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OBJECTIVE — 11-β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11βHSD1) converts inactive cortisol into active cortisol, thereby amplifying intracellular glucocorticoid action. The efficacy and safety of the 11βHSD1 inhibitor INCB13739 were assessed when added to ongoing metformin monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes exhibiting inadequate glycemic control (A1C 7–11%).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — This double-blind placebo-controlled parallelized study randomized 302 patients with type 2 diabetes (mean A1C 8.3%) on metformin monotherapy (mean 1.5 g/day) to receive one of five INCB13739 doses or placebo once daily for 12 weeks. The primary end point was the change in A1C at study end. Other end points included changes in fasting glucose, lipids, weight, adverse events, and safety.

RESULTS — After 12 weeks, 200 mg of INCB13739 resulted in significant reductions in A1C (−0.6%), fasting plasma glucose (−24 mg/dl), and homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (−24%) compared with placebo. Total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides were all significantly decreased in hyperlipidemic patients. Body weight decreased relative to placebo after INCB13739 therapy. A reversible dose-dependent elevation in adrenocorticotrophic hormone, generally within the normal reference range, was observed. Basal cortisol homeostasis, testosterone in men, and free androgen index in women were unchanged by INCB13739. Adverse events were similar across all treatment groups.

CONCLUSIONS — INCB13739 added to ongoing metformin therapy was efficacious and well tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes who had inadequate glycemic control with metformin alone. 11βHSD1 inhibition offers a new potential approach to control glucose and cardiovascular risk factors in type 2 diabetes.
cebo single-blind run-in, 12-week double-blind treatment, and 3-week off-treatment follow-up. The study was conducted pursuant to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by institutional review boards at participating sites. Patients provided informed consent before screening.

Patients (18–75 years) with type 2 diabetes, a BMI between 25 and 45 kg/m², and A1C between 7–11% while taking metformin monotherapy at a stable dose for ≥10 weeks were eligible. Exclusion criteria included a medical history of disorders involving glucocorticoid, mineralocorticoid, or androgen excess; a history of type 1 diabetes or secondary forms of diabetes; previous insulin therapy; triglycerides >500 mg/dl; and treatment with any oral, systemic, topical, or inhaled glucocorticoids, thiazolidinediones, or exenatide within 3 months of screening. No inclusion criteria were specified for cholesterol or blood pressure and patients could enter the study on (and maintain) any hypolipidemic or antihypertensive regimen.

Patients were randomized equally to once-daily INCB13739 (5, 15, 50, 100, or 200 mg) or placebo. Dose selection was based on phase 1 pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data, with the goal of evaluating regimens that achieve different degrees of inhibition, from <50% to >90%, with the duration of inhibition varying across the five dose levels. Patients with a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >270 mg/dl through week 8 or >240 mg/dl subsequently were discontinued and offered rescue therapy.

The primary end points were the change from baseline to week 12 compared with placebo in A1C, safety, and tolerability. Secondary end points included the change from baseline to week 12 compared with placebo in FPG and lipid profiles and the proportion of patients achieving an A1C ≤7% at week 12. Tertiary end points included the change from baseline in homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), weight, blood pressure, and the proportion of patients meeting rescue therapy criteria.

Study assessments

On-treatment study visits occurred at weeks 2, 4, 8, and 12 and a follow-up visit at week 15 off treatment. Fasting blood samples were collected after a minimum 10-h fast. Salivary samples were collected between 2200 and 2400. All assays were performed by Covance Central Labs. Monitoring for adverse events (AEs) (intensity, duration, outcome, and causality), physical examinations, vital signs, body weight and morphometrics, 12-lead electrocardiograms, and safety laboratory assessments including hematology, serum chemistry, and urinalysis were also performed.

Statistical analysis

There were 40 patients per group completing week 12 who provided 90% power to detect a mean 0.6% difference in A1C between the 200-mg group and placebo assuming an $E_{max}$ dose-response model (13) with a half-maximal stimulation ($ED_{50}$) of 30 mg and an SD in A1C of 1.2%. This $E_{max}$ model is commonly used for phase 2 dose-ranging studies and was prespecified with the following optimal linear contrast: −0.45666 (placebo), −0.31381 (5 mg), −0.12333 (15 mg), 0.16836 (50 mg), 0.312566 (100 mg), and 0.412901 (200 mg) based on the half-maximal concentration ($ED_{50}$) = 30 mg assumption. The study was powered for A1C alone and not for lipids or blood pressure. Two populations were prespecified: the evaluable analysis set was defined as all patients randomized who have completed the 12 weeks of study treatment with ≥80% compliance; and the full analysis set was defined as all patients randomized who have taken at least one dose of study drug with any missing week 12 data imputed by last observation carried forward. The A1C and FPG end points were prespecified to be analyzed using the evaluable analysis set; all other efficacy end points were prespecified to be analyzed using the full analysis set.

For all end points, treatment effect was assessed using a linear model with treatment as the model factor and baseline as a covariate. Changes from baseline were estimated with 90% CIs from the model.

RESULTS — The disposition of patients is in supplementary Table 1, found in an online appendix available at http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/dc09-2315/DC1. Baseline assessments were performed in 302 patients who entered the treatment phase of the study, and 228 patients (75%) completed the 12-week treatment period. The most common reasons for discontinuation were loss to follow-up (5%), withdrawal of consent (5%), lack of efficacy (4%), noncompliance with study procedures/medication (4%), and adverse events (4%), none of which related to the dose level of study medication. The clinical characteristics of the population at baseline were similar between treatment groups (supplementary Table 1): the mean duration of diabetes was 6.2 years, BMI 32.4 kg/m², A1C 8.3%, and FPG 173 mg/dl.

Efficacy

At week 12, treatment with INCB13739 resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in A1C ($P_{E_{max}} = 0.016$; Table 1, Fig. 1A). The placebo-adjusted least-squares (LS) mean difference from baseline in A1C reached statistical significance for the 100-mg (−0.47%; $P < 0.05$) and 200-mg (−0.56%; $P < 0.01$) groups. A1C decreased compared with placebo in a time-dependent manner, reaching its maximum at week 12 (Fig. 1B). A greater proportion of patients (25%) randomized to 100 or 200 mg INCB13739 achieved an A1C <7% when compared with placebo (9.5%) at week 12. In a predefined subgroup analysis in patients with a baseline A1C ≥8%, the response to INCB13739 was more pronounced, with the 50-, 100-, and 200-mg groups achieving a significant (P < 0.05) change in A1C from baseline of −0.65 to −0.72%. The placebo-adjusted change in A1C for the 100- and 200-mg groups was greater in subjects with a baseline BMI >30 kg/m² (−0.53% and −0.93%, respectively) than in subjects with a baseline BMI ≤30 kg/m² (−0.35% and −0.17%, respectively). The number of patients requiring rescue therapy (12) did not differ significantly between treatment groups. FPG decreased in a dose- and time-dependent manner in the 100- and 200-mg treatment groups (Fig. 1C) and reached statistical significance (P < 0.01) from placebo in the 200-mg group with an LS mean difference of −24.1 mg/dl. A dose-dependent reduction in HOMA-IR was observed, reaching significance (P < 0.05) in the 200-mg group with an LS mean difference of −1.32 (−24%), suggesting an insulin-sensitizing mechanism of action.

Body weight decreased with INCB13739 treatment, with statistical significance from baseline (P < 0.05) achieved in the 15 (−0.6 kg), 100 (−1.1 kg), and 200 mg (−0.9 kg) treatment groups (Table 1). Waist-to-hip ratio did not change with treatment.

Plasma lipids and blood pressure were generally well controlled at baseline (supplementary Table 1). Treatment with
INCB13739 resulted in a modest dose-dependent ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.026$) decrease in total cholesterol, reaching a maximum of $-7 \text{ mg/dl}$ ($-3\%$) from baseline in the 200-mg group (Table 1). In a prespecified analysis, patients with Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III defined hyper-lipidemia (total cholesterol $>200 \text{ mg/dl}$; LDL cholesterol $>130 \text{ mg/dl}$) or hyper-triglyceridemia ($>200 \text{ mg/dl}$) at baseline exhibited a greater improvement, reaching statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) in the 100-mg group for all three lipid categories (cholesterol $-16 \text{ mg/dl}$, $-6\%$; LDL $-17 \text{ mg/dl}$, $-10\%$; triglycerides $-74 \text{ mg/dl}$, $-16\%$). Similar responses were observed in the 200-mg group, but these did not reach significance, possibly because of the smaller size of the subgroups. Changes in HDL and free fatty acids were not significantly different between the treatment groups. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure did not change appreciably during the study.

**Safety**

Treatment with INCB13739 was well tolerated and AEs were reported at similar frequencies across all treatment groups (Table 2). No drug-related serious AEs occurred in the trial. One death occurred in the 200-mg group because of complications after a serious AE of acute ischemia of the lower extremities. This AE occurred $\sim$2 weeks after the last dose of study medication in a subject with preexisting congestive heart failure and aortic valvular disease. The death was due to cardiac arrest immediately after induction of anesthesia before bilateral iliofemoral embolectomy. The AE was judged by the investigator as unrelated to study medication. No hypoglycemic events were reported during the treatment phase of the trial. The most frequent AEs reported were typical for this population and did not exhibit dose dependence. There were four reports of nausea in the 200-mg group (compared with one in the placebo group); however, all of these resolved during continued dosing and three were categorized by the investigator as unrelated to study medication. There were no clinically relevant differences between treatment groups in electrocardiograms, hematology, serum chemistry, or urinalysis.

The anticipated compensatory activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis to overcome reduced cortisol regeneration on $11\beta$HSD1 inhibition was evaluated. INCB13739 caused a dose-related increase in morning plasma ACTH and the ACTH-sensitive dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS) levels, although mean concentrations of both hormones remained within laboratory reference ranges (Table 2). ACTH and DHEAS rises after INCB13739 reached a plateau at week 4 ($+102$ and $+54\%$, respectively, versus $+19$ and $+6\%$ in the placebo group); did not exhibit a further increase at week 12, even in the 200-mg treatment group ($+114\%$ and $+55\%$, respectively); and returned to baseline levels by the 3-week follow-up visit (Fig. 2A and B). Morning plasma cortisol and evening salivary cortisol levels were unaltered by INCB13739 at any dose (Fig. 2C), suggesting that the rise in ACTH was a compensatory response.

DHEAS is a precursor for androgen biosynthesis. INCB13739 treatment resulted in a dose-related increase in morn-
This study indicates, for the first time, that reductions in triglyceride levels (assessed at week 8) increased in a dose-dependent manner with mean concentrations within the laboratory reference range. Maximal concentrations were observed in the 200-mg group (1.8 vs. 1.3 nmol/l in the placebo group; P < 0.05). These changes occurred alongside modest increases in SHBG (assessed at week 12), apparent in the 50- and 100-mg groups (P < 0.05), but not the 200-mg group. Importantly, there were no significant differences between treatment groups in calculated FAI in females (placebo = 6.9; INCBl3739 range = 5.7–8.2).

**CONCLUSIONS** — The results from this study indicate, for the first time, that decreasing local cortisol exposure through 11βHSD1 inhibition improves hyperglycemia over 12 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes. The addition of once-daily INCBl3739 in patients inadequately controlled with metformin significantly reduced A1C, FPG, and HOMA-IR. These effects were dose dependent, and the greatest improvements were achieved at the highest dose administered (200 mg), with evidence for a more profound A1C reduction in subjects with a BMI >30 kg/m², compatible with elevated 11βHSD1 in adipose tissue in obesity. Preliminary data from pharmacokinetic analyses (data not shown) indicate that the 100- and 200-mg groups achieved 4 h after administration, mean free drug exposures that reached 100 mg or exceeded 200 mg, the concentrations required to inhibit 90% of the enzyme activity in cellular assays; however, only the 200-mg group retained such a mean exposure at the end of the dosing interval. Thus, glycinic efficacy may be associated with a high degree of enzyme inhibition, and it is possible that greater glycinic improvement might be achieved with increased dose levels or frequency of administration.

Plasma lipids were generally well controlled in this population, and 30% of patients were receiving lipid-lowering medications. INCBl3739 treatment resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in total cholesterol, and while of modest magnitude, these changes also associated with directional beneficial trends in LDL cholesterol and triglycerides. Of interest, patients who met ATP III criteria for “borderline high” LDL cholesterol (>130 mg/dl), total cholesterol (>200 mg/dl), or “hyper-triglyceridemia” (>200 mg/dl) exhibited a larger improvement in all three lipid parameters. The magnitude of effect was equivalent in the 100- and 200-mg groups, reaching statistical significance for the 100-mg group, which had the largest subgroup size.

INCBl3739 treatment resulted in a dose-dependent modest decrease in body weight of –1 kg at the highest dose stud-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup n</th>
<th>BMI &gt;30 kg/m²</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>5 mg</th>
<th>15 mg</th>
<th>50 mg</th>
<th>100 mg</th>
<th>200 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are LS mean change from baseline ± SEM unless noted. *P < 0.1, †P < 0.05, ‡P < 0.01, active vs. PBO; §P < 0.1, ||P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, week 12 vs. baseline.
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Table 2—End point endocrine assessments and safety summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endocrinology</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>5 mg</th>
<th>15 mg</th>
<th>50 mg</th>
<th>100 mg</th>
<th>200 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>1.6–13.9 pmol/l</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone</td>
<td>111–859 pmol/l</td>
<td>218 ± 23</td>
<td>198 ± 24</td>
<td>208 ± 25</td>
<td>204 ± 28</td>
<td>204 ± 23</td>
<td>276 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renin</td>
<td>3.5–65.6 pg/ml</td>
<td>24.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>26.0 ± 7.5</td>
<td>38.1 ± 7.8</td>
<td>19.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>18.7 ± 7.3</td>
<td>28.0 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEAS, δ</td>
<td>0.14–18.73 μmol/l</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEAS, Ψ</td>
<td>0.19–10.61 μmol/l</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4, δ</td>
<td>0.8–2.9 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4, Ψ</td>
<td>&lt;1.0–4.3 ng/ml</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, δ</td>
<td>6.1–27.1 ng/ml</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T*, Ψ</td>
<td>&lt;0.4–2.6 nmol/l</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHBG, δ</td>
<td>7–70 nmol/l</td>
<td>25.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>29.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>20.6 ± 3.1</td>
<td>23.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>20.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>29.7 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHBG, Ψ</td>
<td>15–120 nmol/l</td>
<td>23.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>27.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>30.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>39.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>40.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>24.9 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAI, δ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>63.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>43.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>60.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>55.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 5.7</td>
<td>53.3 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAI, Ψ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safety and tolerability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs occurring in ≥3%</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>5 mg</th>
<th>15 mg</th>
<th>50 mg</th>
<th>100 mg</th>
<th>200 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥1 AE</td>
<td>23 (46)</td>
<td>25 (49)</td>
<td>22 (44)</td>
<td>27 (57)</td>
<td>25 (47)</td>
<td>20 (39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rx-related*</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 SAE</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rx-related*</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d/c for AE</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Endocrine data are week 12 LS mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. Androgens and their precursors are categorized by sex. Central lab normal reference ranges are provided. Treatment emergent AE data are n (%) for all AEs or for those occurring in at least 3% of patients. A4, androstenedione; d/c, discontinuation; FAI, free androgen index; SAE, serious adverse event; T, testosterone. *Determined by the investigator to be possibly, probably, or definitely drug related. †P < 0.05, ‡P < 0.01, active vs. PBO. *T Ψ and FAI Ψ reflects week 8 concentrations.

ied. This change was time dependent and did not plateau over the 12-week treatment period (data not shown). The thiazolidinedione insulin sensitizers increase body weight through adipocyte differentiation (14,15). As cortisol can drive adipocyte differentiation and expansion (16), it is possible that attenuating cortisol signaling in adipose may decrease adipocyte size. This has been reported in preclinical models with an 11βHSD1 inhibitor (17) and suggests the potential for positive effects of INCB13739 on total body weight and/or regional adiposity with longer exposure.

INC13739 was well tolerated at all dose levels, and there were no differences in AE frequency relative to placebo nor were there any apparent dose-dependent changes in AEs.

While 11βHSD1 is not involved in adrenal cortisol biosynthesis, 11βHSD1 activity within the splanchnic bed does contribute ~25% of total cortisol production (18). An expected consequence of 11βHSD1 inhibition is increased clearance of cortisol and compensatory hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation to maintain cortisol concentrations. INCB13739 treatment did result in a dose-related increase in ACTH levels that was generally within the normal reference range. The ACTH response reached a plateau with the 50-mg dose at week 4, suggesting that the maximal response to INCB13739 had been realized. This plateau in ACTH and its rapid return to baseline levels after cessation of therapy are consistent with an adaptive endocrine process driven by reversible 11βHSD1 inhibition. Importantly, cortisol levels and circadian rhythm were unaltered by INCB13739 treatment. These data indicate normal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function after 12 weeks of INCB13739 therapy that adjusted appropriately to the inhibition of 11βHSD1 activity to maintain basal cortisol homeostasis. The leftward shift in the ACTH dose relationship relative to efficacy might reflect a greater contribution of hepatic 11βHSD1 inhibition to splanchnic cortisol reactivation.

Aldosterone and renin were unaltered by INCB13739 treatment (Table 2), and serum electrolytes were unchanged (supplementary Table 2). Modest elevations in the androgenic precursors DHEAS and A4 paralleled changes in ACTH. Like ACTH, these changes were generally within the reference range, plateaued with respect to both dose and time, and were reversed at follow-up. The highest concentration of DHEAS observed in this study (13.2 μmol/l in males and females) is equivalent to levels observed after 50 mg/day dehydroepiandrosterone supplement use (19). In men, there was no change in plasma testosterone, SHBG, or FAI after INCB13739 treatment, consistent with the testes being the main source of androgens. In females, a modest rise in
total testosterone at week 8 was observed that was paralleled by a rise in SHBG such that the resulting FAI calculation was not significantly different in any INCB13739 group compared with placebo or baseline levels. SHBG is known to increase in response to improved insulin sensitivity (20), and whether the changes observed in this study reflect this or result from more complex endocrine adaptation to small changes in total testosterone are unknown. Importantly, FAI is an accepted surrogate in clinical practice for free testosterone and a marker of biologic androgen activity in women (21). No signs or symptoms of androgen excess were observed, and longer-term studies will be required to ascertain the clinical relevance of the small androgen changes observed.

In summary, in patients with type 2 diabetes who had inadequate glycemic control with metformin alone, the addition of once-daily INCB13739 was well tolerated and resulted in significant improvements in A1C, FPG, and HOMA-IR. INCB13739 treatment decreased body weight and improved cholesterol and triglycerides in patients with hyperlipidemia at baseline. 11βHSD1 inhibition offers a new potential approach to control glucose and cardiovascular risk factors in type 2 diabetes. Further clinical characterization of INCB13739 with long-term controlled studies is warranted.
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