Endobronchial ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration and liquid-based thin-layer cytology


Background: Optimal management of patients with lung cancer requires accurate cell typing of tumours and staging at the time of diagnosis. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided lymph node aspiration as a method of diagnosing and staging lung cancer is a relatively new technique.

Aim: To report the use of liquid-based-thin-layer cytology for the processing and reporting of these specimens.

Methods: The specimens obtained from 80 patients were processed using the ThinPrep system, with the remainder of the samples being processed as a cell block.

Results: 40 of the 81 procedures yielded malignant cells (30 non-small cell carcinoma, 8 small-cell carcinoma and 2 combined small-cell carcinoma/non-small-cell carcinoma). The cell blocks were found to contain sufficient material to allow the immunohistochemical characterisation of tumour cells with a range of antibodies.

Conclusion: The use of liquid-based-thin-layer cytological techniques provides high-quality specimens for diagnostic purposes. When used in conjunction with cell blocks, sufficient material may be obtained to allow immunohistochemical studies to confirm the tumour cell type. Given the current move towards centralisation of pathology services, this approach gives the pathologist high-quality specimens without the need for direct onsite support at the time of the procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

During the period between May 2005 and January 2006, 81 EBUS–FNA procedures were carried out on a total of 80 patients (41 men and 39 women, aged 30–86 years) who had been referred to the Respiratory Unit, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. Most patients had a presumed, or proven, diagnosis of bronchial carcinoma with hilar or mediastinal nodal enlargement on CT scan but were not considered to be candidates for surgical resection. To confirm the diagnosis, establish the cell type of the tumour or confirm the stage, EBUS–FNA was carried out as we have described previously.11 A few patients were, however, also referred with mediastinal lymphadenopathy of unknown cause or were thought to have mediastinal recurrence of carcinoma.

The number of lymph node stations sampled ranged from 1–4. In all, two or three needle passes were performed on each node in the manner we have described previously.11 All the samples obtained were placed directly into Cytolyt (Cytuc UK, Crawley, West Sussex, UK) and delivered to the Pathology Department, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh.

Specimen processing

The specimens were processed using the T2000 ThinPrep System (Cytuc UK, Crawley, West Sussex, UK) and the single preparation was stained with Papanicolaou (PAP) stain. Any remaining of the specimen was centrifuged, to form a pellet, suspended in agar, fixed in neutral buffered formalin and processed as a cell block from which a single H&E stained section was cut. Further sections were cut and used for immunohistochemical staining as required, with a range of antibodies using standard methods and the automated staining machines currently in use in the department.

Abbreviations: EBUR–FNA, endobronchial ultrasound fine-needle aspiration; PAP, Papanicolaou; TTF1, thyroid transcription factor 1.
Reporting
All specimens were reported by one of three consultant pathologists with a specific interest in thoracic pathology (WAHW, HMM, DMS) who report both histological and cytological specimens.

RESULTS
The aspirates obtained were of variable cellularity. Evidence of lymph node sampling was assessed by the presence of lymphoid cells or sheets of histiocytes often with associated pigment consistent with the prominent sinus histiocytosis commonly observed in mediastinal nodes. Although some aspirates were relatively sparsely cellular, none were deemed to show evidence of nodal sampling. In addition, all the aspirates contained evidence of varying amounts of endobronchial-derived material in the form of respiratory epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages. The cell blocks again were of variable cellularity, but many contained “mini biopsies” with fragments of identifiable nodal tissue and in some cases cartilage.

From the 81 procedures carried out, metastatic malignancy was identified in 40 cases. Non-small-cell carcinoma (fig 1) was diagnosed in 30 cases (6 squamous carcinoma, 3 adenocarcinoma and 21 unspecified), small-cell carcinoma (fig 2) in 8 cases and combined small-cell carcinoma/non-small-cell carcinoma in 2 cases. In two cases of squamous carcinoma, malignancy was diagnosed solely on the basis of the cell block, with no identifiable tumour in the PAP-stained cytological preparations. In addition, in one of the cases of combined small-cell carcinoma/non-small cell carcinoma only the latter was present in the cytological preparation and the small-cell component was only identified in the cell block.

Immunohistochemistry with CD45, pan-cytokeratin and CD56 was performed in sections obtained from the cell block in the cases of small-cell carcinoma and this was found to show patterns of staining in the tumour present similar to that seen in more traditional histological specimens (fig 2).

Immunohistochemistry with thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF1) and oestrogen receptor was used to evaluate cases in which the clinical impression was of probable metastatic breast carcinoma. In one case clinically thought to be metastatic breast carcinoma, the cells obtained were shown to be TTF1 positive and oestrogen receptor negative, suggesting a separate lung primary carcinoma. This finding was confirmed by subsequent mediastinoscopy (fig 3).

The remaining 41 procedures showed no evidence of malignancy. In one patient with unexplained lymphadenopathy, discrete non-caseating granulomata were identified in the cell block, suggesting the possibility of sarcoidosis. Further material was subsequently submitted for histological and cytological assessment in 11 of these patients; 7 patients underwent mediastinoscopy, 1 had a repeat EBUS–FNA and 3 had further surgical biopsies.

The mediastinoscopies showed two cases of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, two metastatic adenocarcinomas and three were negative. Of the latter three, one had a lung biopsy and was diagnosed with Hodgkin’s disease and another underwent resection of a primary lung adenocarcinoma, which was found to be node negative. The repeat EBUS–FNA performed in one case demonstrated malignant cells consistent with a non-small-cell carcinoma. One patient had a lung biopsy showing a high-grade sarcoma and two other patients had biopsies of distant metastases (brain and bone) showing non-small-cell carcinoma.

DISCUSSION
EBUS–FNA is a relatively new technique that allows aspiration of mediastinal and hilar lymph node groups under direct ultrasound control. The traditional approach of making spreads from such material may result in large numbers of slides to screen, and assessment can be difficult if the diagnostic material is obscured by blood. The quality of the preparations may be improved if an appropriately trained
Figure 2 Photomicrographs from endobronchial ultrasound fine-needle aspiration samples illustrating the appearances of metastatic small-cell carcinoma in a Papanicolaou-stained ThipPrep preparation (A) and in a section from a cell block stained with H&E (B). Immunohistochemistry with pan-keratin shows positive staining of the tumour cells (C) whereas no staining with CD45 was identified (D; original magnification ×400).

Figure 3 Photomicrographs of specimens obtained from an endobronchial ultrasound fine-needle aspiration from a woman with a history of breast cancer and a right hilar/paratracheal mass. Groups of malignant glandular cells consistent with origin from an adenocarcinoma were identified both in the Papanicolaou-stained ThipPrep preparation and in the H&E-stained sections from the cell block (A). Immunohistochemistry demonstrated that the tumour cells expressed BerEp4 (B) and showed focal nuclear staining with thyroid transcription factor 1 (C). No staining with antibodies to oestrogen receptor was identified (D). A subsequent mediastinoscopy confirmed the presence of adenocarcinoma, which was morphologically different from the breast lesion and consistent with origin from a bronchial carcinoma, (original magnification ×400).
cytologist or cytology technician is present at the procedure. It also has the advantage that the quality of the aspirates can be assessed at the time. Provision of such support is, however, expensive and may be difficult to provide given the current trends to centralise pathology services with increasing sub-specialisation. We have previously introduced liquid-based cytology techniques for processing bronchial cytology specimens where similar issues of specimen quality apply. In our experience, the approach we describe provides samples that are relatively straightforward to report. The cells are well visualised, not obscured by blood and air-drying artefacts are avoided.

Although lymph node FNA provides a reliable way of detecting the presence of malignancy and will often permit cell typing, it is often difficult to determine the most likely primary site where there are conflicting clinical possibilities. The use of cell blocks and immunohistochemistry may give some useful information, and in some cases we were able to address the issue by demonstrating TTF1 staining of the tumour cells but in other cases we were unable to make any comment owing to either the sparsity of the material or the absence of staining with any of the tissue-specific markers. This suggests that case selection for EBUS–FNA is important, and that although it is a valuable tool in staging lung cancer, more complex cases where treatment options are determined by the probable primary site of the tumour rather than simply by the presence or absence of malignancy then lymph node biopsy by mediastinoscopy may be more appropriate.

In our experience to date, we have not identified evidence of lymphoma in three cases where this turned out to be the final diagnosis (two cases of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and one case of Hodgkin’s lymphoma diagnosed on mediastinoscopy and lung biopsy, respectively). On review, no specific cytological features were seen to suggest the diagnosis. This may suggest that diagnostic material was not obtained in at least the two cases with subsequent positive mediastinoscopies. The potential role of EBUS–FNA cytology in the diagnosis of lymphoma remains controversial and although ancillary studies such as flow cytometry and molecular studies may play a role, histological assessment and classification remains the “gold standard” for planning treatment schedules. Although we did identify one case where there was evidence of a granulomatous process in the material within the cell block from an aspirated node, the technique should not, in our view, be regarded as a reliable method to assess the aetiology of isolated lymphadenopathy when lymphoma and sarcoidosis are the principal clinical differential diagnoses.

As with many cytological samples, a positive result is helpful in patient management but the significance of a negative one is less certain. In our series, most patients with negative aspirates did not undergo mediastinoscopy as they were not considered surgical candidates, and subsequent treatment was guided by the clinical and radiological characteristics of the case. Nevertheless, we would conclude from our experience that the use of liquid-based thin-layer cytological techniques for EBUS–FNA samples provides good-quality specimens for diagnostic purposes and that the use of cell blocks provides additional material for assessment and immunohistochemical staining when this is required.

**Take-home messages**

- Endobronchial ultrasound fine-needle aspiration is a useful tool for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer.
- The use of thin-layer cytological techniques provides good-quality specimens for diagnostic purposes.
- The routine use of cell blocks adds to the diagnostic yield and provides material for immunohistochemical studies.
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