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We present magnetization measurements on the single molecule magnet Mn₆, revealing various tunnel transitions inconsistent with a giant-spin description. We propose a dimeric model of the molecule with two coupled spins $S=6$, which involves crystal-field anisotropy, symmetric Heisenberg exchange interaction, and antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange interaction. We show that this simplified model of the molecule explains the experimentally observed tunnel transitions and that the antisymmetric exchange interaction between the spins gives rise to tunneling processes between spin states belonging to different spin multiplets.
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Single-molecule magnets (SMMs) have been studied intensively in recent years because of the unique crossover between classical and quantum physics.¹⁻⁴ The macroscopic observation of quantum phenomena such as tunneling between different spin states or quantum interference between tunneling paths give the possibility of studying in detail the quantum-mechanical laws in nanoscale molecular systems, and also might provide substantial information concerning the implementation of spin based solid-state qubits.⁵⁻⁸

During the last ten years the spin system of SMMs has mainly been described by a single macroscopic spin and the associated tunneling processes were transitions inside a multiplet with total spin $S$, i.e., transitions that conserve the total spin $S$ of the molecule.⁹⁻¹¹ Recent developments in the field of molecular magnetism go beyond this giant-spin approximation.¹²⁻¹⁵ When describing the molecule as an object composed of several superexchange-coupled spins $s_j$, the total spin $S$ of the molecule is not fixed but several multiplets with different total spin $S$ appear, and, as a consequence, the allowed tunnel transitions and relaxation paths of the spin system increase considerably. The associated tunnel processes between different spin states in this multiplet description do not need to conserve the total spin $S$ of the molecule. Recently Carretta et al.¹⁴ showed evidence of this quantum superposition of spin states with different total spin length in the molecule magnet Cr₇Ni by inelastic neutron scattering (INS). In fact, when introducing an antisymmetric exchange coupling (Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction) between the spins $s_j$ that compose the molecule, the superposition of a symmetric and an antisymmetric spin state becomes possible. The associated tunneling process and quantum interference effects of different tunneling paths have been observed recently in a Mn₁₂-based molecular wheel.¹⁵

In this Brief Report we report the observation of quantum tunneling between spin states with different total spin $S$ in a Mn₆-based SMM having presently the highest anisotropy barrier of 89 K.¹⁷ A theoretical model is proposed that describes the molecule as an exchange-coupled system of only two separated spins $s_j=6$. The experimentally obtained tunnel splittings that uses the Landau-Zener method of various symmetric and antisymmetric tunnel transitions are compared to this theoretical model.

The SMM has the chemical formula $\{\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_{6}\text{O}_{2}\text{(Et-sao)}_{6}\text{O}_{2}\text{CPh}(\text{Me})_{12}\text{(EtOH)}_{6}\}^{\text{II}}$ and will be called briefly Mn₆.¹⁷ The six Mn atoms, each having a spin $s_j=2$, form the core of the molecule, and they are strongly superexchange coupled and act as a macroscopic spin $S=12$ at low temperature. Recent work by Carretta et al. employing INS shows evidence of very low-lying excited spin multiplets in Mn₆, resulting in the breakdown of the giant-spin model. In contrast to Carretta et al. we propose to describe the molecule by two superexchange-coupled spin triangles, each of them being described by a rigid total spin $S=6$ (see inset of Fig. 1). This molecular dimer description is in very good agreement with the INS measurements and simulations shown by Carretta et al. This simplified model gives identical results concerning the low-lying spin multiplets, and has the great advantage of a small Hilbert and parameter space compared to

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Zeeman diagram of the dimeric molecule Mn₆ using the longitudinal anisotropy constant $D=1.28$ K, an isotropic Heisenberg exchange interaction $J=0.8$ K, and $g=1.99$. Due to the exchange interaction some excited spin multiplets are located only a few Kelvin above the ground state. The inset shows a simplified model of the magnetic core of the Mn₆ molecule. Two ferromagnetically coupled spin triangles, each having a total spin $S=6$, form the dimeric molecule.](image-url)
the description of the Mn$_6$ molecule in Ref. 16. Each of the two ferromagnetically coupled spins of the molecular dimer $S_1=S_2=\frac{1}{2}$ can be described by the spin Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = -D(S_1^z)^2 + \hat{O}(4) - g \mu_B H_0 S_1 \cdot \hat{H},$$

where $S_1^+$, $S_1^-$, and $S_1^z$ are the vector components of the $i$th spin operator, $g = 1.99$ is the gyromagnetic factor, and $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton.\textsuperscript{17} The first term describes the uniaxial anisotropy of the molecule with longitudinal anisotropy parameter $D$ and the second term contains fourth-order crystal-field anisotropy terms. The last term is the Zeeman interaction of the spin $S_1$ with an external magnetic field $\hat{H}$.

The exchange interaction of the two halves of the molecule can be described by

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ex}} = -JS_1 \cdot S_2 + D_{12} \cdot (S_1 \times S_2),$$

where the first term describes the isotropic Heisenberg exchange interaction with exchange constant $J$ and the second term is an antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction between the two spins.

Exact diagonalization of the total spin Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 + \hat{H}_{\text{ex}}$ leads to the energy spectrum shown in Fig. 1. The lowest lying spin states belong to the $S=12$ multiplet. Due to the ferromagnetic exchange the first-excited spin multiplet $|S=11, M_S=\pm 11\rangle$ is located at about 25 K above the ground-state doublet $|S=12, M_S=\pm 12\rangle$ in zero magnetic field.

In the following we will discuss the different level crossings not in the eigenbasis of the total spin of the molecule $|S, M_S\rangle$. As the total spin of the molecule may fluctuate, we chose the more convenient eigenbasis of the two single spins of the molecule $|S_1, m_1\rangle \otimes |S_2, m_2\rangle = |m_1, m_2\rangle$. The ground-state doublet can be expressed as $|12, \pm 12\rangle = |\pm 6, \pm 6\rangle$ and the first doublet of the first-excited multiplet reads $|11, \pm 11\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|\pm 6, \pm 5\rangle - |\pm 5, \pm 6\rangle)$. The lowest lying spin eigenstates belonging to the ground-state multiplet $S=12$ are symmetric in respect to a permutation of the two spins in the product base $|m_1\rangle \otimes |m_2\rangle$, whereas the eigenstates of the $S = 11$ multiplet are antisymmetric. When we look at the probability to tunnel from one spin state to another, we see immediately that most of the terms in the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ are symmetric and therefore only provide coupling between symmetric spin states. The only antisymmetric term in the Hamiltonian is the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange interaction, and as a consequence this term can provide a coupling between a symmetric and an antisymmetric spin state, i.e., this term couples spin states of the ground-state multiplet $S = 12$ and the first-excited multiplet $S=11$.

The magnetic measurements on a single, micrometer-sized crystal were carried out in a dilution refrigerator employing a vector magnet system and a Hall sensor.\textsuperscript{18} The easy axis of the magnetization of the crystal was parallel to the $z$ direction of the applied magnetic field. The tunnel splittings of the anticrossings were determined following the Landau-Zener technique.\textsuperscript{5,19-22}

Figure 2 shows some magnetization measurements at low temperature for large transverse magnetic fields at different field sweep rates. Equally spaced and very pronounced steps of the magnetization appear at approximately $\mu_0 H_z = 0.45$, 0.9, 1.35, and 1.8 T. In between these tunnel transitions, we observe a fine structure of smaller steps, which occur at approximately $\mu_0 H_z = 1.2$ and 1.65 T.

Figure 3 shows the derivatives of the magnetization curves of Fig. 2 as well as the corresponding Zeeman diagram with the lowest energy levels. The main steps of magnetization, equally spaced by $\Delta \mu_0 H_z = 0.45$ T, can be explained in the framework of a giant-spin approximation when describing the molecule by a collective spin $S=12$. We checked that the fine structure is not due to spin-spin cross relaxation.\textsuperscript{20} However, the fine structure in the magnetization steps is related to excited spin multiplets. These steps can be understood when considering excited spin multiplets in the multispin approach. The tunnel transition at $\mu_0 H_z = 0.45$ T involves the symmetric eigenstates $|-6,6\rangle$ and $\frac{1}{2}(|6,5\rangle + |5,6\rangle)$. In fact, in between the main, equally spaced tunnel transitions, several avoided level crossings appear, involving excited spin multiplets [as shown by the blue dots in Fig. 3(a)]. As an example, the avoided level crossing at $\mu_0 H_z = 0.75$ T involves the symmetric $|-6,6\rangle$ and the antisymmetric eigenstates $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|6,5\rangle - |5,6\rangle)$. The tunnel process at $\mu_0 H_z = 1.2$ T involves the symmetric $|-6,6\rangle$ and the antisymmetric eigenstates $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|6,4\rangle - |4,6\rangle)$. The observed avoided level crossings in our experiments allow us to determine the longitudinal anisotropy parameter $D=1.28$ K and the isotropic exchange constant $J=0.8$ K.

Figure 4 shows the tunnel splittings $\Delta$ of the different level anticrossings within the ground-state multiplet (at $\mu_0 H_z = 0.45, 0.9$, and 1.35 T) and the ones involving excited spin multiplets (at $\mu_0 H_z = 1.2$ and 1.65 T) as a function of the transverse magnetic field $\mu_0 H_z$. Note that the tunnel splittings of the two antisymmetric level anticrossings around $\mu_0 H_z = 1.6$ T could not be studied seperately as they are too close. In order to determine the tunnel splittings, the longi-
The longitudinal magnetic field was swept over a level anticrossing with fixed sweep rate \( \frac{dH_z}{dt} = 68 \text{ mT/s} \) and fixed transverse magnetic field \( H_x \), and the probability of tunneling from one state to the other was measured by means of the magnetization decrease in the saturated sample. The tunnel probability \( P_{m,m'} \) between two spin states \( m \) and \( m' \) is given by the Landau-Zener formula
\[
P_{m,m'} = 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{\pi \Delta_{m,m'}^2}{2 \hbar \mu_B |m - m'| \mu_0 dH_z / dt} \right),
\]
which allows calculation of the tunnel splitting of the avoided level crossing \( \Delta_{m,m'} \) when \( P_{m,m'} < 1 \). Note, that \( P_{m,m'} < 1 \) is not fulfilled for very high transverse magnetic fields and therefore the experimentally obtained tunnel splittings are only estimates of a lower bound of \( \Delta_{m,m'} \). The experimentally obtained tunnel splittings lie in the range of \( 10^{-7} \) K for all the observed transitions and they rapidly increase when applying transverse magnetic fields \( \mu_0 H_x > 3 \) T.

We found that the tunnel splittings of the anticrossings between symmetric states are mainly determined by the symmetric spin operators such as the second- and fourth-order anisotropy terms or the Heisenberg exchange interaction. However the splitting between a symmetric and an antisymmetric spin state is given by the matrix element involving antisymmetric spin operators, i.e., in the framework of our model the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. When analyzing the tunnel splitting between a symmetric and an antisymmetric spin state we can get an estimate of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction parameter \( D_{12} \). Further on, the magnitude of the tunnel splitting between symmetric spin states can be used to fix the parameters \( D, J \), and possible fourth-order parameters.

Numerical simulations of the tunnel splittings by exact diagonalization of the above defined Hamiltonian show that the isotropic exchange and weak higher order transverse anisotropy terms, together with a transverse magnetic field comparable to the one used in the experiments, give rise to tunnel splittings between states of the ground-state multiplet on the order of \( 10^{-7} \) K. The magnitude of the tunnel splittings is well reproduced when introducing a weak fourth-order spin operator term as proposed by Carretta et al.\(^{16}\) The strong increase in the tunnel splitting \( \Delta_{m,m'} \) for large transverse magnetic fields is also well reproduced in the framework of this model.

The large tunnel splittings between symmetric and antisymmetric spin states cannot be reproduced by any symmetric spin operator such as second- and fourth-order crystal-field anisotropy terms or the Heisenberg exchange interaction. However, the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange interaction can provide the quite large coupling between the symmetric and antisymmetric spin states. Nu-
Numerical simulations show that a vector of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction $\vec{D}_{12}$ with components $D_x=D_y=D_z=10$ mK gives rise to tunnel splittings of the order of the experimentally observed ones. In particular, the calculated tunnel splitting at $\mu_0 H = 0.75$ T turns out to be at least one order of magnitude smaller than the one at $\mu_0 H = 1.2$ T. This is consistent with our experiments, as we did not observe any clear and pronounced step in the magnetization curves at $\mu_0 H = 0.75$ T. The corresponding tunnel splitting is theoretical—with the parameters given above and transverse magnetic fields below 4 T—smaller than $10^{-8}$ K, and therefore too small to be measured with our experimental technique.

In conclusion, we presented magnetization measurements on the SMM $\text{Mn}_6$, revealing various tunnel transitions, which are forbidden in the framework of a giant-spin approximation. We propose to describe the $\text{Mn}_6$ SMM as a molecular dimer of two coupled spins $S=6$. The introduction of an antisymmetric exchange interaction leads to the superposition of spin states with different spin length. This superposition of spin states belonging to different multiplets leads to additional tunnel transitions, which are observed in our experiments and are in perfect agreement to our theoretical model. This multispin description goes far beyond the standard giant-spin approximation and is capable of explaining the experimentally observed tunnel transitions. This dimeric model of the molecule is confirmed by numerical calculations of the positions and the magnitude of the tunnel splittings, which are consistent with the experimental results.
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