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Down-Regulation of the Oncogene Cyclin D1 Increases Migratory Capacity in Breast Cancer and Is Linked to Unfavorable Prognostic Features
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The oncogene cyclin D1 is highly expressed in many breast cancers and, despite its proliferation-activating properties, it has been linked to a less malignant phenotype. To clarify this observation, we focused on two key components of malignant behavior, migration and proliferation, and observed that quiescent G0/G1 cells display an increased migratory capacity compared to cycling cells. We also found that the down-regulation of cyclin D1 in actively cycling cells significantly increased migration while also decreasing proliferation. When analyzing a large set of premenopausal breast cancers, we observed an inverse proliferation-independent link between cyclin D1 and tumor size and recurrence, suggesting that this protein might abrogate infiltrative malignant behavior in vivo. Finally, gene expression analysis after cyclin D1 down-regulation by siRNA confirmed changes in processes associated with migration and enrichment of our gene set in a metastatic poor prognosis signature. This novel function of cyclin D1 illustrates the interplay between tumor proliferation and migration and may explain the attenuation of malignant behavior in breast cancers with high cyclin D1 levels. (Am J Pathol 2010, 177:2886–2897; DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2010.100303)

Breast cancer represents the most frequently diagnosed disease in women in the Western world with 1 million new patients annually. Even though the five-year survival rates are as high as 86%, mortalities still total approximately 410,000 each year.1 Distant metastases from the primary tumor represent the main cause of these deaths,2 and as such, identification of new prognostic markers and targets for novel treatments of patients at risk of developing metastases is of paramount importance.

The process of metastasis represents a complex series of events, comprehensively covering many functional capabilities of a cell. These include tumor cell migration/proliferation and invasion into the tissues surrounding the primary tumor location, their subsequent intravasation into the blood or lymph vessels, extravasation back through vasculature to distal organs, and finally colonization/proliferation and angiogenesis of a new location to produce a second tumor body.3

Research in this area has focused on elucidation of the factors involved in metastasis initiation, and a plethora of candidates have been described in this setting; VEGF is reported to promote metastatic colonization and desmoplasia in breast tumors,4 gene expression analysis indicates an overexpression of DNA repair genes is associated with tumor metastasis,5 and recently, the role of the...
cell cycle regulatory protein cyclin D1 in the progression of metastatic events has also been addressed.6–8

Cyclin D1 along with its binding partners CDK4/6 partially mediate G1 to S-phase transition in the cell cycle.9 Overexpression of the protein has been reported in 25 to 60% of invasive breast carcinomas and amplification of its corresponding gene CCND1 occurs in 10 to 30% of cases.10–12 Previous studies by our group and others have implicated cyclin D1 with less aggressive tumor characteristics such as lower histological grade and retained pRB function.11,13 This suggests that activation of the oncogene cyclin D1 in breast cancer could be linked to less aggressive tumor properties and reduced metastatic potential—a novel hypothesis given the protein’s well-described proliferation enhancing properties. To clarify this apparently conflicting relationship between cyclin D1 and aggressiveness, we have focused on two key components in breast cancer malignancies, migration and proliferation. In this study, we demonstrate how cyclin D1 influences these properties using cell line models, in vivo studies of large collections of primary breast cancer samples linked to clinical data and gene expression array technology.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

The human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 and the melanoma cell line MDA-MB-43514 (ATCC, Int., Manassas, VA) were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), sodium pyruvate (1 mmol/L) and 1xPEST (streptomycin 90 μg/ml, penicillin 90 IU/ml). Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2/95% air at 37°C.

Synchronization and Flow Cytometry

For synchronization, 1 × 10⁶ cells were seeded in 28.3-cm² culture dishes and quiesced in 4 ml serum-free medium (SFM) for 24 hours. The SFM was then replaced with medium containing 10% FCS (SM) and the cells were grown for 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 hours, respectively before harvest. For asynchronous experiments, 5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded in 28.3-cm² culture dishes and cultured for 24 hours in SM before harvest. For flow cytometric analyzes we refer to Berglund et al.15

Assessment of Ki-67 Expression

1 × 10⁵ cells were seeded in 12.6-cm² culture dishes and synchronized as described above. At each time point, cells were washed once with PBS and fixed for 30 minutes in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde. After fixation, cells were washed once and subsequently permeabilized in PBS containing 2% NP-40. After washing, cells were allowed to air-dry before incubation for 1 hour primary antibody against Ki-67 (M7240, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark, diluted 1:25 in PBS). Cells were washed three times in PBS before incubation (1 hour) with a FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (F0313, DAKO, 1:50 in PBS). After a final wash step, cells were stained with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) and mounted with a glass coverslip. Images of the Ki-67-FITC/DAPI–stained cells were taken using a fluorescence microscope, and the analysis of Ki-67 fluorescence intensities was carried out with the Image-Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics, L.P., MD). DAPI staining allowed cell nuclei to be defined and the intensity of green light/Ki-67 expression quantified. From the continuous light intensities measured, a cut-off for Ki-67-positivity was arbitrarily chosen based on the visually detectable limit.

Treatment with U0126

The MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), was used at a concentration of 20 μmol/L with an equal volume of DMSO used as control and both were added to SM as required. For assessment of ERK1/2 phosphorylation and migratory capacity, cells were pretreated with U0126 or DMSO for 4 hours before the migration assays. In addition, the medium in the chambers and wells was supplemented with U0126 or DMSO.

siRNA and Vector Transfections

5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded in a 28.3-cm² culture dish with PEST-free SM for 24 hours. The media was subsequently removed and PEST-free SFM added along with 1 ml siRNA solution (OptiMEM, Gibco, Lipofectamine 2000, Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) giving a final concentration of 40 nmol/L oligonucleotides. Five hours after transfection, SFM was replaced with SM and cells were allowed to grow for 20 hours before harvesting for migration assay, flow cytometry or Western blot. ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNA reagents targeting cyclin D1 (excluding duplex J-003210-15 due to nonspecific effects, Dharmacon RNA Technologies, Lafayette, CO), CDK4 and CDK6 were included as standard experimental protocol. A nontargeting pool was used as negative control. For vector experiments, 3 μg of GFP-tagged vector with an insert of the ORF of cyclin D1, or GFP only (control) was used (OriGene Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD). Cyclin D1 transfection efficiency was 45% as determined by flow cytometry analyses of the GFP-tagged cyclin D1 construct, and total cell numbers were quantified by migration assay.

Western Blotting

Western blot was performed as described previously15 and the following antibodies were used: α-cyclin D1 (1:500, DCS-6, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark), α-cyclin E (1:500, HE12, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), α-cyclin A2 (1:500, Santa Cruz), α-p21 (1:500, SX118, DAKO), α-phospho-pRb Ser807/811 (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Beverly, MA), α-pRb (1:500, IF8, Santa Cruz), α-phospho-p44/42 MAP Kinase Thr202/Tyr204 (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), and
α-p44/42 MAP Kinase (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) α-CDK4 (1:500, DCS-35, Chemicon, Rockford, IL), α-CDK6 (1:1000, DCS83, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Actin (1:1000 I-19, Santa Cruz) was included as loading control. Densitometric analysis of Western blot of phosho bands was performed and corrected with the values of respective total bands of ERK1/2 and actin, using ImageJ.\(^\text{16}\)

**Migration Assays**

**Boyden Chamber**

Cell migration was routinely carried out in 8-μm-pore polycarbonate membrane Transwell chambers with a diameter of 6.5 mm (Corning, Inc. Corning, NY). The membranes were incubated in 150 μl serum-free RPMI 1640 for an initial equilibrium period of 1 hour. Cells were resuspended in serum-free medium (1 × 10⁶ cells/ml), and 100,000 cells were added to each migration chamber. The chambers were placed into wells containing 600 μl 10% FCS medium, and cells were allowed to migrate for 3 hours or overnight, after siRNA or vector transfections, respectively. Cells remaining in the chamber were removed with a cotton swab, and the migrated cells situated on the lower side of membranes were fixed for 15 minutes in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde. Membranes were cut and mounted on glass slides for DAPI staining and counted using a fluorescent microscope (cells in three ×10 magnification fields representing the composition of the membrane were counted). Assays were repeated at least three times with at least three migration chambers for every treatment. Each migration assay was related to the mean number of migrated control siRNA treated cells in that particular experiment to avoid interexperimental variation. This is termed “relative unit” in the figures. Each dot in the figure represents one migration chamber.

**Time Lapse Microscopy**

For time lapse experiments cells were plated at a confluency of 2 × 10⁴ cells/cm² in a 24-well plate after transfection with siRNA, before being placed in an enclosed full environmental chamber surrounding a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope. Sample, gases, chamber, and objective lens were environmentally maintained and monitored. Visualization was undertaken with Metamorph (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA) and a Roper Coolsnap HQ camera, with three fields of view imaged per well. Images were taken every 5 minutes for 4 hours, and once captured data were exported to Metamorph for particle tracking analysis. Particle data were subsequently imported to Image J and analyzed with the Ibidi chemotaxis plug-in.

**Cell Sorting for Cell Cycle Analysis**

MDA-MB-231 cells were resuspended at 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml in 500 μl 10 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) and incubated for 45 minutes at 37°C. 7AAD (10 μl/1 × 10⁶ cells) (BD Biosciences) was subsequently added to cells and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature to allow exclusion of dead cells from sorting. After incubation, cells were sorted at 16PSI by FACS using a BX Influx (BD Biosciences) operated using Spigot software. FlowJo version 7.6.1 analysis software (Treestar, Inc., Ashland, OR) was used to set gates for sorting of cells into three populations G0/G1, S, and G2/M. Cells were collected in PBS and transwell migration assays performed as previously described with the exception that 50,000 cells were seeded in upper chambers of membranes and allowed to migrate for 5 hours.

**Microarray Analysis**

MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with control, cyclin D1, and CDK4/6 siRNA as described previously, harvested 12 hours posttransfection and resuspended in RNAasy Lysis Buffer (QIAGEN Sciences, Germantown, MD) before RNA isolation and microarray analysis by AROS Applied Biotechnology A/S (Aarhus, Denmark). Experiments were made in triplicates. Briefly, RNA extraction was performed using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with subsequent quantification on NanoDrop (ND-1000) Spectrophotometer at an optical density of A260 nm. RNA was then labeled using the TotalPrep RNA Labeling Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) before hybridization to the whole genome Illumina Human WG-6 v3.0 Expression gene chip (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Raw data were examined in BeadStudio (Illumina, Inc.), with subsequent quantile normalization and identification of differentially expressed genes performed by significance analysis of microarrays (SAM)\(^\text{17}\) in R\(^\text{18}\), using the limma\(^\text{19}\) and siggenes BioConductor packages,\(^\text{20}\) respectively. Data were then exported to the GoMiner high-throughput web-interface to determine significant gene ontology processes and to gene-set enrichment analysis software (GSEA, Broad Institute MIT) for comparison to previously published data sets and functional processes.

**Analysis of Primary Tumors**

The immunohistochemical analysis was conducted with a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor material consisting of 500 primary invasive stage II breast cancers from premenopausal patients. These patients were enrolled in a previously described randomized clinical trial of adjuvant tamoxifen treatment.\(^\text{21}\) The tumors were assembled in tissue microarrays (TMAs) followed by the procedure described in Berglund et al.\(^\text{15}\) Cyclin D1 intensity had been assessed previously as absent = 0, low = 1, intermediate = 2, and strong = 3, as had Ki-67, which was analyzed as fraction of positive nuclei where 0 = 0 to 1%, 1 = 1 to 10%, 2 = 11 to 25%, 3 = 26 to 50%, and 4 = 51 to 100%.\(^\text{22}\) For tumor growth pattern analysis, the characteristics of the tumors had been previously evaluated on whole tumor sections. Growth patterns were classified into four groups, defined by the mode of infiltration, from the most infiltrative cases with a “sieving” and diffuse...
tumor border (“exclusively infiltrative”) to a more pushing, clearly defined tumor margin (“exclusively pushing”). Other than these two extreme groups, two intermediary groups were also defined as either demonstrating “predominantly infiltrative” or “predominantly pushing” growth. For the present analysis, the “exclusively infiltrative” group of tumors was analyzed against the remainders of tumors, included in the group here termed “pushing feature.” For more details on the assessment of growth pattern and representative images, we refer to Berglund et al. Evaluation of phosphorylated pRb was performed by using an /H9251-phospho-pRb antibody targeting phosphorylation sites Ser807/811 (1:200, cat. no #9308, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Beverly, MA).

Statistical Methods

To examine the statistical significance of the differences seen in the Boyden migration assays and in the distribution of cell cycle phases, a two-tailed Student’s t-test was used, assuming unequal variance. Unless stated otherwise, the SEM is shown. Further on, Spearman’s rank-order correlation coefficient (p) was used to test the statistical significance of the association between cyclin D1 nuclear intensity and various categorical variables. For estimation of recurrence-free survival, the Kaplan–Meier method, log-rank test and Cox regression analyses were used. Analyses were carried out using the SPSS software (version 15.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL) and a P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Cell Cycle Specific Variations in the Protein Expression and Migratory Capacity of MDA-MB-231 Cells

To determine the baseline migratory behavior of breast cancer cells in relation to cell cycle phase distribution, we synchronized MDA-MB-231 cells by serum starvation for 24 hours followed by serum stimulation and assessed proliferation, expression of cell cycle related proteins and migratory behavior at consecutive time-points. Cells entered a resting G0-like phase after of serum starvation, and proceed through G0/G1 and S- phases after 12 and 16 hours of serum stimulation, respectively (Figure 1A). Expression of the cell cycle regulatory proteins cyclin D1, cyclin E, and cyclin A2 peaked in consecutive order over a 24-hour period with concomitant increasing phosphorylation of pRb. The CDK inhibitor p21 exhibited an early transient peak similar to that of phospho-ERK1/2 with expression of both proteins declining as cells entered S-phase (Figure 1B). The fraction of cells positive for the proliferation marker Ki-67 decreased substantially after 24 hours of serum starvation, and proceeded through G0/G1 and S- phases after 12 and 16 hours of serum stimulation, respectively (Figure 1A).

We next used a Boyden chamber assay for examination of cell migratory capacity. Cells serum stimulated for up to 8 hours exhibited an average of 2.9 ± 0.15-fold increase in migration relative to actively cycling cells. Blots are representative, histograms and migration plots are averaged from at least three independent experiments. As Cell phase analysis by flow cytometry. B: Cyclin D1, cyclin E, cyclin A2, p21, pRb, phospho-pRb, ERK1/2, and phospho-ERK1/2 expression by Western blot. C: Ki-67 expression analyzed by immunocytochemistry. D: Cell migration analyzed by Boyden chamber assay. Twenty-four hour SM vs. 24 hours SFM + 8 hours SM, P < 0.001 two-tailed Student’s t-test. SM, serum medium; SFM, serum-free medium.
cells. After 12 and 16 hours, however, this had fallen to 1.2 ± 0.11 and 0.80 ± 0.11-fold of control, respectively (Figure 1D). These results suggest that resting G0/early G1 cells have greater migratory abilities compared with both late G1/S-phase and G2/M cells as well as unsynchronized, actively cycling cells.

MDA-MB-231 Cell Cycle Progression and Migration Are Partially Modulated through ERK1/2 Phosphorylation

As ERK1/2 phosphorylation has been established as participating in cell migratory and cycling activities,25–27 we decided to assess the significance of the observed phosphorylation of the protein after 4 and 8 hours of serum stimulation. For this, the specific inhibitor of the ERK1/2 phosphorylation protein MEK, U0126, was used with subsequent examination of MDA-MB-231 cell phase distribution and migration. Initial investigations revealed a detectable band of ERK1/2 phosphorylation 15 minutes after serum stimulation that increased and maintained intensity up to a 3 hours time point (Figure 2A). This band was absent after the inclusion of U0126 in the serum-containing media (Figure 2B). In addition, treatment with the inhibitor reduced the cells migratory ability by ~40% (Figure 2C) and prevented cell cycle progression to S-phase on serum stimulation (Figure 2D). Cyclin D1 protein levels were not affected by MEK inhibition (data not shown). Taken together, these results suggest that the migratory capacity of G0/early G1 cells is strongly influenced by, but not solely dependent on, ERK1/2 activity.

Silencing of the Cyclin D1 Gene Increases MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435 Cell Migration in a CDK4/6-Independent Manner

After the observed link between proliferation and migration in serum starved and partially synchronized cells we examined the role of the main G1-cyclin, cyclin D1, in a migratory and proliferative capacity with actively cycling MDA-MB-231 and -435 cell lines. Cyclin D1 protein was efficiently down-regulated using siRNA with subsequent diminished pRb phosphorylation and proliferation in MDA-MB-231 but not MDA-MB-435 cells (Figure 3, A, B and E, F). In parallel with the decrease in cyclin D1 expression, a substantial increase in ERK1/2 phosphorylation in MDA-MB-231 but not MDA-MB-435 cells was found. Interestingly, both cell lines displayed significantly increased migration after cyclin D1 silencing (1.7 ± 0.19 and 1.8 ± 0.14-fold, respectively) relative to control transfected cells in Boyden chamber assay (Figure 3, C and G) and by time-lapse microscopy in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 3D). A comparable result was found when using an alternative siRNA sequence targeting cyclin D1 in MDA-MB-231 cells by Boyden chamber assay (see Supplemental Figure 1, A and B at http://ajp.amjpathol.org).

Simultaneous silencing of the cyclin D1 associated kinases CDK4 and CDK6 in actively cycling MDA-MB-231 cells resulted in a similar reduction in pRb phosphorylation and proliferation with concurrent increase in ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Figure 3, H and I). Notably, cell migration was unaffected relative to control after CDK4/6 silencing (Figure 3J). These results indicate that increased migration in both MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435 cells after cyclin D1 silencing is ERK1/2 and CDK4/6 independent.

To further demonstrate that the migration difference observed after cyclin D1 silencing was not due to unspecified siRNA effects, we reintroduced cyclin D1 to cyclin D1 siRNA-silenced MDA-MB-231 cells. As illustrated in Figure 4, A and B, overexpression of the protein in cyclin D1 silenced cells decreased migration significantly compared to a control vector, again confirming the importance of cyclin D1 in breast cancer cell migratory behavior. To investigate whether the cyclin D1-mediated increase in migration was specific for a cell cycle phase we sorted siRNA transfected cells using the DNA dye

---

**Figure 2.** Effect of MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 on MDA-MB-231 cell phase distribution and migration. Cells were quiescent for 24 hours before serum stimulation in the absence or presence of 20 μmol/L U0126 and monitored at the indicated times for changes in phospho-ERK1/2 expression, cell phase distribution, and migration. Control is DMSO-treated cells. Blots are representative, and histograms and migration plots are averaged from at least three independent experiments. A: Short-term phospho-ERK1/2 expression by Western blot. Densitometric analysis of phospho-ERK1/2 bands was corrected with the values of respective total ERK1/2 bands and actin. B: Phospho-ERK1/2 expression after inhibitor treatment by Western blot. C: Cell migration analyzed by Boyden chamber assay. ***P < 0.001, two-tailed Student’s t-test. D: Cell phase distribution analyzed by flow cytometry. SM, serum medium; SFM, serum-free medium.
Hoechst 33342. As illustrated in Figure 5A, the most pronounced increase in migration (relative to control) after cyclin D1 silencing occurred in the S- and G2/M phases of the cell cycle, with limited effects in G0/G1 phase. An increase was also noted in migratory capacity of control siRNA treated G0/G1 cells compared to S and G2/M cells, confirming our initial observations in Figure 1A.

Differential nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of cyclin D1 was observed in a large proportion of actively cycling MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 5B) revealing cyclin D1 expression in multiple cell cycle phases.

Low Levels of Cyclin D1 Are Associated with an Exclusively Infiltrative Tumor Growth Pattern and Decreased Recurrence-Free Survival

Experimental findings were validated through examination of cyclin D1 protein expression in a primary breast cancer material consisting of 500 tumors from premenopausal patients. We focused on ER-positive tumors to obtain a more homogenous group of breast cancer with intact pRb machinery. As anticipated, strong cyclin D1 intensity correlated with both pRb phosphorylation and high Ki-67 expression (Figure 6A), but not with tumor grade or node status (Table 1). Notably, cyclin D1 intensity was inversely correlated to tumor size (Table 1 and Figure 6B) but no significant link was found between...
proliferation and tumor size (Table 1, summarized in Figure 6A).

Tumor growth pattern in relation to cyclin D1 expression was studied by defining tumors with an exclusively infiltrative growth pattern (86% of total ER+ tumors) and those with “pushing features” (14% of total ER+ tumors). There was a significant overrepresentation of exclusively infiltrative behaviors in cyclin D1 low and Ki-67 low tumors (Figure 6C) and contrastingly, pushing behaviors were linked to high cyclin D1 expression.

A further overrepresentation of absent or low cyclin D1 expression was observed in the highly infiltrative subgroup of lobular breast cancer (see Supplemental Table 1 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). Our findings of a negative link between cyclin D1 and tumor size as well as infiltrative behaviors in cyclin D1 low tumors supports the experimental findings that cyclin D1 down-regulation is linked to increased tumor migratory properties.

We next examined the relationship between cyclin D1, tumor size, and Ki-67 in relation to recurrence-free survival (RFS) in the untreated ER+ cohort. Interestingly, cyclin D1 was positively and Ki-67 negatively associated with RFS in a multivariate analysis independent of tumor grade and lymph node status (Table 2), despite a positive link between cyclin D1 and proliferation (Table 1). We next combined cyclin D1 and Ki-67 expression, constructing four subgroups: cyD1low/Ki-67low, cyD1low/Ki-67high, cyD1high/Ki-67low, and cyD1high/Ki-67high. The best and worst clinical outcomes were represented by the cyD1high/Ki-67low and cyD1low/Ki-67high, respectively (Figure 6D, left panel). Similar results were found when tamoxifen-treated patients were included in the analysis (Figure 6D, right panel). These results indicate that absent or low levels of cyclin D1 are associated with malignant properties irrespective of proliferative status, further validating our experimental findings.

Silencing of the Cyclin D1 and CDK4/6 Genes in MDA-MB-231 Cells Impacts on Migration-Related Cellular Processes

We next examined the response to cyclin D1 and CDK4/6 silencing using a gene expression microarray approach, identifying a number of significantly altered transcripts (see Supplemental Tables 2–5 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org) and used the GoMiner program28 to interpret the cell processes affected. As expected, numerous proliferation-associated processes were altered
and notably, a number of processes traditionally associated with migration (including spindle, cytoskeleton and microtubule changes) were increased in cyclin D1 siRNA, relative to CDK4/6 siRNA-treated cells, when both were compared to control (Figure 7A).

Through direct comparison of the cyclin D1 and CDK4/6 silenced gene lists we identified a set of gene products that were only changed by cyclin D1 and not CDK4/6 silencing (see Supplemental Table 6 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org), potentially harboring candidate genes mediating the cyclin D1 linked migration effect.

Finally, we compared our differentially expressed cyclin D1 silenced genes (relative to control silenced) to published gene sets and known functional gene sets using gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) software. Of 472 sets of genes whose products are involved in ‘metabolic and signaling pathways’ and 50 previously published sets containing genes coregulated in response to ‘genetic and chemical perturbations’,29 two of the most significant negatively enriched gene sets corresponded to the ‘Vernell pRb cluster 1 study’30 (cluster 1 genes are down-regulated by pRb) and the ‘G1 to S cell cycle reactome’ (Figure 7B). As cyclin D1 is central in both processes these results indicate the validity of using the GSEA software to compare our gene set to published data. Notably, our gene set was also negatively enriched in genes (eg, FBXO5, MAD2L1, EZH2) correlated to poor prognosis in breast cancer patients in the previously published van’t Veer et al study (Figure 7C).31 These results further implicate loss of cyclin D1 in breast cancer cell migration and malignant tumor properties.

**Discussion**

Tumor cell migratory and proliferative processes are central to the initiation and progression of tumor metastatic events, and previous reports have proposed a contrasting relationship between proliferative and infiltrative behaviors in cancer.15,32,33 Although it is not generally applicable to all malignancies, this may reflect relevant biological characteristics of certain tumors and be of potential clinical significance. Hence, we have delineated how tumor cells combine proliferation with the process of migration in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* settings.

Our initial investigations demonstrated that when quiescent and subsequently serum-stimulated MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells progress through cell cycle, they have a peak migratory capacity during G0/G1 phases, which declines as they progress into S-phase. Serum stimulation of quiescent cells most likely evokes a multitude of signaling events, making it challenging to derive...
simple causal connections between proliferative and migratory responses. Despite this, the overall impression from the experiments clearly suggests that these activities are to some extent coordinated. The decreased migratory potential concomitant with S-phase entrance is most likely influenced by increased cell cycle activity. In addition, the starved G_0 population displayed a significantly increased migratory capacity compared to asynchronously growing populations containing few resting G_0 cells. This suggests that cells in G_0 have a higher migratory potential compared to actively cycling cells and are more prone to migrate toward chemo-attractant gradients. Moreover, actively cycling cells that continuously cycle between a modified G_1-phase and M-phase, might have difficulties in combining a high level of proliferation with efficient migration. This interpretation is supported by our previous work where cyclin E overexpression with efficient migration. This interpretation is supported by previous reports showing that cyclin D1 has distinct functions in different cell cycle phases. Nevertheless suggests that with regard to migratory potential, it is mainly S and G_2/M cells that are affected by cyclin D1 silencing (Figure 5A). In contrast, synchronized cells having high migratory capacity with concurrent high cyclin D1 levels were predominantly in G_0/G_1 phase. This tends toward a hypothesis that high cyclin D1 can be tolerated in G_0/G_1 migratory cells, whereas S and G_2/M cells have a migratory advantage with reduced cyclin D1. Moreover it suggests that cyclin D1 has distinct functions in different cell cycle phases. This may also account for the modest, yet significant, increase in migration of cyclin D1 silenced actively cycling cells consisting of a mixed population of cell cycle phases.

As the mitogen-activated protein (MAP)-kinase pathway has been shown to regulate many cellular processes, including proliferation and migration, we assessed the role of one of its members, ERK1/2, in influencing these properties of MDA-MB-231 cells. Our findings indicate that its activity is not only necessary for cell cycle progression but is also instrumental in migration. Moreover, silencing of cyclin D1 and CDK4/6 in these cells elevated ERK1/2 phosphorylation, indicating a novel mechanism whereby cyclin D1-CDK4/6 complexes are able to regulate ERK1/2 activity. Initially, the increased migratory capacity of cyclin D1 siRNA-silenced cells appeared to be related to this elevation in ERK1/2 activity, however two results challenged this hypothesis. Silencing of CDK4/6 resulted in an elevated ERK1/2 activity without affecting migration and cyclin D1 knock-down in MDA-MB-435 cells increased the migratory capacity without affecting ERK1/2 activity. This implies an ERK1/2-independent regulation of migration by cyclin D1.

These observations were further reflected in cyclin D1 silenced MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435 cells, where despite differences in the size of the G_0/G_1 populations and phospho-ERK1/2 expression between these cell types, migratory capacity was equivalently increased. Moreover, even though silencing of the cyclin D1 binding partners CDK4/6 increased the G_0/G_1 population, it did not enhance the migratory capacity of the MDA-MB-231 cells. This suggests cyclin D1 is able to modulate tumor cell migration by mechanisms that are both CDK independent and unrelated to the role of cyclin D1 in regulating proliferation. This assessment is supported by previous reports showing that cyclin D1 exerts CDK independent functions through binding and regulation of several transcription factors such as the estrogen and androgen receptors, DMP1, STAT3, SP1, and C/EBPβ. Addition, several cyclin D1-bound genes have recently been identified in developing mouse retinas and gene expression profiles of wild-type and cyclin D1 knock-out mouse retinas suggesting that cyclin D1 can both activate and down-regulate gene transcription, independently of CDKs.

The finding that high cyclin D1 levels would confer a less migratory phenotype is somewhat contradicted by the rapid up-regulation of cyclin D1 during the synchronized cell phase experiments, where the migratory capacity peaks. Our data from flow cytometry sorted siRNA-treated cells in different cell cycle phases nevertheless suggests that with regard to migratory potential, it is mainly S and G_2/M cells that are affected by cyclin D1 silencing (Figure 5A). In contrast, synchronized cells having high migratory capacity with concurrent high cyclin D1 levels were predominantly in G_0/G_1 phase. This tends toward a hypothesis that high cyclin D1 can be tolerated in G_0/G_1 migratory cells, whereas S and G_2/M cells have a migratory advantage with reduced cyclin D1. Moreover it suggests that cyclin D1 has distinct functions in different cell cycle phases. This may also account for the modest, yet significant, increase in migration of cyclin D1 silenced actively cycling cells consisting of a mixed population of cell cycle phases.

Previous studies have attempted to address the role of cyclin D1 in breast cancer cell migration and Pestell et al demonstrated cyclin D1-/- mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) and mouse mammary epithelial cells (MECs) cells have a reduced capacity to migrate. Ectopic cyclin D1 expression in these cells resulted in an increased movement velocity and this effect was dependent on the ability of cyclin D1 to interact with CDK4 and p27. In our model, the increased migration induced by silencing of cyclin D1 occurred in the absence of p27 and could not be mimicked by CDK knock-down. This implies that the link between cyclin D1 and migration reported here is not necessarily comparable with earlier reports. Future studies will address whether silencing of cyclin D1 in varying
subtypes of breast cancer cells increases their migratory capacity, however it is more likely that a genetic background of abnormalities influences how cyclin D1 affects cell migration machinery.

To validate our experimental findings we analyzed cyclin D1 in a large cohort of ER+ premenopausal breast cancer patients. We focused on ER+ breast tumors, as ER- tumors are often cyclin D1 negative, pRb-inactivated, and highly proliferative, thus representing a separate entity of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{38,39} Translating our experimental results to the in vivo situation would imply that increased cyclin D1 levels should hamper infiltrative behavior, leading to overall reduced tumor aggressiveness. As expected increased cyclin D1 expression correlated to higher proliferation, however cyclin D1 was inversely correlated with tumor size, which in turn, was not linked to proliferation. Tumor size is a complex parameter that reflects many clinical aspects, including both tumor cell proliferation and infiltrative properties. As the size of a tumor is defined as the largest diameter including tumor cells marked and measured on a hematoxylin-eosin stained slide, a tumor comprising highly invasive but slowly proliferating cells may generally be of larger size when compared to one which is highly proliferative and less infiltrative. In this regard, our observation that tumors expressing low levels of cyclin D1 were, in general, of larger size, is in line with our in vitro findings.

We have previously analyzed the relationship between cyclin E and tumor growth pattern and found cyclin E high tumors to present with a less infiltrative, pushing growth pattern.\textsuperscript{15,24} Despite the limitation of a small number of low infiltrative ER+ tumors in this cohort, a significant association was found between high levels of proliferation and the presence of a pushing growth pattern, and tumors with the highest cyclin D1 expression were overrepresented among tumors with pushing features relative to the exclusively infiltrative cases. Together with the observation of an inverse association between cyclin D1 and tumor size, this could indicate that increased cyclin D1 expression impedes infiltrative behavior in vivo.

In the multivariate Cox analysis of untreated ER+ patients, cyclin D1 was an independent prognostic factor, inversely linked to recurrence-free survival (Table 2). Moreover, adding Ki-67 to our analysis successfully defined subgroups of patients with significantly different outcome and implied that cyclin D1 is linked to favorable tumor properties that affect disease outcome independently of both grade and node status.

It is pertinent to mention that our choice of cell lines (MDA-MB-231, -435) does not directly match the properties exhibited by tumors in our cohort, and are ER-, PR-, E-cadherin\textsuperscript{-41,42} negative. However, these cell lines were chosen for their highly migratory and invasive qualities.\textsuperscript{43} They are also vimentin-positive\textsuperscript{43} and represent mesenchymal-like cells in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) system. The exact link between proliferation and migration in relation to EMT needs to be further clarified in future studies, because EMT is relevant regarding both malignant and migratory properties in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{44,45}

Finally, to identify gene candidates that may be increasing migration in response to decreased cyclin D1 expression we performed a gene expression microarray experiment. Transcripts associated with cyclin D1 as well as CDK4/6 silencing were identified separately, and there was an overrepresentation of changes in processes associated with migration in the cyclin D1 siRNA-treated cells compared to CDK4/6 siRNA-treated cells (Figure 7A). These results further support the observation from Boydren chamber and time lapse experiments that cyclin D1 has the capacity to influence breast cancer cell migration. As we only observed migratory differences in cyclin D1 and not CDK4/6-silenced cells, we compared the microarray results for the two treatments to identify proteins potentially mediating this effect, while excluding pure cell cycle-dependent changes. Transcripts up- or down-regulated in the cyclin D1 siRNA treated cells, but unaffected or moderately changed by the CDK4/6 siRNA (see Supplemental Table 6 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org) include YAP1,46 CNN2,47 PTP4A1,48 ID1,49 IRS2,50 and MAPK6\textsuperscript{51} which have been linked to migration related processes and are potentially important mediators in proliferation and migration responses in tumors. However, these candidate genes require significant experimental analysis before any roles in proliferation and migration with regard to cyclin D1 can be validated. Transcripts associated with cyclin D1 silencing showed negative enrichment of genes proposed by van’t Veer et al to predict poor prognosis and a short interval to distant metastasis\textsuperscript{31} in premenopausal breast cancer, again linking cyclin D1 with a migratory phenotype in patient samples.

Our results indicate several key processes in migration may be influenced by the cyclin D1-specific effect (microtubule linked processes, actin binding, cytoskeleton, focal adhesion), and it is likely that the mechanism by which cyclin D1 executes functions is highly complex and not mediated by a single pathway or gene product. In summary, our findings establish a clear function for cyclin D1 in tumor cell migration as well as proliferation and further support an important role for the protein in defining malignant behaviors in premenopausal breast cancer.
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