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ABSTRACT

Experimental evolution of bacteriophage provides a powerful means of studying the genetics of adaptation, as every substitution contributing to adaptation can be identified and characterized. Here, I use experimental evolution of MS2, an RNA bacteriophage, to study its adaptive response to a novel environment. To this end, three lines of MS2 were adapted to rapid growth and lysis at cold temperature for a minimum of 50 phage generations and subjected to whole-genome sequencing. Using this system, I identified adaptive substitutions, monitored changes in frequency of adaptive mutations through the course of the experiment, and measured the effect on phage growth rate of each substitution. All three lines showed a substantial increase in fitness (a two- to threefold increase in growth rate) due to a modest number of substitutions (three to four). The data show some evidence that the substitutions occurring early in the experiment have larger beneficial effects than later ones, in accordance with the expected diminishing returns relationship between the fitness effects of a mutation and its order of substitution. Patterns of molecular evolution seen here—primarily a paucity of hitchhiking mutations—suggest an abundant supply of beneficial mutations in this system. Nevertheless, some beneficial mutations appear to have been lost, possibly due to accumulation of beneficial mutations on other genetic backgrounds, clonal interference, and negatively epistatic interactions with other beneficial mutations.

Experimental evolution, or the study of adaptation in laboratory populations, provides a means of following adaptation in real time and in minute detail. Microbial systems, in particular, offer an opportunity to rigorously test theoretical models of adaptive evolution, as in these systems beneficial mutations can be readily observed and their effects measured in a controlled environment. Recent work in this area has addressed such questions as whether theory can accurately predict the distribution of fitness effects among beneficial alleles (Sanjuan et al. 2004; Rokyta et al. 2005; Barrett et al. 2006; Kassen and Bataillon 2006) and how interference alters this distribution among fixed beneficial alleles (Hegnes et al. 2006).

Lenski and Travisano (1994) pioneered another kind of experimental evolution approach, which focuses on describing patterns of evolution in evolving lines. One generality that has emerged from these studies is that evolving populations tend to increase in fitness rapidly upon introduction to a new environment, but more slowly later (Élena and Lenski 2003). This slowdown in the rate of increase in mean fitness may be due to one of two causes or some mixture of the two. First, as a population approaches an optimal phenotype, the supply of beneficial mutations may become exhausted, and adaptation may be limited by an increasingly smaller mutation supply (Silander et al. 2007). Second, the rate of adaptation may slow if, as expected, mutations with large benefits tend to be fixed earlier than those with small benefits.

Several population genetic and physiological factors may act together to ensure that large-effect mutations are fixed before mutations with small effects, particularly in large asexual populations. First, because these mutations with big benefits have shorter sweep times than other mutations, they will tend to be among the first mutations fixed (Kimura and Ohta 1969; Gerrish and Lenski 1998; Kim and Orr 2005). This is especially true in large populations, where an abundant mutation supply offers opportunity for competition between beneficial mutations (Gerrish and Lenski 1998; Kim and Orr 2005). Second, large-effect mutations have lower probabilities of stochastic loss (Haldane 1927; Gillespie 1991; Orr 2002), and correspondingly shorter waiting times until a successful mutation occurs, than small-effect mutations. This may be particularly true in asexual populations, where successful mutations may need to have benefits large enough to overcome the effects of linked deleterious mutations (Johnson and Barton...
2002). Third, diminishing returns epistasis may be common: the same beneficial mutation may have a larger effect if it occurs early in the course of adaptation rather than later (Hartl et al. 1985; Bull et al. 2000). Finally, later substitutions may be largely compensating for deleterious pleiotropic effects of earlier substitutions, and compensatory mutations may have smaller benefits on average than the mutations for which they compensate (Otto 2004). To date, only one experiment has directly examined whether or not earlier substitutions have larger benefits than later ones, independent of any reduction in the supply of beneficial mutations, with somewhat mixed results (Holder and Bull 2001).

Here, I use experimental evolution to study adaptation in large populations of an RNA phage with a high mutation rate, MS2. MS2 is a single-stranded RNA bacteriophage of the family Leviviridae (reviewed in van Duijn and Tsareva 2004). Like other phage of this family, MS2 has a small genome, consisting of 3.6 kb and four genes (Fiers et al. 1976). As a result, repeated whole-genome sequencing can be used to determine both the identity and the order of each adaptive substitution. In this experiment, I expose MS2 to a new environment—one that selects for rapid growth and lysis in Escherichia coli growing at a colder than usual temperature—and examine its response by determining the identity and fitness effects of each substitution. Results may differ from similar studies in DNA phage (Holder and Bull 2001) due to the more abundant supply of mutations in an RNA phage. Further, the data collected here allow the investigation of patterns of evolution associated with a combination of a large population size and high mutation rates.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Phage and host strains:** Phage in the genus Levivirus (family Leviviridae) consist of a single protein-coding RNA strand encapsulated by a virion coat. The coliphage of this group, including MS2, infects E. coli cells through the pilus encoded by the F-plasmid. MS2 reproduces in host cells, using both its own gene products and host factors, and then lyses the host cell to release progeny phage. The small (3569 nucleotides) genome of MS2 includes four protein-coding genes: the maturation, coat, lysis, and replicase genes. The maturation gene is involved in infection and lysis; the coat protein dimerizes to form the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase that copies the viral genome (van Duijn and Tsareva 2004). In addition, the MS2 genome contains regulatory regions controlling the timing of translation of the coding regions; this regulation is mediated by the secondary structure of the single-stranded RNA chromosome (Klosvins et al. 1997).

For this experiment, a stock designated “MS2 ancestor” (kindly provided by J. Bolllback) was obtained from a laboratory strain of MS2 (kindly provided by J. van Duijn), by propagating the strain for ~20 phage generations at 37°C to preadapt it to laboratory conditions. A single plaque from this population was isolated and grown for 3 hr at 37°C. The MS2 ancestor is thus clonally derived from a single phage and is expected to be largely genetically homogenous (among three sequenced genomes, 1 silent and 0 replacement differences were found; data not shown).

Phage were grown on TOP 10 F- E. coli cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in standard Luria–Bertani medium supplemented with 14 μg/ml tetracycline (Sigma, St. Louis) to maintain the presence of the F-plasmid.

**Serial passages:** Three MS2 lines were independently propagated from the MS2 ancestor stock through a minimum of 50 serial passages at 30°C (cold temperature). Serial passages consisted of infection of a host culture, followed by 130 min of phage growth, and extraction of the phage from the culture. The scheme used here was designed to allow tight control of population size (phage were bottlenecked every generation), to limit host–phage coevolution (naive hosts were provided for each passage), and to limit phage–phage coevolution [interaction between phage was limited by a low multiplicity of infection (MOI) of ~1 phage/100 host cells].

Each serial passage was performed as follows: uninfected E. coli cultures were grown at 37°C to an optical density of 0.25–0.5 (OD measured at 600 nm, corresponding to a density of ~2.5 × 10⁶–5.0 × 10⁶ cells/ml). Two 5-ml subsamples of this cell culture were physiologically adapted to growth in a 30°C water bath for 20 min. One of these was infected with ~1 × 10⁷ phage (2.0 × 10⁶ /ml) from the previous passage, and the other was grown alongside the infected culture and checked to confirm the absence of contaminating phage. The cultures were grown for 130 min at 30°C, then E. coli cells were pelleted and removed by centrifuging for 20 min, and phage were extracted by removing the liquid lysate. Portions of this lysate were used (i) to measure the concentration of phage to determine the amount of lysate necessary for infecting the next passage, (ii) to infect the next serial passage, and (iii) to maintain a frozen “fossil record” of the evolving lines by archiving lysate in 10% DMSO at −80°C. Phage concentration was estimated from the number of plaque-forming units (PFUs) in an appropriate dilution plated on host cells using a soft agar overlay method and grown overnight at 37°C.

**Growth assays:** I measured the growth rate of clonal phage populations containing each putatively adaptive mutation on the genetic background on which it occurred (i.e., the substitution of interest plus previous substitutions). To confirm that assayed phage had the genotype of interest with no additional mutations, I isolated and sequenced the genomes of phage from single plaques. One mutation, C3056U, could not be obtained in isolation and so was measured along with the next likely substitution, U1756A. To measure the fitness effect of each substitution, I performed ~10 replicate growth assays under conditions that mimicked passaging conditions as closely as possible. To control for environmental variation, I used a paired design for the assays: each replicate includes a measurement of the growth rate of both MS2 ancestor and the genotype of interest taken at the same time and using the same host culture. Briefly, a single 60-ml E. coli culture was grown at 37°C in a 250-ml flask to an OD of 0.25–0.5 and divided into two 25-ml cultures in 150-ml flasks, with an additional 5-ml culture used as a negative control. One of the 25-ml cultures was infected with MS2 ancestor, and the other was infected with phage of the genotype of interest. Cultures were then grown and phage extracted as in serial passages, with two exceptions. First, initial phage densities were lower (~100 phage/ml), although in both cases phage were grown in a large excess of host cells. Second, phage were extracted using a 2-μm cellulose acetate spin filter (Costar, Cambridge, MA); in both cases, only phage outside host cells were extracted. Both changes to the protocol allowed for more accurate determination of phage numbers.

After each assay, initial (N₀) and final phage numbers (N) were determined from the initial and final phage concentration as detailed above, except that PFU counts were replicated (one to three independent dilutions plated four to six times
Figure 1.—Locations of substitutions and high-frequency mutations in the MS2 genome. All four genes are shown, with the direction of translation indicated by the shaded arrows. Substitutions are indicated with black arrows and high-frequency mutations with gray arrows.
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RESULTS

Substitutions: A modest number of substitutions—3 or 4—were fixed in each line of MS2 during the time course of this experiment (Figure 1). Across all three lines, a total of 11 substitutions were fixed or nearly fixed. These substitutions occurred at nine sites, with two sites experiencing parallel substitutions (253 and 1697 in lines 2 and 3). Substitutions occurred in all four MS2 genes.

Ten of the 11 substitutions fixed in these lines caused amino acid changes in at least one gene (Table 1). Two substitutions and two high-frequency mutations occurred in regions of the genome in which genes overlap. These overlapping regions have reading frames that are shifted relative to one another and thus code for different amino acid sequences (Bereaud and Blumenthal 1979; Kastelein et al. 1982). Three of these mutations result in a replacement change in one gene and a silent change in the other; the remaining substitution results in a replacement change in both genes.

Substitution dynamics: Regions of the genome that harbored substitutions were sequenced from a sample of clones from archived passages, allowing the frequency trajectories of the substitutions to be tracked through time (Figure 2). The trajectories show most substitutions increasing rapidly and at least partly independently in frequency, consistent with each having a beneficial effect. Most of the substitutions also overlap substantially, with the next substitution beginning to sweep before the previous substitution has reached fixation (Table 1). For example, in line 1, U862C begins to displace the wild-type background at around passage 20. Then U1741C, A1199G, and A1860C accumulate in rapid succession on the U862C background, and all four mutations are fixed or nearly fixed by passage 50.

The substitution dynamics in lines 2 and 3 are complex. In addition to overlapping sweeps as seen in line 1, line 2 showed evidence of either recurrent mutation or recombination, as mutation at site 1599 appeared on two different backgrounds at passages 40 and 50. Further, both lines 2 and 3 show the loss of high-frequency mutations (at site 1691 in line 2 and 1692 in line 3; note that the U1756A–C3056U combination may also have been in the process of being lost when the experiment ended). In each of those cases, the haplotype containing the mutation that is ultimately lost is displaced by a new
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passage</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Line 1</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Line 2</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Line 3</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genotypes of phage at sites with high-frequency variants are shown. Numbers across the top of the table indicate the location of each site relative to GenBank reference sequence NC_001417. The passage number indicates the number of serial passages since the experiment was initiated. Plaques isolated from the passage indicated were sequenced, representing an individual phage in the evolving population. Haplotypes shown here include only sites where mutations were fixed or occurred at high frequencies; variation in the entire sequenced region, including sites with low-frequency variants, is shown in the supplemental materials.
haplotype, containing a different mutation (e.g., in line 2, the haplotype with mutations at sites 253-1599-1691 is displaced by 253-1599-1697; Table 1).

**Fitness increase and diminishing returns:** Phage carrying all substitutions fixed in a line showed a significant improvement in fitness vs. the ancestor, as did each intermediate genotype (Table 2). The fitness of the final genotype was approximately two to three times that of the ancestral phage; thus, the average improvement per mutation ranged from 25 to 66% of the fitness of the ancestral phage.

To test for a relationship between the fitness effect of a substitution and its order of substitution, I measured the effect of each substitution on the genetic background on which it occurred and investigated the relationship between the number of substitutions in a genotype and the fitness of that genotype, using data from all three lines (Figure 3). For this analysis, data from the consecutive substitutions between lines 2 and 3 were used only once, and the final genotype from line 3 was considered to have four substitutions, although substitutions at sites 1756 and 3056 may have not been independent.

If there are diminishing returns, with each additional substitution conferring a smaller fitness benefit, a hyperbolic model should fit the fitness data better than a linear model. The number of substitutions and mean relative fitness show a positive linear relationship (with $w_{anc}$ constrained to one, $P = 0.0004$). The hyperbolic model fits the data well (Figure 3A): The Akaike information criterion (AIC) suggests that it is close to four times more likely than the linear model [$AIC_c$ (linear) = $-7.9371$, $AIC_c$ (hyperbolic) = $-10.6135$, $\Delta AIC_c = -2.6763$, evidence ratio = 0.2623], and a standard $F$-test suggests that the hyperbolic model is a significantly better fit ($F = 9.0646, P = 0.01964$). I performed an additional test for an effect of substitution number on the magnitude of fitness jumps; under diminishing returns, each new substitution should result in a smaller fitness increase. Linear regression shows the expected negative relationship between substitution number and fitness increase (Figure 3B; $r^2 = 0.7250, P = 0.0073$). This remains true even if the effects of the later substitutions were constrained to be equal to zero (the measured effect was slightly negative, but not significantly different from zero; $r^2 = 0.6413, P = 0.0169$).

**Phenotypic basis of adaptation:** A disproportionate number of substitutions occur in the lysis gene. Although this gene comprises $\leq 7\%$ of the MS2 genome, it experienced roughly half of all substitutions and high-frequency mutations (6/11) ($\chi^2 = 35.18$, d.f. = 1, $P < 0.0001$, comparison between the lysis gene and the rest of the genome).

**DISCUSSION**

**Substitutions and high-frequency mutations are adaptive:** While the growth assays performed show that all genotypes were substantially and significantly fitter than the ancestral genotype, the data from these assays are too noisy to show that each individual substitution improves fitness. However, most substitutions do appear adaptive: with one exception, all increase rapidly and independently in frequency, as expected if they are being fixed by directional selection (Figure 2). The exception is one of the pair of mutations (U1756A and C3056U), which are nearly always found together; it may be that only one of these is beneficial, and the other is a
neutral "hitchhiking" mutation. However, neither one is an especially likely candidate for the neutral mutation, as U1756A appears to have a beneficial phenotypic effect (see below), and C3056U occurs on more than one background (Table 1). Consistent with the hypothesis that the substitutions are adaptive, many are parallel with substitutions or polymorphic mutations in other lines: two substitutions were shared between lines 2 and 3, and eight of the nine sites that experienced substitutions in one line were polymorphic in another line (supplemental tables).

**Diminishing returns between fitness and substitution number:** I investigated the possibility that large-effect beneficial mutations tend to be fixed earlier than those with smaller effects, a factor that might contribute to the general observation that fitness increases become smaller later in adaptation (ELENA and LENSKI 2003). For the three lines in this experiment, large-effect beneficial mutations did appear to be fixed earlier than those with smaller effects. HOLDER and BULL (2001) also found a diminishing returns relationship between mean fitness and substitution order in one of two DNA phage species investigated (results from the other were complicated by an environmental change). Although the results from these previous experiments are not entirely conclusive, they suggest a trend for diminishing returns on fitness gains per beneficial substitution, independent of any change in beneficial substitution rate.

Several factors probably play a role in ensuring that small-effect mutations are not among the first fixed. Since these populations are large and mutation rates are high, more than one copy of a particular mutation is likely to occur each generation. Stochastic loss of every copy of a mutation thus probably affects only mutations with small beneficial effects (and correspondingly high probabilities of loss), as other mutations recur frequently enough in the first few generations to overcome their probabilities of loss (WAHL and KRAKAUER 2000). Even if there are many more mutations of small than of large effects, so that some small-effect mutations are among the first to overcome stochastic loss, competition between mutations ensures that the first mutation fixed is of relatively large effect (GERRISH and LENSKI 1998; KIM and ORR 2005; HEGRENESS et al. 2006).

**Role of clonal interference:** As discussed above, clonal interference probably plays a role in causing the loss of mutations with small beneficial effects, at least when competing with large-effect mutations. It may also cause the loss of mutations that had large enough benefits to reach high frequencies before their subsequent loss, as seen in both lines 2 and 3 (Table 1). In all cases, the lost mutations were displaced by another

### TABLE 2

**Effect of substitutions on protein sequence and phage growth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
<th>AA change</th>
<th>AGR</th>
<th>Ancestor</th>
<th>Derived</th>
<th>RGR (mean ± SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U862C</td>
<td>Mat: S → L</td>
<td>81.96</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>P = 0.2533 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U1741C</td>
<td>Lys: F → L</td>
<td>94.87</td>
<td>182.33</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0001 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A1199G</td>
<td>Mat: H → R</td>
<td>88.76</td>
<td>172.57</td>
<td>P = 0.0041 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A1860C</td>
<td>Lys: E → D</td>
<td>68.96</td>
<td>149.58</td>
<td>P = 0.0087 (n = 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>G253C</td>
<td>Mat: G → R</td>
<td>92.82</td>
<td>152.22</td>
<td>P = 0.0003 (n = 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A1697G</td>
<td>Lys: Q → R</td>
<td>73.17</td>
<td>154.93</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0111 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A1599G</td>
<td>Coat: silent</td>
<td>104.50</td>
<td>241.72</td>
<td>P = 0.0006 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ A1697G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74.13</td>
<td>215.52</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0001 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines 3</td>
<td>3 + 4</td>
<td>U1756A</td>
<td>Lys: Y → H</td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td>145.16</td>
<td>P = 0.0309 (n = 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ C3056U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.16</td>
<td>270.29</td>
<td>P = 0.0002 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— C1692U</td>
<td>Lys: silent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of substitutions and high-frequency mutations on protein sequence and growth rate is shown. Mutations are identified by ancestral nucleotide state, the location, and the derived state. Some mutations did not occur in the absence of other mutations and so are shown together. Also indicated is the gene affected and the change in amino acid sequence, if any; as MS2 has overlapping genes, some mutations affect more than one gene. Absolute growth rates (AGR) of ancestral (control) phage and phage with the specified genotype shown were compared using paired \( t \)-tests. Relative growth rates (RGR) correspond to fitness as described in the text. Note that the value for relative growth rate given is the mean across replicates of AGR-derived/AGR-ancestral and thus is not equal to the ratio of the mean absolute growth rates.
mutation in complete negative linkage disequilibrium. Such a pattern suggests competition between the mutations, which might be due to clonal interference (Fisher 1930; Muller 1932; Gerrish and Lenski 1998), but can also be caused by negative epistasis. Negative epistasis, in which the double mutant is not fixed because it is not beneficial, has been implicated in the loss of mutations in other experiments (Lehman and Joyce 1993; Holder and Bull 2001). This kind of interaction is particularly likely to be implicated in competition between one pair of mutations, U1691C and A1697G, as these mutations appear to have redundant functional effects (see below).

Because these populations have large \( N_u \), recurrent mutation may play a role similar to recombination, allowing sweeps of beneficial mutations that overlap and allowing beneficial mutations that would otherwise be lost due to clonal interference to instead be fixed (Kim and Orr 2005; Bollback and Huelsenbeck 2007; Desai et al. 2007). Consistent with the dynamics expected in a high \( N_u \) population (Kim and Orr 2005; Desai et al. 2007), almost all of the sweeps seen here overlap, with multiple beneficial mutations accumulating in a genotype before it becomes fixed (Figure 1). Similarly, recurrent mutation apparently occurred in one case, allowing fixation of a beneficial mutation that might have been otherwise lost (line 2, site 1599; Table 1). Although formally possible, it is unlikely that this event reflects recombination (see calculations in Bollback and Huelsenbeck 2007, and note that the rate of coinfection in the present experiment is lower). Further, this site clearly experiences recurrent mutation in line 3, where two different versions appear (A1599C and A1599G; supplemental Table 3).

Overall, the substitution dynamics seen here suggest that while some low-frequency mutations are lost via clonal interference, promoting a diminishing returns relationship between substitution order and fitness effect, clonal interference is probably not the cause of the loss of mutations with large benefits. Instead, it seems that beneficial mutations in this system likely recur frequently enough to compensate for the lack of recombination (Kim and Orr 2005; Desai et al. 2007).

**Paucity of hitchhiking:** One interesting finding is that these lines fixed few hitchhiking mutations: with the high mutation rate characteristic of RNA phage, it would seem reasonable to expect that a genome carrying a successful beneficial mutation might also carry a suite of hitchhiking neutral mutations. Note that, with a mutation rate of \( u = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \) and a genome size of \( L = 3569 \), an average of 5.4 mutations are expected per replication per genome. Moreover, some sweeps do not begin until late in the experiment, at which point they may have had as many as 30 generations to accumulate neutral mutations. If any substantial fraction of these mutations are neutral [or even somewhat deleterious (Johnson and Barton 2002)], it would seem that hitchhiking mutations would be common.

Inspection of the genotyping data, however, reveals few potential cases of hitchhiking, with the possible exception of U1756A or C3560T discussed previously (Table 1). One explanation is that the viral genome is highly constrained, so that few mutations are neutral or mildly deleterious, which is not unreasonable given MS2's compact genome and the functional role played by RNA secondary structure. Simple calculations reveal that another factor probably contributes to the lack of hitchhiking, at least for the initial substitutions: given the large population size and high mutation rate, the observed sweeps probably consist of multiple occurren-

---

**Figure 3.—(A) Fitness plotted against substitution number.** Each point represents the mean of \( \pm 10 \) replicate growth assays performed on a single genotype. "Substitution number" is the order in which a mutation that is ultimately fixed appears. The straight line shows the best fit of a linear regression model; the curve is the best fit of a hypergeometric model \[ y = x_0 + (a \times x)/(x + b), \text{where } x_0 = 1 \text{ and } a \text{ and } b \text{ are estimated.} \] (B) The magnitude of fitness "jumps" plotted against the order in which they occur. The categories on the y-axis indicate which fitness jump is measured, e.g., the first data point is the difference in fitness between the first substitution and the ancestor.
ces of the beneficial mutation on independent backgrounds, so that no single set of neutral mutations hitchhikes to fixation [i.e., these are “soft sweeps” (Pennington and Hermisson 2006)]. Even under conservative assumptions (where all other mutations are lethal), there are multiple independent occurrences of each beneficial mutation; with \( N = 10^7 \) and \( u \) and \( L \) as before, \( \sim 70 \) occurrences of each beneficial mutation are expected to occur on a “clean” background every generation (Charlesworth et al. 1993).

It is tempting to argue that either \( N \) or \( u \) is overestimated, and high levels of constraint constitute the sole explanation for the lack of hitchhiking. Consider, though, that to render soft sweeps unlikely, \( N \) would have to be overestimated by almost two orders of magnitude, although population size in this experiment was tightly controlled (phage were bottlenecked to \( 10^7 \) every generation). Further, with high levels of constraint, a smaller mutation rate increases the probability of soft sweeps, as in that case more beneficial mutations occur on deleterious mutation-free backgrounds (e.g., \( u = 0.00015 \), \( \sim 880 \) copies occur). In addition, the rapid rise in frequency of the initial substitutions also suggests soft sweeps. Using standard population genetic equations (Haldane 1924), I estimated the fitness value required to explain the observed rate of increase: starting with multiple copies of the favorable allele, the required fitness values for U862C and G253C are 1.76 and 2.11, respectively, close to the measured values of 1.55 and 2.02 (Table 2). If the mutations instead start from one initial copy, the necessary fitness values are much higher, 5.50 and 6.46.

Conditions for soft sweeps also seem likely to persist beyond the initial substitutions. Because sweeps overlap in this system, with later sweeps starting before earlier substitutions are fixed, later mutations must occur in the effectively smaller population already containing the first substitution. Nevertheless, later mutations still seem likely to recur frequently enough to also yield soft sweeps; in line 1, for example, with U862C at a frequency of 30%, at least 20 occurrences of U1741C on a deleterious mutation-free background are expected. Conditions for soft sweeps may not be favorable throughout the entire experiment, however, as eventually the accumulation of deleterious mutations may lower \( N_e \) enough so that the probability of soft sweeps declines (Johnson and Barton 2002).

If mutations recur frequently, however, it is difficult to explain why U862C was the first mutation fixed in line 1. The mutation at site 253 should have occurred several times, is not likely to have been lost stochastically, and should have displaced U862C due its apparent stronger fitness effect (Gerrish and Lenski 1998). One possibility is that the mutation at G253C was displaced by a combination of U862C and another mutation (e.g., U862C + U1741C has fitness similar to that of G253C). Data from site 253 were not captured in the genotyping frequencies in line 1; it may be that G253C occurred at high frequency in passages 10–20, but was displaced by the combination of U862C + U1741C starting in passage 23.
The regulation of the replicase gene also appears to have been affected by mutations that increase expression, in at least two ways (Figure 4B). First, as in the lysis gene, ribosomes are prevented from binding to the replicase start by an RNA secondary structure (reviewed in Lodish 1976), and one mutation (U1741G) disrupts a base pair in this inhibitory secondary structure. Previous experimental work shows that similar mutations significantly elevate replicase expression (van Himbergen et al. 1993). Second, the replicase gene is inhibited by high levels of coat protein via coat protein binding that stabilizes an inhibitory hairpin RNA structure upstream of the replicase gene (Lodish 1976). This protein–RNA interaction is a model for protein–aptamer binding and thus has been extraordinarily well studied (e.g., Lim and Peabody 1994; Valegard et al. 1994; Grahn et al. 2000). One mutation in this experiment (U1756A) occurs in the RNA hairpin region and reduces coat protein binding, shown via both protein crystallography experiments and binding assays (Lim et al. 1994). A second mutation may have a similar effect: A1599G is a radical amino acid change in the coat protein at a residue lying between two amino acids directly involved in RNA binding (Peabody 1993) and thus may weaken binding via conformational effects in the protein. Hence, all three mutations (U1741C, U1756A, and A1599G) appear likely to elevate replicase expression through either cis- or trans-acting mechanisms.

The mutations for which phenotypic effects can be inferred, then, appear to affect MS2’s fitness through altering regulation of the lysis and replicase genes. The expression level of both the lysis and the replicase genes is ordinarily likely to be under stabilizing selection, with optimal expression at an intermediate level. In the case of the lysis gene, an optimal lysis time allows phage to balance the definite benefits of linear growth inside the host with the possibility of exponential growth through infection of new hosts (Bull et al. 2000; Abedon et al. 2003). Similarly, phage growth is maximized when replicase is expressed at an intermediate level, probably because excess replicase protein inhibits coat gene translation and may poison host cells (van Himbergen et al. 1993). In this experiment, selection for increased expression of both genes appears to be because the period of phage growth in each serial passage was shorter than the wild-type lysis time (growth curves of the ancestor phage show lysis occurring after 130 min for seven of nine replicates, data not shown). As phage trapped still inside the host cells were discarded at the end of a passage, there was strong selection on phage to replicate quickly and lyse cells early.

Summary: In this work, I investigated adaptation in a laboratory population of the RNA phage MS2. In the short time course of the experiment (50–70 phage generations), substantial adaptation occurred, involving a modest number of substitutions. These substitutions were almost entirely replacement changes and collectively increased substitutions two- to threefold. The data show a trend for substitutions with larger fitness effects to be substituted earlier than those with smaller effects. Several patterns observed here, including a lack of hitchhiking neutral mutations associated with the adaptive substitutions, are likely due to the high mutation rate of RNA phage. Finally, I was able to identify some likely effects of the adaptive substitutions, including rapid lysis and higher replicase expression.
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