Novel Fat Depot–Specific Mechanisms Underlie Resistance to Visceral Obesity and Inflammation in 11β-Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase Type 1–Deficient Mice


OBJECTIVE—The study objective was to determine the key early mechanisms underlying the beneficial redistribution, function, and inflammatory profile of adipose tissue in 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 knockout (11β-HSD1−/−) mice fed a high-fat (HF) diet.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—By focusing on the earliest divergence in visceral adiposity, subcutaneous and visceral fat depots from 11β-HSD1−/− and C57BL/6J control mice fed an HF diet for 4 weeks were used for comparative microarray analysis of gene expression, and differences were validated with real-time PCR. Key changes in metabolic signaling pathways were confirmed using Western blotting/immunoprecipitation, and fat cell size was compared with the respective chow-fed control groups. Altered adipose inflammatory cell content and function after 4 weeks (early) and 18 weeks (chronic) of HF feeding was investigated using fluorescence (and magnetic)-activated cell sorting analysis, immunohistochemistry, and in situ hybridization.

RESULTS—In subcutaneous fat, HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice showed evidence of enhanced insulin and β-adrenergic signaling associated with accretion of smaller metabolically active adipocytes. In contrast, reduced 11β-HSD1−/− visceral fat accumulation was characterized by maintained AMP kinase activation, not insulin sensitization, and higher adipocyte interleukin-6 release. Intracellular glucocorticoid deficiency was unexpectedly associated with suppressed inflammatory signaling and lower adipocyte monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 secretion with strikingly reduced cytotoxic T-cell and macrophage infiltration, predominantly in visceral fat.

CONCLUSIONS—Our data define for the first time the novel and distinct depot-specific mechanisms driving healthier fat patterning and function as a result of reduced intra-adipose glucocorticoid levels.
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A ccumulation of visceral fat strongly increases the risk of cardiometabolic disease, whereas peripheral fat accretion is relatively protective (1–3). Pronounced visceral adiposity, loss of subcutaneous adipose tissue, and metabolic disease typify rare Cushing’s syndrome of plasma glucocorticoid excess. However, rather than high circulating glucocorticoid levels, in idiopathic obesity/metabolic syndrome there are high adipose tissue levels of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1) that catalyze intracellular regeneration of active glucocorticoids from the inert circulating 11-keto forms (4,5). Consequently, local intra-adipose glucocorticoid regeneration may explain the phenotypic similarities between “Cushingoid” and idiopathic obesity (4,5). Indeed, transgenic overexpression of 11β-HSD1 selectively in adipose tissue recapitulates the major features of the metabolic syndrome (visceral obesity, insulin-resistant diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension), whereas ectopic adipose-selective expression of the glucocorticoid-inactivating 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11β-HSD2) mice chronically fed a high-fat (HF) diet resist metabolic syndrome in part by preferentially accumulating peripheral rather than visceral fat (9).

Chronic inflammation of the adipose tissue is another prominent feature of obesity that drives subsequent disease (10). Elevated free fatty acid and adipocytokine levels impair adipose, liver, and muscle insulin signaling through stimulation of inflammation- and cellular stress-associated transcriptional cascades (10–13). Further, there is pronounced recruitment of proinflammatory cells, initially cytotoxic T-cells and subsequently macrophages into adipose tissue (particularly visceral) in obesity, which produce many of the cytokines/chemokines associated with insulin resistance (14–19).

The elevated 11β-HSD1 that is characteristic of adipose tissue in obesity (4,5) thus presents an intriguing paradox. Glucocorticoids have potent anti-inflammatory effects (20,21), and elevated 11β-HSD1 might feasibly curtail inflammatory signaling within the adipocytes and, through paracrine spillover (22), dampen neighboring proinflammatory cell function. The therapeutic insulin-sensitizing effect of 11β-HSD1 inhibition on adipocytes (9) might therefore be confounded by exacerbating local inflammation in vivo. Moreover, 11β-HSD1 is expressed in macrophages and is...
increased by acute inflammatory stimuli (23–25) where glucocorticoids drive anti-inflammatory, proresolution effects (26,27). Indeed, 11β-HSD1–/– mice exhibited both a delayed resolution of inflammatory processes (24) and a more rapid and severe acute inflammatory response (25,28). Because inhibition of 11β-HSD1 is now in late-stage clinical development as a therapeutic strategy for the treatment of obesity (29), it is critical to determine whether 11β-HSD1 deficiency also exacerbates chronic inflammation of adipose tissue in obesity. To determine the basis of the favorably altered fat distribution and address the inflammatory paradox in 11β-HSD1–/– mice, we analyzed the fat depot–specific molecular, cellular, and adipokine secretory mechanisms underlying disease protection from exposure to an HF diet.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Materials.** Antibodies were against insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and p85-PI3K (Upstate Biotechnology, New York, NY). Akt, phospho-Akt (Ser 473), phospho-tyrosine, AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), phospho-AMPK (Thr 172), horseradish peroxidase–anti-rabbit, and anti-mouse IgG were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Protein A-sepharose was obtained from Amersham (Little Chalfont, U.K.). Routine reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Suffolk, U.K.).

**Animals.** All experiments were approved by The University of Edinburgh ethical committee and were according to the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Twelve-week-old male 11β-HSD1–/– mice (9) from >10 generations of backcross with C57Bl/6J were used. Mice were fed an HF diet (Research Diets D12331) for 4, 10, or 15 weeks. Subcutaneous (from around the thigh), mesenteric (vesicular) fat and liver were dissected and frozen rapidly in liquid nitrogen. Our choice of peripheral fat was refined in this study to the more translational subcutaneous depot. Plasma glucose (Sigma HK assay, Sigma-Aldrich) and insulin (Crystal Chem ELISA, Crystal Chem Inc., Downers Grove, IL) were measured after a 6-h fast.

**Microarray.** Adipose RNA was prepared using Qiagen RNeasy kits (Venlo, Netherlands) and hybridized to Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 2.0 GeneChips (n = 5 per group), and differential expression was determined using the Bioconductor Limma tool and the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate method. WebGestalt (http://bioinfo.vanderbilt.edu/webgestalt) and the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis program (http://www.ingenuity.com/index.html) were used to analyze the gene cluster functions with >1.5-fold genotype differential expression. Data are deposited in ArrayExpress under the accession number E-MEXP-1636.

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** By using oligo(dT)20 primer and Superscript III (Invitrogen, Paisley, U.K.), 1 μg of total RNA used was reverse transcribed. Expression of mRNA was quantitated by Light Cycler 480 RT-PCR (Roche, Burgess Hill, U.K.) with preinvented probes and primer sets (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, U.K.) normalized against the TATA-binding protein or α-tubulin.

**Insulin signaling in vivo and Western blotting.** Mice fasted 6 h were injected i.p. with 0.75 mU/kg body wt humulininsulin (Novo Nordisk, Crawley, U.K.) or saline. Fat depots and liver were dissected after 15 min, snap-frozen, and stored at −80°C. Tissues were homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mmol/L Tris, pH 7.4, 0.27 mol/L sucrose, 1 mmol/L Na-orthovanadate, pH 10, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 1 mmol/L EGTA, 10 mmol/L Na β-glycerophosphate, 50 mmol/L NaF, 5 mmol/L Na pyrophosphate, 1% [v/v] Triton X-100, 0.1% [v/v] 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 tablet of complete TM protease inhibitor (Roche, Hertfordshire, U.K.), and 50 μg of protein were run on 4–12% Bis-Tris gels for Western blotting. Protein signals were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL) by exposure to Amersham Hyperfilm/TH ECL film (Amersham) or with secondary goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 700 IgG and IR Dye 800 donkey anti-mouse (Invitrogen, U.K.) using a Li-Cor Odyssey infrared imaging system.

**Fat cell size.** Adipocyte number was determined by counting 20 randomly selected areas in sections from mesenteric and subcutaneous fat depot of 11β-HSD1–/– mice and wild-type mice fed chow or HF diet (10 weeks) using ImagePro Plus (MediaCybernetics, Beech House, U.K.). The marker was blind to genotype.

**Adipose tissue fractionation.** Adipose tissues without lymph nodes were digested in Krebs–Ringer solution with 2 mg/mL collagenase type I (Worthington Biochemicals, NJ) at 37°C, shaken in an incubator for 1 h, filtered through 200-μm/LM mesh, and centrifuged to separate adipocytes from stromal vascular cells (SVCs). SVC fractions were refiltered to single-cell suspension through 100-μm/LM mesh and then 30-μm/LM mesh. Erythrocytes were lysed in 1 mL erythrocyte lysis buffer (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, U.K.) for 5 min at room temperature.

**Flow cytometry.** For flow cytometry, 1×10^6 cells were preincubated in 100 μL PBS with 1 μg/mL Fc block (BD Biosciences, Oxford, U.K.) and then incubated with 0.2 μg each of rat anti-mouse–F4/80 APC, CD11b FITC, and hamster anti-mouse–CD11c PE (Caltag, Invitrogen, Paisley, U.K.) in PBS with 10% mouse serum (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, U.K.) for 30 min at 4°C in the dark. For T-cells, we used CD45-PerCP/Cy5.5 (BD Biosciences) CD3-PE, CD4-PerCP/Cy5.5 (Biolgend, San Diego, CA), and CD8a-APC and CD4-FTTC (eBioscience Inc., San Diego, CA). We found that collagenase digestion of SVC (and spleen) cleared the CD4 moity from the cells and therefore use CD5/CD8 cells to infer the CD4 population in SVC preparations. Because genotype differences were mainly in the CD8 population, we did not pursue the CD4 subpopulations further in this study. Cells were sorted using a FACScalibur (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer and analyzed using FlowJo8.0 software (Treestar Inc., Ashland, OR).

**Magnetic cell sorting.** SVCs (10^7) were suspended in 80 μL magnetic cell sorting buffer (PBS w/o Ca^2+ Mg^2+, 0.5% BSA, 2 mmol/L EDTA) with 10 μL of anti-mouse CD11b microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech, Surrey, U.K.) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Washed cells were separated using a MACS column mini separator (Miltenyi Biotech), where CD11b+ cells (macrophages) and CD11b− cells (SVC) were collected separately. Macrophage enrichment (~86%) was verified by flow cytometry for CD11b+ and F4/80+, and cells were used for subsequent analysis.

In situ hybridization. Frozen adipose sections were mounted on Superfrost Plus slides and stored at −80°C. A 644-base pair F4/80 cDNA fragment was cloned into a T7 and SP6 promoter plasmid (Clontech, Oxford, U.K.) to make 32P[UTP] (Amersham) labeled sense/antisense mRNA probes. Adipose sections were paraformaldehyde-fixed (4%) and hybridized with probe overnight at 50°C. Slides were washed, dried, and exposed to autoradiographic film for 7 days at room temperature. Expression was quantified with Image-Pro Plus by integrating signal from 20 random areas per tissue section.

**Adipocyte cytokine secretion.** Equal volumes of fractionated adipocytes and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Lonza, Berkshire, U.K.) with 10% FCS were mixed, and 400-μL aliquots were incubated as cell cultures for 16 h at 37°C, 5% CO2. Cytokine secretion was determined by sandwich ELISA (R & D Systems, Abingdon, U.K.) for monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 and interleukin (IL)-6, and by Cytometric Bead Array (BD Biosciences) for tumor necrosis factor (TNFα) and IL-10 and normalized to total adipocyte protein.

**Cell culture.** Mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes (30) were incubated in charcoal-stripped FBS-Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium overnight before IL-6 treatments (24 h) before protein extraction and Western blotting.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were expressed as means ± SEM. For statistical analysis, the groups were compared using a two-way ANOVA as stated or by Student t test where stated. The Shapiro–Wilk W test (GraphPad Prism) was used to test for normal distribution. P < 0.05 was considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Reduced fat mass in HF-fed 11β-HSD1–/– mice.** Despite similar basal metabolic phenotypes (9), after 4 weeks of HF feeding, 11β-HSD1–/– mice exhibited a generalized reduction in fat mass gain, with a trend in the subcutaneous depot (~22%) but significantly lower mesenteric fat depot mass (~27%) and lower fasting glucose and insulin levels than congenic C57Bl/6J controls (Table 1).

**Differential expression of genes in subcutaneous and mesenteric fat depot: overall analysis.** We examined underlying gene expression differences at this early stage of divergence in (vesicular) adiposity. Microarray revealed that 565 (subcutaneous) and 1,022 (mesenteric) transcripts were differentially expressed between genotypes ≥1.5-fold. HF-fed 11β-HSD1–/– mice showed mainly upregulation (79% genes) in subcutaneous but suppression (75% of genes) in mesenteric fat (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, full data are deposited in the ArrayExpress database).

**Genes expressed at higher levels in the subcutaneous fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1–/– mice.** Gene ontology analysis revealed the most significantly affected pathways included insulin signaling, β-adrenergic signaling, glucose metabolism (glycolysis), lipid metabolism (lipolysis, β-oxidation), oxidative phosphorylation, mitogen-activated protein/extracellular...
TABLE 1
Physiologic characteristics of 11β-HSD1−/− and control mice fed chow or HF diet for 4 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>C57BL/6J chow</th>
<th>C57BL/6J HF</th>
<th>11β-HSD1−/−chow</th>
<th>11β-HSD1−/−HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>32.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>38.7 ± 1.5**</td>
<td>32.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>35.8 ± 0.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative weight gain (g)</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.7**</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3 ± 0.6**††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric fat mass (mg/g body wt)</td>
<td>32.8 ± 2.82</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>39 ± 3.33</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.1††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute weight (mg)</td>
<td>94 ± 5*</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>1.720.17</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat mass (mg/g body wt)</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.16</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>1.720.17</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver mass (left lobe; mg/g body wt)</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (mg/g body wt)</td>
<td>145 ± 3.01</td>
<td>174 ± 7**</td>
<td>150.03 ± 5.01</td>
<td>165 ± 5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute weight (mg)</td>
<td>154 ± 3.97</td>
<td>157 ± 17**</td>
<td>51.4 ± 5.22</td>
<td>122 ± 9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>18.3 ± 1.9**</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>7 ± 1.1†††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosterone (nmol/L)</td>
<td>140.7 ± 12.3</td>
<td>211.5 ± 4.8**</td>
<td>165.4 ± 8.0</td>
<td>157.5 ± 3.4†††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adipose depot fat mass was assessed in C57BL/6J (control) and 11β-HSD1−/− mice after 4 weeks exposure to chow or HF diet. Glucose and insulin were measured in plasma samples obtained after 6 h of fasting. Data are the means ± SE (n = 5−7) analyzed by two-way ANOVA. *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01 indicates a significant effect of diet. †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01, and †††P < 0.001 indicate an effect of genotype within diet (interaction).

signal–related kinase (MAP/ERK) signaling, and calcium signaling (selected genes shown in Supplementary Table 1).

Genes expressed at lower levels in the subcutaneous fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice. Among 23 genes expressed at lower levels in subcutaneous fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice were growth hormone receptor (−3.28-fold) and leptin (−1.66-fold), consistent with glucocorticoid regulation (9,31).

Genes expressed at lower levels in the mesenteric fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice. Genes suppressed in mesenteric fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice were related to immune cell trafficking, nuclear factor-κB, stress-activated protein kinase/Jun NH2-terminal kinase (SAPK/JNK), Jak/STAT signaling, chemokines, and TNF receptor-related family members, many of which are associated with inflammatory cellular stress and insulin resistance (10–19) in obesity/diabetes (selected genes are shown in Supplementary Table 2).

Genes expressed at higher levels in the mesenteric fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice. Genes upregulated in the mesenteric fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice included regulators of sarcoendoplasmic reticulum Ca2+/ATPase activity (Ptn, 2.85-fold, Kcnk2, 2.63-fold), retinol binding protein-transhyretin (2.3-fold), neurotransmitters Vip (2-fold) and tachykinin (2-fold), and cell surface receptors Gnao1, Htr2b, Gpr85, Cap2, Tauc1, and Galk2.

Microarray quantitative RT-PCR validation. Microarray changes were validated to check for diet and depot-specificity of the highlighted pathways. Higher subcutaneous fat expression of the adipogenic insulin-sensitizing Pparγ, insulin-sensitive glucose transporter Glut4, oxidative AMP kinase subunit Prkca2, lipid oxidizing Cpt1b, a target for 11β-HSD1 inhibitors (32), and adrenergic signaling-related Hspb6 (33) were confirmed. Unexpectedly, PPARγ and Prkca2 were also elevated in mesenteric fat (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table 3).

Functional validation of adipocyte peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ and adrenergic signaling. To test whether elevated peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPARγ) was functional significance, we exposed subcutaneous adipocytes from HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice to rosiglitazone and found higher basal levels of Glut4 mRNA and a more marked Glut4 induction by rosiglitazone (Supplementary Fig. 1).

To test for altered β-adrenergic signaling, we injected fasted C57BL/6J and 11β-HSD1−/− mice with the β3-agonist CL-316, 243 (CL: 0.33 nmol/g BW, n = 4) and measured nonesterified fatty acid release after 30 min. 11β-HSD1−/− mice exhibited a significantly greater increase from basal in plasma nonesterified fatty acid (P < 0.01) in response to the β3-agonist (C57BL/6J before CL: 0.62 ± 0.01 mEq/L, C57BL/6J after CL: 1.07 ± 0.002; 11β-HSD1−/− before CL 0.60 ± 0.02, 11β-HSD1−/− after CL: 1.13 ± 0.02).

Suppression of mesenteric fat cytototoxic T-cell (CD8), chemokine signaling (Stat4), immunocyte adhesion (α-selectin), and macrophage/dendritic cell scavenger receptor (Marco) differences were confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR in only the HF-fed group (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table 3).

11β-HSD1−/− subcutaneous fat has enhanced insulin signaling in vivo. The depot-selective insulin sensitization implied by differential Glut4, but not PPARγ expression, was assessed in vivo. Insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of IRS1, IRS-1 association with the p85 subunit of PI3K, and phosphorylation of AKT in subcutaneous fat were decreased in HF-fed control C57BL/6J (Fig. 2A) but not 11β-HSD1−/− mice. Indeed, AKT phosphorylation was maintained despite lower PI3K-associated IRS1 levels in the 11β-HSD1−/− mice in further support of increased insulin sensitization. Insulin signaling was comparable between genotypes in mesenteric fat with the HF diet (Fig. 2B) and in both depots with the chow diet (Supplementary Fig. 2).

11β-HSD1−/− visceral fat has activated AMPK. Despite higher Prkca2 (AMPKα2 subunit) mRNA in both fat depots of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice, AMPK activation (phosphorylation) was maintained only in the mesenteric fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice (Fig. 2B). Subcutaneous fat (HF-fed) phosphoAMPK/AKMP/K ratio was unchanged (C57BL/6J: 0.85 ± 0.11, 11β-HSD1−/−: 0.83 ± 0.1).

Reduced subcutaneous adipocyte hypertrophy in 11β-HSD1−/− mice. To test for beneficial β-adrenergic/oxidative fat remodeling (34,35), we measured fat cell size after a 10-week HF diet. Cells per unit area (cpu) decreases as fat cell size increases. C57BL/6J mice showed a fivefold increase in subcutaneous fat cell size (chow: 64 ± 13 cpu, HF diet: 13 ± 2 cpu, P < 0.001), whereas 11β-HSD1−/− mice showed only a 2.5-fold increase (chow: 42 ± 10 cpu, HF diet: 17 ± 5 cpu, P < 0.001) despite comparable fat depot mass. Visceral fat cell hypertrophy was similar (∼twofold increase) in both genotypes (C57BL/6J chow: 50 ± 5 cpu, HF diet: 20 ± 4 cpu, P < 0.001, 11β-HSD1−/− chow: 40 ± 9 cpu, HF diet: 10 ± 2 cpu, P < 0.001).
**11β-HSD1** decreases T-cell infiltration of mesenteric fat. Cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell infiltration is an early event in the inflammatory response of adipose tissue in obesity (17,19). Immunohistochemistry showed that CD3+ cells (a general T-cell marker) were reduced in 11β-HSD1−/− mesenteric adipose tissue (Fig. 3A). Moreover, fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis of the adipose SVCs showed that 11β-HSD1−/− mice had fewer CD8+ T-cells irrespective of the dietary effect (Fig. 3B). SVC CD3+CD8+ cell numbers (a surrogate for T-helper CD4+ cells) were comparable between genotypes (Fig. 3B). In contrast with the SVC, mesenteric fat-associated lymph node T-cell content (CD8+ and CD4+) was reduced in both genotypes with HF feeding (Fig. 3C), as described by others (36). Note the anti-CD4 fluorescence-activated cell sorting antibody used with lymph nodes as collagenase digestion (which removes CD4 antigen in SVC preparations) is not required.

**Decreased macrophage infiltration into fat of 11β-HSD1−/− mice.** Macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue (14–19) occurs as obesity develops. 11β-HSD1−/− mice had fewer visceral fat SVC macrophages on control diet (Fig. 4A). Moreover, 11β-HSD1−/− mice had significantly reduced macrophage infiltration into both subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissues after an 18-week HF diet (Fig. 4B). Similarly, in mice deficient in both leptin (genetically obese) and 11β-HSD1 (11β-HSD1−/−-Lepob) mice, there was reduced visceral fat macrophage infiltration (Fig. 4C) associated with reduced visceral fat mass (depot/body weight ratio: 11β-HSD1−/−-Lepob: 0.0343 ± 0.001 vs. Lepob: 0.0384 ± 0.002, P = 0.017, n = 6) but not subcutaneous fat mass (11β-HSD1−/−-Lepob: 0.0698 ± 0.008 vs. Lepob: 0.0681 ± 0.006).

**Adipose macrophage 11β-HSD1 expression is unexpectedly decreased in obesity.** 11β-HSD1 expression is induced in classically activated macrophages (23–25). We tested whether this also occurs in MACs-isolated adipose macrophages in obesity. 11β-HSD1 expression was highest in non-macrophage SVC cells (Fig. 5A and B), consistent with its expression in preadipocytes (30). Unexpectedly, HF-induced and genetic obesity (Lepob) were associated with low adipose tissue macrophage 11β-HSD1 expression (Fig. 5A and B, left).

Macrophage polarization into pro- (M1-type) or anti-(M2-type) inflammatory phenotypes is influenced by glucocorticoids and therefore possibly 11β-HSD1 (23–28). However, proinflammatory TNF-α, MCP1, migration inhibitory factor, IL-6, anti-inflammatory IL-10, and arginase I mRNA levels were comparable in adipose macrophages from 11β-HSD1−/− and C57BL6J mice in both depots (Supplementary Table 4).

**11β-HSD1−/− adipocytes secrete less MCP-1 but show depot-specific changes in IL-6 secretion.** We next tested whether altered adipocyte adipokine secretion might drive reduced macrophage infiltration with an 18-week HF diet. MCP-1 secretion was significantly lower from 11β-HSD1−/− adipocytes regardless of depot or diet (11β-HSD1−/− mice: 32 ± 3 ng/μg/24 h vs. C57BL/6J mice: subcutaneous: 41 ± 2 ng/μg/24 h, mesenteric: 50 ± 4 ng/μg/24 h, P = 0.04). In addition, 11β-HSD1−/− subcutaneous adipocytes secreted less IL-6 (696 ± 37 pg/μg/24 h vs. C57BL6J control: 1,129 ± 175 pg/μg/24 h, P = 0.014), and this lower level was maintained.
with the HF diet (699 ± 104 pg/µg/24 h). Similarly, IL-6 secretion was lower from 11β-HSD1−/− mesenteric adipocytes on control diet (615 ± 170 pg/µg/24 h vs. C57BL/6J control: 1015 ± 170 pg/µg/24 h). However, IL-6 secretion markedly increased with the HF diet in 11β-HSD1−/− mesenteric adipocytes (1069 ± 95 pg/µg/24 h, *P = 0.028), whereas the HF diet reduced IL-6 secretion from C57BL/6J mesenteric adipocytes. Adipocyte TNF-α and IL-10 secretion were unaffected (Supplementary Table 5).

**Glucocorticoids constrain IL-6–induced AMPK activation in adipocytes.** To test whether adipocyte IL-6 secretion might link the pro-oxidative phenotype and increased AMPK activation in 11β-HSD1−/− mesenteric fat, we treated differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes in vitro with IL-6. IL-6–induced adipocyte AMPK phosphorylation was prevented by coinubcation with the 11β-HSD1 substrate 11-DHC (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

This study focused on the critical early mechanisms underlying the metabolically protective adipose phenotype of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice. This derives from the following: 1) PPARγ and β3-adrenergic-driven subcutaneous fat remodeling with more small, insulin-sensitized adipocytes; 2) reduced visceral fat accumulation due to maintained AMPK kinase-mediated induction of lipid oxidation pathways; and 3) reduced proinflammatory T-cell and macrophage infiltration into (predominantly visceral) fat.

Peripheral (e.g., subcutaneous) fat is intrinsically more insulin sensitive than visceral fat, and its accumulation offers relative metabolic protection (1–3). Conversely, visceral fat expresses higher levels of the glucocorticoid receptor (2,5,6), which may contribute to its reduced insulin sensitivity and exaggerated expansion in response to increased plasma cortisol (Cushing’s syndrome) or adipose 11β-HSD1 (idiopathic obesity). These intrinsic differences likely shape the distinct depot-specific responses to PPARγ, adrenergic, and AMPK system activation. Although this has been inferred in previous work (9), we provide the first mechanistic evidence for insulin sensitization through P38K, IRS1, and AMPK in adipose tissue of 11β-HSD1−/− mice showing this is maintained only in peripheral fat on exposure to an HF diet. We show subcutaneous fat of 11β-HSD1−/− mice exhibits elevated Glut4 that remains PPARγ agonist-inducible after the HF diet, which is consistent with depot-specific insulin sensitization.
This is consistent with both PPARγ induction (37) and glucocorticoid-mediated suppression of Glut4 (38). Enhanced β-adrenergic remodeling may explain reduced fat cell size (34,35) with increased glucose uptake (39) of 11β-HSD1−/− white fat. Glucocorticoids suppress adrenergic processes in (brown) fat (40), suggesting similar mechanisms may facilitate increased oxidative capacity of 11β-HSD1−/− white fat. Increased expression of CPT-1 and genes of oxidative phosphorylation in 11β-HSD1−/− adipose support this notion. Induction of Hsp6 protects cardiomyocytes from chronic (β-3) adrenergic induction of apoptosis (33) may similarly protect 11β-HSD1−/− adipocytes from increased cellular stress with an HF diet. The coexistence of elevated PPARγ and adrenergic signaling may seem contradictory given that PPARγ activation suppresses sympathetic drive to white and brown fat despite upregulating thermogenic components of the adrenergic system in vivo (41). We suggest that intra-adipose glucocorticoid deficiency in 11β-HSD1−/− may therefore not only drive increased expression of these distinct systems but also attenuate their functional antagonism. Of note, 11β-HSD1−/− mice on the C57BL/6J strain have increased hypothalamic glucocorticoid receptor levels and thus correct the HPA axis feedback deficiency seen in the original 129-based strain (42). Although this argues for a dominant effect of intra-adipose glucocorticoid deficiency as the underlying basis of healthier fat patterning, whether or not this corrective effect pertains to glucocorticoid control of the sympathetic system at the hypothalamic or brain stem level is uncertain.

11β-HSD1−/− mice showed elevated AMPK mRNA levels in both subcutaneous and visceral fat, but maintained AMPK phosphorylation (activation) only in visceral fat after the HF diet. PPARγ activation (43) and adrenergic stimulation (44) increase AMPK activation, whereas this is suppressed by glucocorticoids (45), suggesting that increased PPARγ sensitivity, presumably to the higher circulating free fatty acid PPARγ ligands with the HF diet, may be the underlying mechanism for the elevated AMPK. Notably, AMPK activity is inhibited by insulin (46), which seems the likely explanation for the lack of maintained AMPK phosphorylation—despite higher AMPK mRNA levels—in subcutaneous fat. This makes some teleologic sense, because AMPK signals for oxidation and lipid...
mobilization, whereas insulin is anti-lipolytic and lipogenic in adipocytes. Activation of these opposing pathways is mutually exclusive in subcutaneous fat where 11\(\beta\)-HSD1\(^{2/2}\) mice exhibit insulin sensitization. Notably, 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 inhibitors increase CPT-1–mediated oxidative drive in visceral adipose tissue, while driving lipogenic effects in peripheral-like fat of rats (32), further supporting a depot-specific effect of glucocorticoid deficiency.

The current work therefore provides a novel mechanistic framework for the early depot-specific responses to an HF diet resulting from intracellular glucocorticoid deficiency: combined adrenergic remodeling with insulin sensitization in peripheral fat and maintained AMPK-fat-oxidation in visceral fat. Although distinct, these effects are nevertheless consistent with a coordinated response through increased PPAR\(\gamma\) action that drives a similar beneficial fat redistribution in rodents and humans (47,48).

FIG. 4. Macrophage numbers in adipose tissues from C57BL/6J and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1\(^{−/−}\) mice after 18-week HF diet. A: FACS quantification of total macrophages (M\(\phi\)) content (Cd11b\(^+\)) in subcutaneous and mesenteric fat, as a percentage of the total SVC number, in C57BL/6J (■) or 11\(\beta\)-HSD1\(^{−/−}\) mice (□) on control diet. B: FACS quantification of macrophage number (Cd11b\(^+\)) as cells per gram of adipose tissue in subcutaneous and mesenteric fat after 18-week HF diet in C57BL/6J (■) and 11\(\beta\)-HSD1\(^{−/−}\) mice (□); \(n = 6\) with adipose pooled from two mice per condition. C: Quantitative results from in situ hybridization with an antisense riboprobe (top) hybridized against the macrophage marker F4/80 in mesenteric fat of C57BL/6J mice fed control (B6: ■) or HF (B6HF: □) diet and in genetically obese Lepob mice (Ob: ■) and Lepob mice that are 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 deficient (Ob HSD1\(^{−/−}\): □); \(n = 6\), effects of genotype are shown as significant: \(*P < 0.05\). (A high-quality color representation of this figure is available in the online issue.)

FIG. 5. 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 mRNA levels in MACS-enriched adipose macrophages from 18-week HF diet–induced and genetically obese mice. Adipose stromal macrophages (M\(\phi\)) (Cd11b\(^+\), left) were enriched with magnetic-bead cell sorting using the anti-Cd11b antibody from other SVCs (Cd11b\(^−\), right) in A the subcutaneous and mesenteric adipose tissues of C57BL/6J mice fed control (B6: ■) or HF (B6HF: □) diet or in B genetically obese Lepob mice (Ob: ■). Effects of genotype (†) and diet (\(\dagger\)) are shown as significant: \(P < 0.05\).

FIG. 6. 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 activity suppresses IL-6–mediated activation of AMP kinase in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes were exposed to increasing concentrations of IL-6 (□) alone or in the presence of the 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 substrate 11-DHC (200 nM, ■) for 24 h. Cells were homogenized, and levels of phosphorylated (activated) AMPK were determined by Western blot. \(*P < 0.05\) for effects of 11-DHC on IL-6–stimulated AMPK activation. Data are mean \(±\) SEM, \(n = 4\).
preferential peripheral fat accumulation (18-week HF diet), it may be that an early adrenergic, oxidative component is replaced by a dominant though still protective fat redistribution effect due to increased fatty acid flux-mediated PPARγ activation (Fig. 7). The exact sequence of events from glucocorticoid deficiency to increased PPARγ-related action will require further in-depth dissection but could suggest a therapeutic synergy between the two systems.

Maintained AMPK activation with an HF diet occurs alongside elevated 11β-HSD1−/− adipocyte IL-6 release. The role of IL-6 in insulin resistance is controversial (49). However, IL-6 activates (50) whereas glucocorticoids suppress (45) AMPK in adipocytes. We show IL-6-mediated AMPK activation is constrained by 11β-HSD1 activity in adipocytes, and this delineates a novel local mechanism constraining visceral fat accumulation with 11β-HSD1 deficiency. On the other hand, IL-6 secretion is lower from subcutaneous adipocytes of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice, where insulin sensitization predominates, and this may also contribute to reduced AMPK activation despite higher AMPK mRNA levels in this depot.

Visceral fat of HF-fed 11β-HSD1−/− mice expressed lower levels of genes involved in proliferation, differentiation, movement, and adhesion of immune cells, including T-cells. We confirmed reduced T-cell numbers in 11β-HSD1−/− visceral adipose, indicating beneficial regulation of the earliest inflammatory cell responses to HF feeding. Indeed, 11β-HSD1−/− mice have fewer resident adipose CD8+ T-cells and macrophages even on control diet. Although this suggests a role for adipose 11β-HSD1 in normal immune cell turnover and suppression, the manifestation of the protective effects of reduced immune cell burden only becomes apparent when the challenge of the HF diet induces insulin resistance and increases cell recruitment. Thus, reduced macrophage number contributes to the anti-inflammatory phenotype of 11β-HSD1−/− adipose and is likely to improve insulin sensitization, particularly at later stages of obesity. This is due in part to reduced macrophage recruitment as a result of lower adipocyte MCP1 secretion, rather than altered macrophage polarization. Because high-dose dexamethasone inhibits MCP1 secretion from clonal adipocytes in vitro (51), our findings may suggest secondary insulin sensitization or PPARγ action drives reduced adipocyte MCP1 secretion from 11β-HSD1−/− adipocytes, in agreement with the effects of 11β-HSD1 inhibition in vivo (52). Indeed, lower MCP-1 might also improve systemic insulin sensitivity (53) in 11β-HSD1−/− mice.

A recent comparison of gene expression patterns confirmed induction of 11β-HSD1 in macrophages that were classically (M1) rather than alternately (M2) activated (23). It was therefore unexpected that 11β-HSD1 levels were reduced in adipose tissue macrophages with dietary and

**FIG. 7.** Summary of the effects of 11β-HSD1 deficiency (low intracellular glucocorticoid action) on subcutaneous and visceral fat in obesity after early (4-week) and chronic (18-week) HF diet exposure. HF feeding causes a differential expansion of adipose mass (pink double-sided arrow) in the genotypes. After an initial period of generally attenuated fat mass accumulation (4-week HF diet), fat becomes favorably redistributed toward safer peripheral (subcutaneous) fat stores and away from detrimental visceral (mesenteric) fat stores in 11β−HSD1−/− mice (11β-KO) with chronic (18-week) HF diet (9). In subcutaneous fat, higher PPARγ (and β-adrenergic) remodeling drives increased numbers of small metabolically competent adipocytes that maintain insulin sensitivity, increased glucose uptake, and potentially oxidative drive, despite overall greater fat mass with chronic HF diet (9). In visceral fat, higher PPARγ and increased adipocyte IL-6 secretion drives maintained AMPK-mediated fat oxidation, independently of insulin sensitization. Reduced visceral fat inflammatory responses in 11β-HSD1−/− mice become accentuated with HF diet, particularly an early (4-week) reduction in CD8+ T-cells and a later reduction in macrophage content due, in part, to reduced adipocyte MCP1 secretion from 11β-HSD1−/− adipocytes. Visceral fat of 11β-HSD1−/− mice also exhibits reduced adipogenesis (30). WT: C57BL/6J mice, 11β-KO: 11β-HSD1−/− mice.
genetic obesity, where macrophage activation occurs (10–15). Our data suggest two possibilities. First, 11β-HSD1 may be downregulated in a subpopulation of alternatively activated (M2-like) adipose macrophages, which also accumulate in diet-induced and genetic obesity (14). Second, the low-grade chronic activation of adipose macrophages that occurs in obesity is mechanistically distinct to that of acute classic inflammation and is not sufficient to induce the higher macrophage 11β-HSD1 expression associated with these more severe inflammatory insults (24,25).

Our data clarify early and novel pathways invoked by 11β-HSD1 deficiency that confer protection from visceral obesity and its consequent chronic adipose inflammation. We further demonstrate that the main protective contribution originates in the adipocytes and not the infiltrating macrophages. Our findings were transposable to a model of extreme genetic obesity (Lepob), indicating a beneficial impact of 11β-HSD1 deficiency in a wider context. Unexpectedly perhaps, adipose tissue macrophage 11β-HSD1 is reduced with obesity and does not seem to regulate macrophage polarization in this context. Crucially, our data imply that therapeutic inhibition of adipose 11β-HSD1 will not cause a potentially confounding exacerbation of adipose tissue inflammation in obesity.
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