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We detected 2 hepatitis E virus (HEV) strains in an acutely infected immunocompetent patient. Two populations of genotype 3 virus were observed in the hypervariable regions and, open reading frames 2 and 3, indicating multiple infection with hepatitis E virus. Persons with mixed infections may provide the opportunity for virus recombination.

Most reports of multiple infection with different hepatitis E virus (HEV) variants can be ascribed to immunodeficiency of the host or to high frequencies of infection. For example, 2 different genotype 3 subtypes were detected in samples from an immunocompromised kidney transplant recipient (1), and virus from another immunocompromised patient contained an insertion of host-derived sequences or various deletions of this sequence (2). Multiple infection or co-circulation of closely related virus variants is not unexpected in such patients with chronic HEV infection (references in [3]). Few cases of multiple infection of immunocompetent persons have been reported; 2 different virus genotypes were isolated from a sushi chef in Japan (4), and 2 persons in Nepal were each infected by different genotype 1 subtypes (5). In the course of our study of the ORF3 region used the primers 5′-CGGGTGGAATGAA-3′ (outer sense nucleotides 5089–5118 relative to M73218), 5′-GCRGTYARCGGCGMRGCCCCAGCTG-3′ (outer antisense, 5481–5457), 5′-TYTGGCATTGCTGCCCCGGCCACCCG-3′ (inner sense, 5184–5209) and 5′-GGC-GCTGGGGMYTGGTGCAGCAAG-3′ (inner antisense, 5426–5403). Negative controls were included in all experiments. GenBank accession numbers for the ORF2 and ORF2/3 sequences described here are JX516004–JX516053 and for the HVR sequences are JX270882–JX270902.

Nucleotide sequence analysis of the HVR from individual virus genomes sampled by limiting dilution of cDNA revealed 2 distinct genotype 3 populations (populations A and B, Figure). Members of these populations all differed by the same 18 nucleotide substitutions, of which 13 were synonymous and 5 nonsynonymous. Two populations (A and B) were also observed among 25 ORF2 sequences (the 2 populations differed by 5 synonymous substitutions) and 26 ORF2/ORF3 sequences (the 2 populations differed by a single substitution, which was nonsynonymous in ORF2 but synonymous in ORF3).

In all 3 regions, 1 of the virus populations represented ≈80%–90% of the sequences: in HVR, 17 sequences were population A and 4 population B; in ORF2, 21 sequences were population A, 2 population B, and 1 mixed; and in ORF2/3, 20 sequences were population A, 4 population B, and 2 mixed. The 3 mixed sequences contained ambiguities at positions where the 2 populations differed, implying that templates from both populations were present in the limiting dilution reaction. The expected number of such mixed-template reactions can be calculated by using the Poisson distribution and the number of PCR-negative replicate reactions, which were 47% (HVR), 50% (ORF2), and 43% (ORF2/3). Thus, 17.5%, 15.4%, and 20.8%, respectively, of reactions would be expected to contain >1 template, of which, given the ratio of populations A and B in each region, 31%, 17.2%, and 28%, respectively, would contain templates from different populations, equivalent...
Infection of the patient in this study with 2 HEV variants could arise for several different reasons. First, the person could have been exposed to a homogeneous source of HEV, which then diversified during infection. Assuming that infection occurred 1 month before the first symptoms and 6 weeks before sampling, a divergence of HVR sequences at 18 (7.4%) of 243 nucleotide positions equates to a rate of nucleotide substitution from a presumed ancestor of both populations of 0.32/site/year. Not only were these substitutions mostly synonymous, and therefore unlikely to represent selection during infection, but the inferred rate of nucleotide substitution would be several orders of magnitude higher than those previously reported for HEV (0.0014/site/year) (7) or for the HCV HVR (0.0043/site/year) (8). In addition, the divergence between the 2 virus populations contrasts with the homogeneity observed among 7 other acutely infected patients and between viruses transmitted from 1 person or host to another (6).

A second possibility is that this person had a subclinical chronic HEV infection and then became superinfected with a second virus that induced jaundice. No previous blood samples were available for testing, but a prior subclinical chronic infection seems unlikely, given the absence of immunosuppression; the presence of anti-HEV IgM and IgG; the decline and increase, respectively, in these antibody titers in a sample collected 7 days later; and the decline in ALT and bilirubin levels in the weeks following the patient’s hospital visit.

Last, the clinical features and our immunologic and virologic findings are consistent with the patient having been infected from a single source containing >1 variant, such as pig-derived figatellu sausage (9). Alternatively, he might have been multiply infected from different sources within a short period and before the development of protective immunity. Follow-up interview revealed no occupational exposure to animals, apart from a domestic dog, and no recent foreign travel; he spent 3 days in a beach resort in southeastern Scotland 12 days before onset of symptoms. The patient ate supermarket-bought prewashed salad vegetables and fresh fruit and drank only bottled or tap water. The patient ate fish, shellfish, chicken, pork, bacon, sausages, ham, Brussels paté, and eggs but not venison or pig liver. He regularly handled uncooked pork and beef at home while preparing food. HEV has been found on the hands and gloves of 17% of persons selling pork products (10).

Conclusions

This study suggests that mixed infection with HEV can occur in immunocompetent persons with no obvious high-risk exposure to HEV-infected food or sewage. Only about 300 cases of acute HEV are reported each year in England and Wales (11), and the prevalence of HEV PCR-positive blood donors in England is 0.014% (12). However, the
seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG is 1,000× higher (16%) (13), and in this context, the identification of sources of autochthonous HEV infection remains an important goal. Our results are also relevant to the suggestion that HEV may undergo recombination (14). The case described here suggests that the conditions required for virus recombination may occasionally arise in immunocompetent persons.
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