Meiosis-Specific Stable Binding of Augmin to Acentrosomal Spindle Poles Promotes Biased Microtubule Assembly in Oocytes
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Abstract

In the oocytes of many animals including humans, the meiotic spindle assembles without centrosomes. It is still unclear how multiple pathways contribute to spindle microtubule assembly, and whether they are regulated differently in mitosis and meiosis. Augmin is a γ-tubulin recruiting complex which “amplifies” spindle microtubules by generating new microtubules along existing ones in mitosis. Here we show that in Drosophila melanogaster oocytes Augmin is dispensable for chromatin-driven assembly of bulk spindle microtubules, but is required for full microtubule assembly near the poles. The level of Augmin accumulated at spindle poles is well correlated with the degree of chromosome congression. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching shows that Augmin stably associates with the polar regions of the spindle in oocytes, unlike in mitotic cells where it transiently and uniformly associates with the metaphase spindle. This stable association is enhanced by γ-tubulin and the kinesin-14 Ncd. Therefore, we suggest that meiosis-specific regulation of Augmin compensates for the lack of centrosomes in oocytes by actively biasing sites of microtubule generation within the spindle.

Introduction

Spindles can assemble without centrosomes naturally in oocytes and artificially in mitotic cells [1–3]. This report asks whether the meiotic spindle is simply a mitotic spindle without centrosomes, or if oocytes have developed specific mechanisms which compensate for the absence of centrosomes. Centrosome-dependent and chromosome-dependent pathways are two well documented pathways which generate spindle microtubules [4]. Recently another pathway has been described. This pathway generates new microtubules from the side of existing spindle microtubules [5], and therefore depends on other microtubule assembly pathways. A new microtubule branches at a low angle and with the same polarity as the original filament, and is mediated by the β-subunit γ-tubulin recruiting complex Augmin in mitosis [6,7,8]. Augmin subunits are functionally interdependent, and require each other for protein stability [6,9]. The Augmin complex was originally identified in Drosophila, but shown to be conserved widely among higher eukaryotes [6,7,10–15].

The Augmin complex is associated uniformly with spindle microtubules, recruits γ-tubulin onto spindle microtubules, and increases both the density of spindle microtubules during mitotic metaphase as well as the density of central spindle microtubules during mitotic anaphase [6,9,10,16]. Therefore, Augmin’s function in mitosis is proposed to amplify microtubules by generating new microtubules along existing spindle microtubules [6]. The localisation of Augmin to centrosomes or centrosomal regions is shown in mammalian interphase and in Drosophila mitotic prophase, although the significance of these localisations has not been demonstrated [7,11,17,18]. The microtubule binding activity of the human Augmin complex is regulated by phosphorylation by Plk1 and Aurora A [19,20].

Mutations of Augmin subunits have been isolated in Drosophila and lead to female sterility [9,16]. In mutant oocytes, the spindle microtubules are robustly assembled with chromosomes misaligned and homologous centromeres further apart [9]. This suggests that in oocytes Augmin plays a different role from that in mitosis. Here we report meiosis-specific regulation of this γ-tubulin recruiting complex that may function to substitute for the lack of the centrosomal activity in oocytes.

Results

Augmin plays a crucial role in limiting chromosome spreading within the spindle

We have previously isolated a null mutant of the core Augmin subunit Wac, in which other subunits of the Augmin complex are also destabilised [9]. In the mutant oocytes, chromosomes are misaligned with homologous centromeres mostly bi-oriented, but further apart within the robustly assembled meiotic spindle. In later stages, chromosomes are mis-segregated at a very high frequency, leading to female sterility [9]. To establish the precise role of Augmin, we followed chromosome behaviour and microtubule assembly in
live wild-type and mutant oocytes from nuclear envelope breakdown onwards (Figure 1A, B; Movies S1, S2). When the nuclear envelope breaks down, chromosomes were clustered together in both wild-type and mutant oocytes. Then, in wild-type oocytes, individual chromosomes moved within a limited range (up to 8 μm) along the spindle axis for about 30 minutes, before chromosomes were congressed to the equator of the spindle. In contrast, in most mutant oocytes, chromosomes tended to cover a wider range than wild type and spread widely along the spindle axis from the start of spindle formation. Chromosomes eventually congress in most, but not all, older oocytes (Figure S1). Therefore Augmin is required for limiting the range of chromosome movement to keep chromosomes at the spindle equator especially in early stages.

Augmin is dispensable for bulk spindle microtubule assembly

Previous studies showed that in mitosis, Augmin assembles the majority of spindle microtubules independently of centrosomes [6,9,16,17]. In contrast, our previous observation from fixed oocytes indicated that metaphase I spindles in a wac null mutant show no significant difference in microtubule density from those in wild type [9]. To investigate the kinetics of meiotic spindle assembly, live imaging was carried out in mutant and wild-type oocytes expressing GFP-α-tubulin. The timing of the appearance of the first spindle microtubules after nuclear envelope breakdown showed only a marginal difference between wild type and the mutant (Figure 2A; Figure S2). Taken together, these results indicate that unlike in mitosis of S2 cells, Augmin is largely dispensable for bulk spindle microtubule assembly in oocytes.

Augmin is required for full microtubule assembly near the acentrosomal poles

In mitotic metaphase, Augmin is associated with spindle microtubules uniformly, and in cells depleted of Augmin, [Figure 1. Chromosomes fail to congress in wac mutant oocytes. (A) Chromosome movement in wild-type and wacΔ oocytes expressing Rcc1-mCherry. Scale bar = 10 μm. Time = min:sec. (B) The degree of chromosome congression in wild-type and wacΔ oocytes. The spread of the chromosome mass along the spindle axis, excluding the 4th chromosome (the double arrow in the diagram), in six oocytes each plotted from nuclear envelope breakdown (time 0) over time. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003562.g001]
Microtubule density is uniformly reduced. Therefore, it was originally proposed that Augmin amplifies (augments) microtubules by generating new microtubules alongside existing ones [6]. In fixed oocytes we previously showed that an Augmin subunit is concentrated at the polar regions of the meiotic spindle in oocytes [9]. We hypothesise that in oocytes, Augmin may generate microtubules within the metaphase spindle in a spatially biased manner.

To test this hypothesis, we quantified the spatial distribution of the microtubule density within the spindle in wild-type and mutant oocytes. Oocytes were fixed and immunostained with tubulin, and the signal intensity was measured along each spindle from one pole to the other. After normalisation, the tubulin intensity was plotted along the spindle length (Figure 2B). In the \textit{wac} mutant, the tubulin intensity near the polar regions relative to the spindle equator is significantly lower than in wild type (p < 0.01). This can be explained by a decrease of microtubule density near the poles or an increase at the spindle equator in the \textit{wac} mutant. As Augmin is known to generate microtubules, we favour the first interpretation.

To further confirm this observation from fixed samples, we examined live oocytes using GFP-tubulin. We found a significant increase in the frequency of spindles with a missing or weak spindle pole in mutant oocytes (Figure 2C, D). Although these morphologies were not obvious in fixed samples either due to fixation or the absence of GFP-tagged protein, results from live oocytes further support the possibility of an underlying reduction in microtubules near the polar regions of the meiotic spindle. Therefore, our evidence suggests that Augmin is required for full assembly of microtubules near spindle poles in oocytes, rather than to simply amplify the existing microtubules as is seen in mitotic metaphase.

The level of Augmin at spindle poles is well correlated with chromosome congression

We previously showed by immunostaining that in oocytes, the Augmin subunit Dgt2 concentrates at acentrosomal spindle poles in meiotic metaphase I. This is in clear contrast to the uniform localisation of Dgt2 along spindle microtubules in mitotic metaphase. To further confirm this localisation, we generated transgenic flies which express Dgt2 tagged with GFP (GFP-Dgt2) in oocytes. Live analysis shows that GFP-Dgt2 signal was enriched in the polar regions of meiotic spindles (Figure 3A). To exclude the possibility that the Dgt2 subunit on its own, but not the Augmin complex, localises to the poles, the localisation of other Augmin subunits was examined in oocytes. Wac tagged with GFP also showed similar enrichment at the spindle poles (Figure 3B). Furthermore, immunostaining showed that Dgt6 was also concentrated to the polar regions of the spindle (Figure 3C). This behaviour...
Most Augmin complexes are stably associated with the polar regions of the spindle

In S2 cells, it has been previously shown that Augmin on the mitotic spindle turns over very rapidly (t1/2 of 4 seconds; [6]). To establish the molecular dynamics of Augmin on the spindle in wild-type oocytes, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) was used to measure the turnover rates of GFP-Dgt2 associated with the meiotic metaphase spindle in mature oocytes. After the spindle was photobleached by laser, recovery of the fluorescent signal was monitored over time. Surprisingly, the recovery was much slower in oocytes than that reported in mitotic metaphase of S2 cells.

To quantify the turnover rate, recovery time of fluorescent signals were pooled together and plotted (Figure 4A). A one-population model, or a two-population model with only one turnover population did not fit to the data satisfactorily. We found that a two-population model with two distinct turnover populations fit to the observation very well. It is estimated that 85% of GFP-Dgt2 belongs to the slow population with a half turnover time (t1/2) of 5 minutes, and 15% belongs to the fast population with t1/2 of 8 seconds.

This turnover rate is much slower than an estimated value from the published data in mitotic metaphase using S2 cells [6]. However, a direct comparison is difficult due to the difference in the experimental conditions. Additionally, S2 cultured cells may not accurately represent mitotic cells in flies. Spindle defects in S2 cells depleted of Augmin appear stronger than that of neuroblast mitosis in mutants lacking Augmin which are in fact viable [6,9,10,16,17,21,22]. Therefore, we carried out FRAP experiments on mitotic spindles in syncytial embryos laid by the GFP-Dgt2 expressing flies (Figure 4B). The recovery curve showed that GFP-Dgt2 on metaphase mitotic spindles consists of 85% of the fast population with t1/2 of 15 seconds and 15% of non-turnover or very slow turnover population.

We found that Wac-GFP also showed a slow turnover in oocytes comparable to GFP-Dgt2 confirming that this is the behaviour of the Augmin complex (Figure S3). Furthermore, FRAP of GFP-tubulin indicated that microtubule dynamics is comparable between mitotic spindles in syncytial embryos and meiotic spindles in oocytes, excluding a possibility that a slow turnover of Augmin in oocytes is a mere reflection of slow microtubule turnover in oocytes (Figure S4). Therefore we conclude that the Augmin complex is associated with spindle microtubules much more stably in oocytes than in mitosis. This indicated the existence of an oocyte-specific mechanism which stabilises the association of Augmin to the polar regions of the spindle.

**γ-tubulin and Ncd contribute to the stability of Augmin on the meiotic spindle**

Augmin is thought to recruit the γ-tubulin complex to nucleate new microtubules on existing microtubules. It is possible that the stable interaction of Augmin with the meiotic spindle is caused by its attachment to the nucleated microtubule and for some reason nucleation of microtubules is much more efficient in oocytes (“nucleate to stabilise” model; Figure 4F). In this case, a reduction of γ-tubulin would greatly decrease the population of stably attached Augmin.

To test this possibility, γ-tubulin37C, the major γ-tubulin in oocytes, was depleted by RNA interference (RNAi). Depletion was confirmed by Western blotting using an antibody that recognises all γ-tubulin isoforms (Figure 4E), and immunostaining showed a spindle defect similar to the previous report [23]. FRAP of GFP-Dgt2 showed that there is no dramatic decrease in the proportion of the slow-turnover population (85% to 74%; Figure 4C). The morphology of the spindle polar region was not significantly changed during FRAP. The most significant difference is the increase in the turnover rate of the slow population (t1/2 = 5 minutes to 2 minutes 30 seconds), although it
is still much slower than the fast-turnover population ($t_{1/2} = 8$ seconds). However, as Western blot showed residual $\gamma$-tubulin either from incomplete depletion by RNAi or the other $\gamma$-tubulin isoform ($\gamma$-tubulin23C), the role of $\gamma$-tubulin may be bigger. Nevertheless, our quantitative study suggests that interaction with $\gamma$-tubulin is not the major cause of the stable population, but further stabilises the already stable population possibly (*stabilise, then nucleate*); Figure 4F.

Next we looked into the involvement of Ncd (a kinesin-14), a minus-end directed motor which cross-links spindle microtubules. Ncd is not essential for viability but is important for pole focusing of acenostosomal spindles and accurate chromosome segregation in oocytes [24]. It could potentially anchor Augmin to spindle microtubules, or cross-link newly nucleated microtubules to existing microtubules and further stabilise the microtubule interaction of the stable Augmin population. FRAP of GFP-Dgt2 in ncd mutant oocytes showed that there is little change in the proportion of the slower population (83% to 82%; Figure 4D). The morphology of the spindle polar region was not significantly changed during FRAP. The most significant difference is the decrease in $t_{1/2}$ of the slower population (5 minutes to 3 minutes 10 seconds). This suggests that interaction with Ncd does not play a major role in stabilising Augmin onto the spindle in the first place, but further stabilises the already stable population possibly by cross-linking the newly nucleated microtubule to an existing microtubule (Figure 4F).

**Discussion**

Oocytes form the spindle without centrosomes in many animals including humans and *Drosophila* [1]. We propose that oocytes have specific mechanisms which compensate for the lack of centrosomal activity in meiotic metaphase (Figure 5). In mitotic prophase, Augmin concentrates to centrosomal regions [17]. In mitotic metaphase, it localises uniformly and transiently to the spindle, and generates the majority of centrosome independent microtubules (Figure 5; [6,9,16,17]). In oocytes, Augmin associates with the poles of the metaphase I spindle in a stable manner, and biases microtubule assembly near the poles which lack centrosomes (Figure 5). The microtubules generated from the spindle poles may be important to congress chromosomes towards the spindle equator.

The position of chromosomes is thought to be determined by a balance of multiple forces acting on chromosomes. The main source of the forces is the kinetochore-microtubule interaction. This interaction mainly pulls, but also can push, chromosomes, and is sensitive to lack of tension [25,26]. In addition, other forces acting on chromosome arms, often called polar ejection forces, push chromosomes to the spindle equator [25]. These forces are generated by an interaction between chromosome arms and spindle microtubules. Proposed origins of polar ejection forces include motor activities on chromosomes (chromokinesins), chromosomal proteins tracking microtubule ends and simple collision of chromosomes with polymerising microtubules [25,27]. It is still poorly understood how chromosomes find the equator of the spindle by a balance of these forces. The organisation of spindle microtubules results in spatial differences of some forces, and chromosomes are positioned where poleward forces and anti-poleward forces are balanced. It is proposed that chromosomes are congressed at the equator as polar ejection forces are strongest near the poles and gradually decrease at the equator, which reflects the density and polarity of microtubules [25].

Polar ejection forces may play even more important roles in chromosome congression in oocytes than mitosis, but crucial differences of spindles between the two modes of divisions make it more challenging to understand. As the spindle is formed without centrosomes in oocytes [28], microtubule density is lowest near the poles [29]. This difference of the spindle geometry potentially may affect the spatial distribution of polar ejection forces and other forces. Another difference is that centromeres/chromosomes are clustered together even before the nuclear envelope breaks down [29]. After the spindle elongates from the clustered chromosomes, chromosomes initially become spread along the spindle, and then congressed. The third difference is that, without centrosomes, the position of chromosomes heavily influences the length of the spindle and the distribution of microtubules within the spindle. Furthermore, the age of the oocytes or the length of metaphase arrest increases chromosome congression and decreases spindle length [30]. We know from the observation of other mutants that more spreading of chromosomes results in longer spindles, but do not know whether the converse is correct. This intimate relationship makes it difficult to disentangle the causal relationship
between spindle length/morphology and chromosome congression.

In the wac mutant, chromosomes are more widely spread in the meiotic spindle with homologous centromeres further apart, even relatively to the spindle length [9]. This chromosome spreading is particularly prominent in the wac mutant at the early stages of spindle formation, and becomes less prominent at the later stages, suggesting that an Augmin-independent polar ejection force gradually takes over though not completely. The failure or delay of proper chromosome congression in the wac mutant is better explained by a decrease in the pushing force acting on chromosome arms (polar ejection force) rather than an increase in the pulling force acting on kinetochores. In wac meiotic spindles, we found that the density of microtubules in sub-polar regions is relatively reduced, consistent with the polar concentration of Augmin in wild-type spindles. These microtubules generated near poles may be important for polar ejection forces by interacting with chromosomes directly, or through motors or microtubule end-tracking proteins. We found a strong correlation between Augmin accumulation in the polar regions and chromosome congression, although the correlation does not necessarily imply a causal relationship. These findings suggest that polar microtubules generated by Augmin at the spindle poles are important for the polar ejection force which congresses chromosomes in oocytes. However, we cannot exclude alternative possibilities, such as that a wac mutation more indirectly affects chromosome positioning by altering the general organisation of the meiotic spindle, or the localisation of factors that influence chromosome movement or architecture. We examined thread-like projections thought to be connecting homologous heterochromatin using a phospho-H3 antibody [23,31], but no noticeable differences were observed. Furthermore, although we did not see Augmin at the central spindle region higher than cytoplasmic background during spindle formation, we cannot exclude a possibility that Augmin may play a role there.

As we previously showed [9], wac mutant oocytes exhibit an elevated frequency of mono-orientation of homologous centromeres (~10% of X chromosomes), and further show a very high incidence of chromosome mis-segregation in both stages of meiosis (~80% of meiosis I and II, respectively). Similarly, in nod mutant oocytes which show reduced congression of acentric chromosomes, it was genetically estimated that about 50% of acentric X chromosomes and about 2% of acentric ones mis-segregate [32]. This evidence suggests that chromosome congression or a force behind chromosome congression is crucial for accurate chromosome segregation in oocytes. Additionally, a nod mutant frequently shows detachment of acentric chromosomes from the spindle [29], while such detachment is seen less often in the wac mutant. Thus Nod may play a role in anchoring chromosomes to microtubules, as well as generating a polar ejection force.

The Augmin complex is much more stably associated with spindle microtubules in oocytes than in mitotic cells. This difference is not simply explained by a difference in spindle dynamics, as the turnover of spindle microtubules is fast in both oocytes and syncytial mitosis. Interestingly, the turnover of Augmin on spindle microtubules in oocytes is significantly slower than the turnover of spindle microtubules itself. At first glance, this seems contradictory or puzzling. However, Augmin freed from one depolymerised microtubule can easily be re-captured by other microtubules in proximity rather than diffusing into the cytoplasm. Therefore the Augmin turnover between spindle and cytoplasm can be much slower than the turnover of individual microtubules. Alternatively, as our data showed a small proportion of microtubules are less dynamic, Augmin somehow could preferentially bind to these stable microtubules.

We also found that γ-tubulin and Ncd further stabilise the slow-turnover population of Augmin. One explanation is that Augmin already anchored to a microtubule is further stabilised by combined actions of γ-tubulin which nucleates a new microtubule and Ncd which crosslinks this new microtubule to existing microtubules. However, we could not exclude possibilities that these indirectly affect the turnover of Augmin by altering the spindle dynamics or organisation.

In Xenopus egg extract, bipolar spindles can be formed in the presence and absence of centrosomes [33]. It has also been shown that a bipolar spindle can be assembled without centrosomes in mitotic cells [2,3]. Therefore, it is often assumed that the only difference between a mitotic and a meiotic spindle is the presence of centrosomes. But our study clearly demonstrated that a meiotic spindle in oocytes is more than a mitotic spindle without centrosomes, and meiosis-specific regulation of Augmin is a crucial part of this difference. The Augmin complex was originally identified in Drosophila, but shown to be conserved widely among higher eukaryotes [6,7,11,12,13,14,15]. Therefore our finding of meiosis-specific Augmin regulation has an important implication in our understanding of chromosome segregation and mis-segregation in human oocytes.

Materials and Methods

Molecular techniques

Standard DNA manipulation and immunological techniques were used throughout [34,35]. Full-length Rec1 was fused to mCherry and cloned into pUASP expression vector. A mutation (ΔAAATG to ΔAAAATG) was introduced upstream of the ATG to

Figure 5. Stable association of Augmin with spindle poles compensate for the lack of centrosomes in oocytes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003562.g005
reduce the expression level. Dgt2 and Wac were cloned into the Gateway expression vectors pPGW and pPWG respectively. The plasmids were injected into w^{125} embryo by Genetic Research Inc. For western blot, γ-tubulin (GTU-88; Sigma) primary antibody was used (1/500). Fluorescently labelled secondary antibodies were detected by Odyssey (Licor).

Fly techniques

Standard techniques of fly manipulation were followed [36]. All stocks were grown at 25°C in standard cornmeal media. w^{125} was used as wild type. Heterozygous flies for P/Trp.HMS06517;intP2 and nanos-Gal4 maternal driver were used for γ-tubulin37C RNAi. Details of mutations and chromosome aberrations can be found in [37] or at FlyBase (http://flybase.org) [38].

Cytological techniques

Immunostaining of non-activated oocytes was carried out as previously described [39]. Antibodies against γ-tubulin (DM1A; Sigma) and Dtg6 (1/50; [17]) were used. Live-imaging of meiosis I spindle was carried out as described [40]. Adult flies expressing Rcl1-mCherry (except for FRAP) were matured 3 to 5 days at about 21°C before dissection. Series of z-sections covering the entire spindle (separated by 1 μm) were taken every 1 to 2.5 minutes. The following transgenes were used as heterozygotes: UASp-GFP-γ-tubulin, GAL4 under the maternal nanos promoter, and UASp-Rcl1-mCherry on the third chromosome, and UASp-GFP-Dgt2 and UASp-Wac-GFP on the second chromosome.

Cytological analysis

The images were taken with a laser scanning confocal microscope for immunostaining and FRAP experiments, and with a spinning disc confocal for other live samples, as previously described [8,30]. Z sections were separated by 1 μm. Images were presented as a maximum intensity projection of the z-stacks, were processed using Photoshop/ImageReady (Adobe), imageJ or Volocity, and the brightness and contrast were uniformly adjusted for the whole field without changing features of the images. Measurement of tubulin intensity throughout fixed spindles (Figure 2B) was carried out on the maximum intensity projection. Pixel intensity was measured along a line from one pole to the other. The position along the line was normalised to the spindle length. The fluorescence intensity was normalised to the maximum intensity. The spindle length was divided into 10 morphological segments. n m are indicated by bars with a dark and light shade.

FRAP analysis of spindle-associated Wac-GFP in wild-type metaphase I oocytes and syncytial embryos. FRAP of spindle-associated Wac-GFP in wild-type metaphase I oocytes (A) and in wild-type prometaphase/metaphase syncytial embryos (B). Wac-GFP turnover is much slower in oocytes than syncytial mitosis. A model to assume two populations with different turnover rates fits well to the observation in oocytes, while a model to assume one turnover and one non-turnover population fits well to the observation in syncytial mitosis. Error bars are SEM. n = 17 in meiosis, and n = 15 in mitosis.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 The spread of chromosomes in relation to the age of oocytes. For each live oocyte expressing Rcl1-mCherry, the spread of the chromosome mass along the spindle axis was measured and the stage of the oocyte was roughly estimated by the morphology of the dorsal appendages. n = 15. The frequencies of oocytes with the chromosome mass more than 10 μm and between 8 and 10 μm are indicated by bars with a dark and light shade. (EPS)

Figure S2 Dynamics of chromosomes and the spindle in wild-type and wac oocytes. Time lapse images of live wild-type and wac oocytes expressing GFP-tubulin (green) and Rcl1-mCherry (red). Time from nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB) is indicated as min:sec. Scale bar = 10 μm. (EPS)

Figure S3 FRAP analysis of Wac-GFP on the spindle in wild-type oocytes and syncytial embryos. FRAP of spindle-associated Wac-GFP in wild-type metaphase I oocytes (A) and in wild-type prometaphase/metaphase syncytial embryos (B). Wac-GFP turnover is much slower in oocytes than syncytial mitosis. A model to assume two populations with different turnover rates fits well to the observation in oocytes, while a model to assume one turnover and one non-turnover population fits well to the observation in syncytial mitosis. Error bars are SEM. n = 17 in meiosis, and n = 15 in mitosis. (EPS)
More than 100% recovery in mitosis may reflect a slight increase in spindle microtubules during mitotic progression. As tubulin turnover is fast in both, it alone is unlikely to explain the difference in Augmin turnover on the spindle between mitosis and meiosis. Error bars are SEM, n = 16 in meiosis, and n = 13 in mitosis.
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