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Analysis and comment

Public health

Influence of Islam on smoking among Muslims

Nazim Ghouri, Mohammed Atcha, Aziz Sheikh

Smoking prevalence is generally high among Muslims. An awareness of their religious beliefs and rulings might increase the effectiveness of antismoking campaigns.

A fifth of the world’s population is Muslim, and most Muslims live in areas where the prevalence of smoking is high and often increasing. But even among the many Muslims living in Europe, smoking prevalence (particularly among men) remains high. For example, in England in 2004 the overall prevalence of smoking was 40% in Bangladeshi men and 29% in Pakistani men compared with 24% among the male general population. Smoking related disease represents a substantial burden on health services in Western countries, and is estimated to cost the NHS £1.7bn (£2.5bn; $3bn) a year. Reducing smoking prevalence is thus a priority for Western (and many other) governments. Knowledge of Muslim religious beliefs and customs is important to understanding smoking behaviour and considering how best to deliver appropriate health promotional messages and interventions.

Smoking patterns

The table summarises available data on smoking prevalence for the 30 countries with the highest proportion of Muslims, identified through the 2005 US Central Intelligence Agency World Factbook and IslamicWeb. Direct comparison of reported smoking prevalence between countries may be difficult because different studies, even if conducted in the same year, tend to use different methods for sampling, defining smoking, and ascertaining smoking status. Despite these limitations, the prevalence of smoking for most countries is higher than in the United Kingdom (where overall smoking prevalence in 2001 was 27%, 28% in men and 26% in women). India, which has a sizeable Muslim population (estimated at 144 755 428 in 2002) but Muslims are in a minority (13.4% of the total population), has a smoking prevalence of 29.5% in men and 2.5% in women; data are unavailable by religious groupings.

The World Health Organization data are likely to underestimate overall tobacco use in South Asia. Although cigarettes are the most common form of smoked tobacco, other forms such as kretek (indigenous cheroots containing tobacco, cloves, and cocoa) and bidis (blended tobacco, wrapped in tendu leaves) are also smoked. Additionally, chewing of tobacco products, in the form of guthka (a mixture of tobacco, betel nut fragments, fennel, and spices) and paan (a leaf in which several products including tobacco and betel nut are wrapped), is also common.

Difference between sexes

Smoking prevalence in each of these 30 countries is significantly higher among men than women, the prevalence among women typically being in single figures. The highest recorded rates among men are in Indonesia and Yemen, where over two thirds smoke. Yemen also has the highest prevalence of smoking among women, almost a third of whom smoke.

The striking differences between the sexes reflect strong social pressures. In many of these countries, men are regularly confronted with macho images of smoking—for example, through the Bollywood film industry and sponsorship of sporting events—whereas smoking by women is often construed as a vice that undermines the social standing of the family.

Details of antismoking legislation and references w1-w11 are on bmj.com
Women may try to conceal their habit through fear of being ostracised by their community, resulting in underestimation of smoking prevalence. Another issue is the social space occupied by women as in many Muslim communities. Women have restricted access to public places and are thus less likely to have access to the public places where cigarettes are traditionally smoked, such as markets and cafes.

Sacred law and tobacco smoking

Islam is both a spiritual and a legal tradition and impacts extensively on Muslim thinking and social customs. The central aims of the Islamic legal framework are to minimise the risk of harm to society and individuals and, simultaneously, maximise the opportunities for collective and individual wellbeing. The core objectives of religious law are to maintain life, protect belief, maintain intellect, preserve honour and integrity, and protect property.11 12

Islamic law has as its basis three main sources:

- The Koran, believed to be the direct word of God
- The Sunna, a large collection of recorded mannerisms, statements, and actions of the prophet Mohammed, and
- Ijtihad, the law of deductive logic, which, drawing on the above sources, allows trained scholars to consider the merits of novel issues and developments. It is this process of intellectual endeavour that provides Islamic law with its inherent evolutionary capacity.

Smoking prevalence in 30 Muslim countries1 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>% Muslim*</th>
<th>Smoking prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Year(s) for data collection</th>
<th>Legal age threshold (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>26 417 599</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>8 591 629</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>3 086 583</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>3 001 583</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>69 500 009</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>32 531 853</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>29 928 987</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>20 727 063</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>32 725 847</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>88 017 869</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>10 974 051</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>162 419 946</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>26 974 906</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>5 765 563</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>2 563 212</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>77 505 756</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>11 126 832</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>7 817 874</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>5 756 752</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>18 448 752</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>12 291 529</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>7 163 506</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>1 593 256</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>4 952 561</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>247 973 879</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>26 851 195</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>9 487 806</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>2 335 448</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>144 319 628</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>11 665 937</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 To nearest 0.5%. NA=Not available.
spend of your substance in the cause of God, and make not your own hands contribute to your own destruction (2: 195). Additionally, jurists rely on the exhortations in the Koran not to waste money. Greater appreciation of the risks associated with passive smoking,\textsuperscript{21} has also led recent jurists to cite the obligation to avoid causing wilful annoyance, distress, or harm to other people.

The general opinion of the lay Muslim from the Indian subcontinent and of some scholars who have studied in institutes based in this region, however, is that smoking is lawful though discouraged (nuhrooh).\textsuperscript{14–20} Key concerns centre on the lack of precedent rulings prohibiting smoking from within their own legal schools and an unwillingness to cast large sections of their communities as partaking in an unlawful and thus sinful activity. Furthermore, scholars may be (understandably) reluctant to pass rulings if they smoke themselves.\textsuperscript{14}

South Asian trained scholars dominate the British Muslim landscape, reflecting the old colonial ties and patterns of migration to Europe. This is the main reason that despite calls for British Muslim leaders to clarify the religious unacceptability of smoking,\textsuperscript{2} no such position statement has emerged.

**Antismoking legislation**

We were able to identify information on legislation for 27 of the 30 countries in the table (see bmj.com).\textsuperscript{21–30} Twenty countries had a complete ban on smoking in educational and healthcare facilities, with three of the remaining seven countries implementing a restricted ban; only Algeria, Afghanistan, Senegal, and Niger have no such ban in place. Only two countries, Iran and Syria, have a complete ban of smoking in public places (including mosques),\textsuperscript{5} although Indonesia is considering such a ban.\textsuperscript{23} Fourteen countries have a restricted ban on smoking in public places. Only seven countries had an identifiable ban on tobacco sales to minors, Kuwait having a lower age limit of 21, Egypt, Jordan, Pakistan, Syria, and Turkey having a limit of 18, and Bangladesh a limit of 16 years.

The WHO’s antismoking treaty, the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, came into force on 27 February 2005, and has been ratified by 104 countries.\textsuperscript{4} Of the 30 Muslim countries studied, only 14 have ratified the treaty (Muritania, Turkey, Iran, Pakistan, Libya, United Arab Emirates, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Senegal, Jordan, Syria, Mali, Bangladesh, and Niger), with two further countries (Oman and Azerbaijan) having accession status. In the absence of national data, it is difficult to know whether the governments of Muslim countries are enforcing antismoking legislation. The limited anecdotal information that we have been able to uncover suggests that it is being enforced in some cases, although it could equally represent showcase attempts to divert potential criticisms of government inertia. For example, within days of introducing a ban on smoking in public places in Bangladesh, one man had already been fined for smoking in public.\textsuperscript{21} Similarly, at least one man has been arrested for flouting a ban on smoking in public.\textsuperscript{21} In contrast, the Pakistani government will forcefully implement their ban on smoking in public only later this year.

It will be interesting to see whether Indonesia (which has the largest Muslim population in the world) will implement the proposed ban on cigarette smoking, as Indonesia relies considerably on revenue from the tobacco industry.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, the tobacco industry is Indonesia’s second largest employer, employing up to 17 million people.\textsuperscript{21} The Indonesian example highlights the challenges facing tobacco growing countries and may explain why some Muslim countries remain reluctant to ratify the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.

**Looking ahead**

We believe it is only a matter of time before South Asian scholars rule that smoking is prohibited and these rulings percolate through South Asian Muslim communities globally. Religious rulings alone, however, are unlikely to have much effect on rates of smoking. Patterns of smoking in Middle Eastern and North African countries are largely unchanged since clear religious rulings prohibited tobacco smoking.\textsuperscript{22–23} This is perhaps not surprising considering the highly addictive nature of tobacco.

Nevertheless, such religious rulings could help if incorporated into a more strategic approach to tackling smoking. Muslim countries should be encouraged to sign up and adhere to the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, since restricting advertising and access is likely to have a greater effect on patterns of tobacco use. This is particularly relevant because tobacco companies continue to refocus their activities on economically developing countries that have relatively little legislation in place (and where it is seldom enforced).

Access to effective pharmacological and behavioural approaches to stop smoking remains poor within many Muslim countries and also among Muslim smokers living in Britain.\textsuperscript{4} Even when readily available, such aids seem to be underused, reflecting the commonly held belief among Muslims that giving up smoking requires only will power. Although approaches that draw on the need for will power around the Ramadan fast (during which smoking is prohibited) are being developed, no systematic attempt has been made to make effective cessation aids available during the run up to Ramadan. National No Smoking days offer an additional opportunity to develop culturally sensitive health promotional campaigns. Further efforts such as these will need to be developed and evaluated in order to cut smoking among Muslims.

---

**Information to help stop smoking**

- International information on smoking: [www.tobacco.org](http://www.tobacco.org)
- Action on Smoking and Health—Information on quitting and UK policies: [www.cash.org.uk](http://www.cash.org.uk)
- Religious information on smoking and other contemporary issues: [www.islamonline.net](http://www.islamonline.net)
- Asian Quitline—Smoking cessation advice and support for South Asians, including material in other languages: [www.asianquitline.org](http://www.asianquitline.org)
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Summary points

Smoking prevalence remains unacceptably high among Muslim communities globally

Numerous religious scholars and institutions in Middle Eastern and North African countries have recently declared smoking to be haram (prohibited)

South Asian religious authorities need to follow the leadership shown by their Arab speaking counterparts

Antismoking legislation is often poorly enforced in Muslim countries

Religious rulings need to be backed up by advertising bans and support to stop smoking
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