INTEGRATED Ag/AgCl REFERENCE ELECTRODE

Fig. 2 shows a cross-section of the reference electrode designed to be integrated with the microfabricated Clark oxygen sensor [3] shown in Fig. 3. The Clark sensor operates by reducing oxygen at the working electrode, which is held at a specific potential versus a reference electrode. The resulting current from the electrochemical reaction will be proportional to the oxygen concentration. The reference electrode, as designed, consists of 250 nm of silver on 40 nm of platinum, with a 10 nm titanium adhesion layer, all deposited by electron-beam evaporation. Thicker layers of silver have been used by others [4], but these are typically deposited by electroplating, which is more complex to integrate with other fabrication processes. For the benchmark procedure used in this process, the surface of the silver layer is chemically converted into AgCl by exposing it to a solution of ferric (Iron III) chloride (FeCl3) for a set time [5]. Clearly, it is important that the thickness of both the remaining underlying silver layer and the silver chloride can be determined for process control purposes. However, these thin layers of Ag and AgCl have proved difficult to successfully characterise using cross-sectioning or layer removal, hence the requirement for a non-destructive test structure that can be used for full characterisation as well...
as routine, process control. To the authors’ knowledge no test structures have been reported for fully characterising the chlorination process.

III. TEST STRUCTURES FOR Ag/AgCl LAYER THICKNESS

During the formation of the non-conducting AgCl the silver surface is consumed. Hence the resistance of the remaining silver layer can be used to monitor the chlorination process. A track with the cross-section shown in Fig. 2 can be modelled as two resistors (Ag and Pt) connected in parallel (note the 10 nm Ti adhesion layer has not been included but can be added if necessary). While this model is only an approximate representation of the current flow, it provides an insight into the test structure sensitivity as shown in Fig. 4, where bulk Ag and Pt resistivities are assumed. The sheet resistance of the 40 nm Pt, and 250nm Ag layers can be determined as 2.6 and 0.06 $\Omega/\square$ respectively. Note that bulk resistivity values are typically lower than thin film resistivities, so it would be expected that the real thin film resistances will be greater, but with a similar order of magnitude. A key element that this model demonstrates is that the structure can be used to identify when the silver layer has been fully consumed (an undesirable situation) and, assuming the AgCl thickness grows uniformly and acts as an insulator, the structure provides a degree of quantification of the thickness of any remaining silver after chlorination. While this may be a reasonable first order assumption there is evidence that the AgCl grows in a granular fashion with micropores providing access to the silver for the chlorination process illustrated in Fig. 5 [6]. During this process the silver is consumed and while the model assumes this occurs uniformly at the Ag/AgCl interface, this is unlikely to be the case.

An initial investigation used a 2-terminal silver on titanium resistor design shown in Fig. 6 chlorinated using 10 mM FeCl$_3$ for 60 seconds. The colour change in Fig. 6(b) indicates the formation of AgCl, which resulted in the measured resistance increasing to tens of k$\Omega$. This suggests that all the silver has been converted to AgCl, with the Ti adhesion layer providing a conduction path. When the FeCl$_3$ concentration is reduced to 0.125 mM, significant current still flows, indicating that there is silver remaining under the AgCl. These initial results provide the motivation to design a more comprehensive test chip with Kelvin measurement structures to better characterise the process.

Fig. 7 shows the test chip layout, while Fig. 8 is a microscope image of a fabricated chip after chlorination. The test chip was specifically designed to:

1) Indicate when all the silver is converted to AgCl.
2) Provide a non-destructive in-line estimate of the thickness of remaining silver at earlier stages of the process.
3) Provide information about the effect of ferric chloride on other conductors exposed to the solution.

It should be noted that the dimensions selected in the test structures are related to the reference electrode dimensions used in the sensor design.

Each test chip consists of two 20 $\mu$m wide linear tracks 300 and 600 $\mu$m long with voltage taps for Kelvin measurements (Structures A and B), a similar curved 300 $\mu$m long track structure that mimics the reference electrode design on the
Clark electrode (Structure D), and Greek crosses [7] (width 20 µm) for sheet resistance measurements (Structure C). The Greek cross structure effectively measures the resistivity of the area of the centre of the cross (400 µm²) and will give a better indication of the spatial variability of the chlorination process than the bridge structure (area 6,000 µm² for the 300 µm long bridge).

The six rows of structures present on each chip, consist of three different metal stacks either with or without chlorination. The structures not exposed to the chlorination process are used to provide a reference benchmark before the AgCl formation procedure.

- Row 1: Pt only
- Row 2: Pt exposed to chlorination
- Row 3: Ag only
- Row 4: Ag exposed to chlorination
- Row 5: Pt/Ag
- Row 6: Pt/Ag exposed to chlorination

Row 4 (Ag) is expected to indicate a high resistance condition once all the Ag is converted, and it is anticipated that for Row 6 (Pt/Ag) the AgCl conversion will result in a similar resistance to that measured for Row 2 (Pt). For this investigation the test chips are designed to be fabricated on 100 mm Si wafers and diced into eight 5 × 5 arrays for process optimisation and evaluation purposes. Unlike the measurements reported in [6] it should be noted that these test structures all measure the resistance of the metal layers in the plane of the conductive material.

IV. Measurement Considerations

Table I summarises the thickness and resistivity of the metals used in the test structures. It can be noted that there is a large thickness and resistivity range and therefore measurement currents need to be adjusted appropriately to ensure excessive current densities do not occur. Fig. 9 shows the effect of high currents on the AgCl film when 100 mA has been
forced through a chlorinated 300 µm bridge structure. It can be observed that there is significant damage with the track no longer having a clean and straight edge. It also appears that some of the AgCl is missing from areas of the track. The following results all relate to measurements on 300 µm long Kelvin bridge test structures.

Table II shows selected measurement currents and extracted sheet resistance values for the different combinations of metal layers in the test structures, including structures that have been exposed to chlorination. The measurement currents used exhibited no $I^2R$ heating effect or issues with voltmeter resolution. Many have reported [5] measuring the resistance perpendicular to the surface of AgCl films (i.e. being used as an electrode) with the ionic conduction taking place through micro-pores from the surface to the bottom of the AgCl film. While the test structures presented in this paper are not operated in this mode special attention needs to be taken to ensure appropriate current densities are used for measuring structures that have been subjected to Ag chlorination.

It can also be observed in Table II that, as expected, the bridge and Greek cross structures are in broad agreement, given that the track cross-sections are not rectangular and the width of the track is assumed to be the designed value. Additionally, the measurement of the chlorinated structures is also affected by any misalignment of the photoresist chlorination mask shown in Fig. 7. Clearly, fully optimising the measurements would minimise these minor differences. The measurement with the largest variation is the chlorinated Ti/Ag combination where all the Ag has been converted to AgCl and just the 10 nm titanium adhesion layer is left. Possible causes for the variation are the Ti, which is known to be a very non-uniform layer, and there is also concern from optical inspection that the connection of voltage taps may be marginal.

Based upon the simple model presented in Fig. 4 it would be expected that the non-chlorinated Pt/Ag results should match the related chlorinated Pt/Ag measurement when all the silver is converted (Rows a,b and f in Table II). It can be observed from table II that as predicted for these samples a single metal (Pt) dominates the measured sheet resistance.

**V. MEASUREMENTS OF $R_s$ AS A FUNCTION OF FeCl$_3$ CONCENTRATION**

The ability to identify that silver remains underneath the AgCl is the major contribution of the test structures in this paper. The Pt sheet resistance, both protected and exposed to FeCl$_3$ for 60 seconds, has been measured as 4.7 – 4.9 Ω/□ and this resistance is not affected by the FeCl$_3$ concentration. In contrast films in the test structures with as-deposited silver have a sheet resistance of 0.08 – 0.9 Ω/□, a value which is affected by chlorination.

Fig. 10 shows the effect of a 60 second chlorination process on the sheet resistance of the bridge test structure when the concentration of the FeCl$_3$ is varied. It can be observed that...
TABLE II
EXTRACTED RESISTANCE OF FOUR 300 µM LONG KELVIN BRIDGES AND THEIR MEASUREMENT CURRENTS FOR Pt, Ag AND Pt/Ag TEST STRUCTURES (GREEK CROSS MEASUREMENTS IN BRACKETS). (A) Ti/Pt (NON-CHLORINATED), (B) Ti/Pt (NON-CHLORINATED), (C) Ti/Ag (NON-CHLORINATED), (D) Ti/Ag on Ti/Pt (CHLORINATED), (E) Ti/Ag on Ti/Pt (CHLORINATED).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dominant Metal</th>
<th>Metal Stack</th>
<th>300 µm Bridge Current</th>
<th>Sheet Resistance (Ω/□)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Pt</td>
<td>Ti/Pt - No Cl</td>
<td>6 mA (16 mA)</td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 1 4.919 (5.089)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 2 4.904 (5.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 1 4.720 (4.817)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 2 4.693 (4.805)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Pt</td>
<td>Ti/Pt - Cl</td>
<td>6 mA (16 mA)</td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 1 4.935 (5.084)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 2 4.882 (5.099)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 1 4.717 (4.813)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 2 4.654 (4.794)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Ag</td>
<td>Ti/Ag - No Cl</td>
<td>30 mA (20 mA)</td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 1 0.0830 (0.0953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 2 0.0810 (0.0905)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 1 0.0820 (0.093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 2 0.082 (0.092)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Ti</td>
<td>Ti/Ag - Cl</td>
<td>40 µA (100 µA)</td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 1 296.3 (325.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 2 294.5 (330.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 1 261.2 (292.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 2 252.0 (284.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Ag</td>
<td>Ti/Ag on Ti/Pt - No Cl</td>
<td>40 mA (20 mA)</td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 1 0.085 (0.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 2 0.086 (0.084)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 1 0.085 (0.097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 2 0.086 (0.097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Pt</td>
<td>Ti/Ag on Ti/Pt - Cl</td>
<td>6 mA (5 mA)</td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 1 4.837 (4.988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 1 Block 2 4.820 (5.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 1 4.605 (4.733)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chip 2 Block 2 4.655 (4.710)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Extracted resistance of a 300 µm long Kelvin bridge as a function of FeCl₃ concentration for a PtAg test structure. Each test chip has been exposed to the FeCl₃ for 60 seconds.

Increasing the concentration increases the thickness of exposed Ag converted to AgCl thereby increasing resistance, with the conversion of Ag increasing with higher concentrations. As expected the sheet resistance before chlorination is largely set by the 250 nm of Ag and the underlying Pt remains unaffected. Once all the Ag has been converted the Pt layer defines the sheet resistance.

The error bars in Fig. 10 are the standard deviation of measurements of six test structures on two different chips. The high level of variation for the structures exposed to FeCl₃ with a concentration of 0.5 mM is an illustration of the significant variability of the process when there is partial conversion to AgCl. This may also be a result of light exposure during processing which seems to have a significant effect on the reaction. This requires further investigation, but we have found that limiting light exposure makes the chlorination process less variable.

Using typical values of Pt and Ag sheet resistance from Table II, we can adjust the model in Fig. 4 to better reflect the thin film sheet resistivity. This model can then be used to calculate an approximate value of the average thickness of the remaining silver layer in the chlorinated test structure. For example, for the structures chlorinated for 60 seconds with a concentration of 0.5 mM the estimated average silver thickness is 123 nm.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a set of test structures that can be used to characterise the conversion of silver during a chemical chlorination process. In particular, they provide an in-process measure of the Ag layer thickness and have been instrumental in unambiguously identifying FeCl₃ concentrations that leave underlying silver after 60 seconds of reaction.

The depth of chlorination is a function of both time and concentration. The chlorination process used in this work is a manual operation and a 60 seconds process has a significant percentage of the total time associated with the loading and unloading of the sample; perhaps too short a time from a repeatability perspective. Hence, there are attractions associated with reducing the concentration and increasing the chlorination time. Clearly, the test chip described in this paper is well suited to the task of identifying successful chlorination and confirming the AgCl has a layer of Ag underneath.

While the simple model presented in the paper predicts the general behaviour of the test structure, during the course of this work it was evident that the growth of the AgCl layer may not proceed in a completely uniform manner, and this is partially related to the silver grain structure. As the chlorination process...
reaches the interface with the Pt, it is suggested that islands of silver will be created. These “isolated” islands, connected by the underlying Pt, will eventually be converted to AgCl and cease to contribute to the resistance measured.

The Greek cross test structure can provide information on the homogeneity of the grain structure from statistical variation between individual test structures [7]. This is in contrast to the bridge test structures, which extract sheet resistance from a much larger area.

It has also been noted that the area of the structure is an important parameter in the chlorination process with a more rapid conversion process taking place along the periphery of the electrode. For process control it would be recommended that the test structures are similar in dimensions to the reference electrode being fabricated.
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