Predicting sudden death in patients with mild to moderate chronic heart failure

Citation for published version:

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.1136/hrt.2003.021733

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:
Heart

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Predicting sudden death in patients with mild to moderate chronic heart failure


**Objectives:** To explore the relation between non-invasive measures of cardiac function and sudden cardiac death, as well as the development and utility of an index integrating these variables to identify patients at increased risk of this mode of death.

**Design:** UK-HEART (United Kingdom-heart failure evaluation and assessment of risk trial) was a prospective study conducted between December 1993 and April 2000. The study was specifically designed to identify non-invasive markers of death and mode of death among patients with chronic heart failure.

**Setting:** 8 UK general hospitals.

**Main outcome measures:** Death and mode of death.

**Results:** 553 patients aged a mean (SD) of 63 (10) years, in New York Heart Association functional class 2.3 (0.02), recruited prospectively. After 2365 patient-years’ follow up, 201 patients had died (67 suddenly). Predictors of sudden death were greater cardiothoracic ratio, QRS dispersion, QT dispersion corrected for rate (QTc) across leads V1–V6 on the 12 lead ECG, and the presence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia. The hazard ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of sudden death for a 10% increase in cardiothoracic ratio was 1.43 (95% CI 1.20 to 1.71), for a 10% increase in QRS dispersion 1.11 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.19), for the presence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia 2.03 (95% CI 1.27 to 3.25), and for a 10% increase in QTc dispersion across leads V1–V6 1.03 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.07) (all \( p < 0.04 \)). An index derived from these four factors performed well in identifying patients specifically at increased risk of sudden death.

**Conclusions:** Results show that an index derived from three widely available non-invasive investigations has the potential to identify ambulant patients with chronic heart failure at increased risk of sudden death. This predictive tool could be used to target more sophisticated investigations or interventions aimed at preventing sudden death.

**Abbreviations:** CHF, chronic heart failure; CI, confidence interval; MADIT II, multicentre automatic defibrillator implantation trial II; NYHA, New York Heart Association; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; QTc, QT interval corrected for rate; UK-HEART, United Kingdom-heart failure evaluation and assessment of risk trial
A number of carefully performed population studies have reported that up to 40% of incident and 50–60% of prevalent CHF cases occur in the setting of preserved left ventricular function. Consonant with this, a reduced ejection fraction was not an absolute requirement for entry into UK-HEART. Patients were excluded if they had a co-morbid condition associated with impaired autonomic function (including diabetes mellitus). Other exclusion criteria have been documented previously. Studies were carried out in accordance with the standards of the local ethical committees and with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave written informed consent to take part in the study.

Clinical data collection
At the time of recruitment, a case record form detailing baseline clinical and demographic data was completed for all patients. An erect posteroanterior chest radiograph was obtained and the cardiothoracic ratio was measured. A venous blood sample was taken at rest for assessment of electrolyte concentration and of renal and liver function. Two dimensional and M mode echocardiography was performed in accordance with the American Society of Echocardiography recommendations. Left ventricular cavity dimensions and ejection fraction were derived from the M mode echocardiograms with standard formulas. Study patients were registered with the UK national death reporting scheme, which notified the steering committee of all deaths.

Twelve lead ECG analysis
Standard 12 lead ECGs were recorded at 25 mm/s and analysed by a senior cardiologist blinded to patient characteristics. The QT interval and QRS durations were measured manually and in the case of QT, corrected for rate (QTc) as previously described. To evaluate the possible role of local dispersion of repolarisation in predicting sudden death we calculated QTc dispersion across leads V1–V6. QTc and QRS dispersions were defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum QTc or QRS values, respectively, occurring in any of the 12 ECG leads or leads V1–V6. Left ventricular hypertrophy was assessed by the Sokolow-Lyon voltage criteria.

Ambulatory ECG
Twenty four hour ambulatory ECGs (Tracker, Reynolds Medical, Herford, UK) were obtained from all patients during normal, unrestricted, out of hospital activity. Recordings were analysed with a Reynolds Medical Pathfinder system by independent technical staff blinded to patient characteristics. Time and frequency domain were analysed as previously described. Non-sustained ventricular tachycardia was defined as three or more consecutive ventricular extrasystoles at a rate > 120 beats/min.

Classification of mode of death
Classification criteria for the cause of death were defined before the study commenced and were based on established definitions. All deaths reported to the steering committee were evaluated by at least two senior physicians, who reviewed death certificates, necropsy findings, and hospital and general practitioners’ records. The mode of death was classified as follows: (1) sudden if it occurred within one hour of a change in symptoms or during sleep or while the patient was unobserved and had previously been clinically stable; (2) progressive heart failure if death occurred after a documented period of symptomatic or haemodynamic deterioration; (3) other cardiovascular if death did not occur suddenly and was not associated with progression of heart failure—this category included patients who died of acute coronary syndromes; and (4) non-cardiovascular death.

Cox proportional hazards regression
Stepwise Cox proportional hazards regression was used to determine which measurements were significantly related to mortality during the follow up period. To maximise the number of observations available for the present analysis, missing values for any variable were estimated by multiple regression from their relation with other variables by using the missing data facility within SPSS. Natural logarithms were used for all heart rate variability measurements and cardiothoracic ratio.

Variables entered into the sudden death model
In initial univariate and multivariate analyses neither time nor frequency domain measurements of heart rate variability were significant independent predictors of sudden death and therefore were not considered in the present model (table 1). Age, sex, the presence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and left ventricular hypertrophy, left ventricular end diastolic and end systolic diameters, ejection fraction, sodium, potassium, urea, and creatinine concentrations, the logarithm of the cardiothoracic ratio, natural logarithms of QTc dispersion, QTc dispersion across leads V1–V6, maximum QTc interval, and QRS dispersion were all univariate predictors of sudden death and were therefore entered into the statistical model. The assumption of proportional hazards was tested and was fulfilled. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are presented. Kaplan-Meier cumulative mortality curves were produced to display the proportion of patients free from sudden death over time for each of the independent predictors.

Derivation and discrimination of predictive model
A prognostic index to predict sudden death was derived for each patient based on the Cox proportional hazards model. To maximise the information supplied from the Cox model, the parameter estimates were used to obtain an index. A score for each of the significant independent predictors was calculated for each patient by multiplying their value of the factor with the parameter estimate. A sudden cardiac death index for each patient was then derived from the sum of these scores. We used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis to quantify the diagnostic accuracy of our index. ROC curves plot the sensitivity against 1 – specificity by varying the threshold value for the test. They illustrate which threshold is a good compromise between high sensitivity and high specificity. The area under the curve (C statistic) was calculated. An area of 0.5 indicates that the test results are no better than those obtained by chance, whereas an area of 1.0 indicates a perfectly sensitive and specific test.

RESULTS
Characteristics of UK-HEART population
Five hundred and fifty three patients were recruited. The patients’ mean (SEM) age was 62.7 (0.41) years (range 18–85 years) and 76% were men. Two per cent were in NYHA class I,
59% in class II, and 39% in class III. The average NYHA functional class was 2.3 (0.02). Mean (SD) creatinine concentration was 121 (1.8) μmol/l (range 60–340 μmol/l). Mean (SD) sodium concentration was 140 (0.14) mmol/l (range 122–148 mmol/l). Seventy six per cent of patients had ischaemic heart disease as the cause of their heart failure, 199 (36%) had non-sustained ventricular tachycardia, and 54 (9.8%) had left ventricular hypertrophy. The majority of patients (81%) were treated with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (mean (SD) dose of 12.4 (0.34) mg (enalapril equivalent)) and loop diuretics (97% of patients, mean (SD) furosemide (frusemide) dose 75.4 (2.9) mg). Nineteen per cent of patients were taking digoxin (mean dose 198 (7.12) μg), 14% amiodarone (all patients 200 mg), and 7.9% atenolol (mean dose 43.7 (1.6) mg). Data on five year survival status was available for all patients. At five years 30% with a QRS duration ≥120 ms benefited most from implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. To explore the possibility that the prognostic value of QRS dispersion was simply a reflection of maximum QRS duration, we analysed the same model but with QRS dispersion being replaced by QRS minimum and QRS maximum durations. While QRS maximum alone also gave predictive information it did not reach the same level of significance as QRS dispersion.

**Characteristics of survivors and of patients who died suddenly and non-suddenly**

Comparing the characteristics of survivors, patients who died suddenly, and those who died non-suddenly showed a significant difference between the three groups. However, the value of any measurement to predict sudden death is in its ability to identify patients at increased risk of sudden death and to discriminate between patients who die suddenly and those who die non-suddenly. In the present cohort the phenotypes of these patients were very similar in demographic, echocardiographic, and ECG data. No single measurement alone separated the two groups (table 3). Hence we integrated the four independent predictors of sudden death to develop a predictive model.

### Predictors of sudden cardiac death

Cox multivariate independent predictors of sudden death shown in table 2 were cardiothoracic ratio, QRS dispersion, QTc dispersion across leads V1–V6, and the presence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia. Kaplan-Meier curves (fig 1) show the proportion of patients free from sudden death with variables dichotomised by median values or in the case of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia its presence or absence.

In a preliminary report (follow up 482 (161) days) with only 18 sudden deaths serum potassium was a weak predictor of sudden death. In the present analysis, which has far greater statistical power and incorporated QTc and QRS measurements in the sudden death model, potassium is not an independent predictor of sudden death.

### QRS dispersion or maximum QRS as a predictor of sudden death

The recently published MADIT II (multicentre automatic defibrillator implantation trial II) suggested that patients with previous myocardial infarction and an ejection fraction < 30% with a QRS duration > 120 ms benefited most from implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. To explore the possibility that the prognostic value of QRS dispersion was simply

### Table 1 Univariate logistic regression for time and frequency domain heart rate variability measurements and sudden death status at five years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Odds ratio for sudden death for every 10% decrease in variable (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDNN (ms)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.88 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low frequency power (ms²)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.95 to 1.08)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low frequency power (ms²)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.78 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High frequency power (ms²)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.84 to 1.14)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total power (ms²)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.95 to 1.05)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; SDNN, standard deviation of all NN intervals.

### Table 2 Cox Multivariate predictors of sudden death at five years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (SEM) parameter estimates</th>
<th>Cox multivariate analysis hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiotoracic ratio (10% increase)</td>
<td>3.75 (0.96)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.20 to 1.71)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSVT (present)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.24)</td>
<td>2.03 (1.27 to 3.25)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS dispersion (10% increase)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.36)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc dispersion V1–V6 (10% increase)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.17)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.00 to 1.07)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p Values are based on Cox proportional hazards model.
NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia; QTc, QT interval corrected for rate.
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Accuracy of the predictive model in CHF subgroups

To further explore the diagnostic accuracy of this index we constructed ROC curves (not shown). The C statistic for this index to predict sudden death within the whole UK-HEART population was 0.71 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.78). We further analysed patients with ischaemic heart disease and ejection fractions less than 45%. Applied to this patient group (n = 262) the index improved in its predictive value and discrimination (C statistic 0.76, 95% CI 0.68 to 0.84). When the group of patients with ejection fractions < 45% and ischaemic heart disease was divided into deciles, for patients with the highest score (39 patients) the index had a positive predictive value of 38.5, negative predictive value of 89.7, sensitivity of 39.5, and specificity of 89.3. We used the bootstrap method to validate this model and found that with 20,000 bootstrap samples, 95% of sensitivity values fell between 54.7% and 84.2% indicating that the index has good potential to be useful in another similar population of CHF patients.

DISCUSSION

It is well established that patients with mild to moderate CHF are at relatively greater risk of sudden death than patients with more substantial functional impairment. Unfortunately there has been no reliable and simple method of identifying “high risk” patients within this group. The present report describes a number of novel and potentially important findings. Firstly, a chest radiograph, 12 lead ECG, and 24 hour ECG can provide information allowing the identification of patients at substantially increased risk of sudden death. Secondly, measurement of QT dispersion across leads V1–V6 provides useful prognostic information, whereas QT dispersion across the 12 lead ECG does not. Thirdly, in the present population of patients with mild to moderate CHF measurements of heart rate variability did not provide information identifying patients at risk of sudden death. In a previous report we showed that heart rate variability can predict progressive heart failure death. The potential mechanisms are discussed in detail in that report. Fourthly, QRS dispersion provides additional prognostic information to QRS maximum. Lastly, we have shown that the integration of four non-invasive measurements has the potential to identify patients at high risk of dying suddenly as opposed to dying non-suddenly over a five year period.

Study population

We studied patients with both ischaemic and non-ischaemic causes of CHF and we included patients with preserved systolic function. Our index performed well in identifying members of this heterogeneous group at risk of sudden death. Studies have suggested that the mechanisms of ventricular arrhythmia in ischaemic heart disease and non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy may be different. Furthermore, more sophisticated investigation and treatments apply only to patients with ischaemic heart disease and impaired systolic function. When applied to this group our index performed even better, supporting its potential as an initial risk stratification tool for identifying patients with mild to...
This finding may be due to these measurements being more closely related to inhomogeneity of repolarisation across a scarred left ventricle when compared with QT dispersion measured from all 12 ECG leads.\(^1\) It is a finding that warrants further study.

**Cardiomegaly and sudden death**

Cardiothoracic ratio (CTR), cardiothoracic ratio; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; NYHA, New York Heart Association; UK-HEART, United Kingdom-heart failure evaluation and assessment of risk trial.

Sudden death in chronic heart failure

### Table 3 Characteristics of patients according to outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Sudden death</th>
<th>Non-sudden death</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK-HEART (n = 553)</td>
<td>n = 352</td>
<td>n = 67</td>
<td>n = 134</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.8 (9.6)</td>
<td>62.4 (9.9)</td>
<td>64.2 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class III</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>44.0 (11.7)</td>
<td>36.2 (14)</td>
<td>38.5 (15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD (cm)</td>
<td>4.88 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.50 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.31 (1.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (cm)</td>
<td>6.08 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.53 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.41 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR (%)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.48–0.55)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.52–0.60)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.50–0.60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSVT present</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVH present</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>72.5 (64–84)</td>
<td>76.8 (64–89)</td>
<td>77.0 (68–89)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS dispersion (ms)</td>
<td>41.3 (34–49)</td>
<td>47.0 (39–59)</td>
<td>43.3 (37–54)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc dispersion leads V1–V6 (ms)</td>
<td>35.0 (24–49)</td>
<td>42.0 (31–63)</td>
<td>37.0 (28–50)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden cardiac death score</td>
<td>3.07 (0.73)</td>
<td>3.86 (0.84)</td>
<td>3.55 (0.74)**</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD and LVEF &lt;45% (n = 262)</td>
<td>n = 148</td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td>n = 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.7 (8.2)</td>
<td>63.7 (8.5)</td>
<td>64.0 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class III</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>30.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>28.2 (10.1)</td>
<td>29.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD (cm)</td>
<td>5.46 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.78 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.78 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (cm)</td>
<td>6.35 (0.8)</td>
<td>6.58 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.69 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR (%)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.48–0.54)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.55–0.60)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.50–0.61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSVT present</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVH present</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>74.6 (66–83)</td>
<td>76.9 (60–89)</td>
<td>75.2 (66–89)</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS dispersion (ms)</td>
<td>42.3 (35–51)</td>
<td>47.3 (39–59)</td>
<td>44.0 (35–54)</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc dispersion leads V1–V6 (ms)</td>
<td>34.9 (24–48)</td>
<td>43.5 (32–74)</td>
<td>37.0 (30–54)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden cardiac death score</td>
<td>3.17 (0.71)</td>
<td>4.09 (0.73)</td>
<td>3.61 (0.79)**</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only significant difference between patients who died suddenly and patients who died non-suddenly were for the sudden cardiac death index (\(p = 0.002; **p = 0.009\)).

Values are mean (SD) (analysis of variance), \(x\)medians (quartiles) (Kruskal-Wallis test), or percentages (\(x^2\) test).

CTR, cardiothoracic ratio; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; NYHA, New York Heart Association; UK-HEART, United Kingdom-heart failure evaluation and assessment of risk trial.

moderate ischaemic heart failure at increased risk of sudden death, who may benefit from more intensive evaluation and treatment.

**Electrical inhomogeneity and its relation to sudden death**

It is likely that the major electrical feature necessary for the development of sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmias and thus sudden death is electrical inhomogeneity.\(^2\) In the normal heart there is some degree of electrical inhomogeneity due to the different cell types present. This inhomogeneity becomes more pronounced in the failing heart producing conditions that are favourable for the induction of sustained re-entrant ventricular tachycardia. As the QRS complex is thought to represent ventricular depolarisation, increased QRS dispersion on the 12 lead ECG may represent inhomogeneity of depolarisation, creating an environment that substantially increases the likelihood of initiating a re-entry circuit. A link between inhomogeneous electrical depolarisation and arrhythmic death in tetralogy of Fallot,\(^3\) arrhythmic right ventricular cardiomyopathy,\(^4\) and severe CHF\(^5\) has been postulated. The present study is the first to address this question in a large prospective study of patients with mild to moderate CHF.

The QT interval is thought to represent electrical repolarisation and it has been proposed that increased QT dispersion is indicative of inhomogeneous repolarisation. No study has shown unequivocally that measurements of QT dispersion obtained from a standard 12 lead ECG are related to increased risk of sudden death in CHF.\(^6\) The present study confirms this but has produced the novel finding that the measurement of QT dispersion across leads V1–V6 is a potential prognostic tool to predict future arrhythmic events. This finding may be due to these measurements being more closely related to inhomogeneity of repolarisation across a scarred left ventricle when compared with QT dispersion measured from all 12 ECG leads.\(^1\) It is a finding that warrants further study.
normal lung function, providing a further mechanism to explain the observed relation between cardiomegaly and sudden cardiac death.

**Effect of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia on risk of sudden cardiac death**

The majority of studies exploring the relation between non-sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden cardiac death have been retrospective analyses of patients with severe heart failure taking part in trials of therapeutic agents. In a long term prospective study designed specifically to evaluate prognostic markers, we have confirmed that the presence of non-sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmia in patients with mild to moderate CHF is an ominous sign, increasing the risk of sudden death twofold. The relation between non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and sustained ventricular tachycardia is far from clear. Despite this, in our cohort non-sustained ventricular tachycardia in association with evidence of cardiac dilatation and inhomogeneity of depolarisation/repolarisation was a combination that clearly identified patients at increased risk of sudden (presumably) arrhythmic death.

**Performance of sudden cardiac death index**

The present analysis aimed at constructing an index that could identify patients specifically at increased risk of sudden death. The mechanisms underlying this are complex and multifactorial. In keeping with this the index required four independent predictors from a rigorous multivariate analysis. The C statistics of 0.71 for the whole population and 0.76 for patients with systolic dysfunction secondary to ischaemic heart disease illustrate the ability of our index to discriminate between patients at increased risk of sudden death per se.

An important role of an index predicting sudden death is its ability to discriminate between patients who die suddenly and those who die non-suddenly. When comparing the scores of these groups in our whole population and in patients with ischaemic heart disease underlying their CHF, our index allowed us to distinguish one group from the other. As with all prognostic indices the present data should be tested in different heart failure populations in different geographical locations to assess whether its discrimination degrades in separate cohorts. If the value of this index holds up in other studies, it offers a means of cost effectively targeting expensive interventions into a high risk subgroup of patients with CHF. Preventing sudden death is very important for patients with mild to moderate CHF, since their pump function is adequate to maintain a good quality of life for long term survivors.

**Study limitations**

As in all studies of this nature, classification of death is a potential problem, in particular attribution of sudden death to cardiac arrhythmia. We used strict predefined criteria for classification of death, which was carried out by senior physicians blinded to patient details. It has been suggested that sudden death in heart failure is not just due to arrhythmia and may be due to bradyarrhythmia or electromechanical dissociation in some patients. It is likely, however, that these are a feature of more severe heart failure, whereas our cohort had mild to moderate CHF based on both symptomatic and echocardiographic data. Another potential limitation of the present study is that while the majority of patients were stabilised with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, the study commenced and follow up finished just as the landmark trials of β blockers in mild to moderate CHF were being published. It would hence not be possible to have five year follow up of patients all taking these agents at present. However, in these studies patients with an ejection fraction > 40% were excluded. The UK-HEART study was designed such that our population represented more accurately the usual CHF population with about 40% having preserved ejection fractions. There is thus no evidence as yet to support β blocker use in a substantial proportion of the UK-HEART and wider CHF population. Despite this our findings should be tested in a population taking β blockers. The present study excluded diabetic patients and, therefore, we cannot extrapolate from our data to the diabetic population.

**Conclusion**

The present study shows that it is possible to identify patients with mild to moderate CHF at increased risk of sudden death from 12 lead and 24 hour ECGs and a posteroanterior chest radiograph. Whether these new findings can be used in tailoring treatment or targeting investigations warrants further studies.
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Echolucent neointimal hyperplasia “dark wall” after sirolimus eluting stent implantation

A 45 year old man was admitted because of exertional angina. Coronary angiography revealed a 70% stenosis in the left circumflex artery (LCX). He was enrolled in the US SIRIUS study to evaluate the efficacy of sirolimus eluting stents in de novo native coronary lesions. A dramatic reduction of restenosis has previously been demonstrated using sirolimus eluting stents. A 3.0 x 18 mm sirolimus eluting stent (Cordis, Johnson & Johnson, Miami, Florida, USA) was deployed in the distal LCX lesion. Eight months later, angiography and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) were performed according to the study protocol (see fig), although he had been asymptomatic.

IVUS imaging demonstrated non-obstructive, eccentric echolucent tissue in the proximal part of the stent, which corresponded to the angiographic mild, eccentric luminal narrowing. No further procedure was performed.

Echolucent tissue, which is termed “black hole” and more appropriately “dark wall”, has been reported after intracoronary brachytherapy. The tissue specimens obtained by atherectomy in a limited number of cases demonstrated that the echolucent findings appeared to be caused by a hypocellular matrix with areas of proteoglycan. Proteoglycan has a high water content that may explain the IVUS features. While we do not have histological data on this patient, the echolucent tissue in this patient may likewise be caused by hypocellular neointimal hyperplasia rich in proteoglycan.
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White arrows in angiogram (top) correspond to the segment of the circumflex coronary artery depicted in the intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) imaging sequence (bottom). Arrowheads in angiogram indicate the edges of the stent. IVUS images are displayed from distal (on left) to proximal (on right). Individual images are 1 mm apart to illustrate a 7 mm length of the proximal part of the stent. Each image except the most right and left demonstrates crescent shaped, echolucent neointima (white arrowheads) inside the stent.
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