



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Effects of antiplatelet therapy after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage (RESTART): a randomised, open-label trial

Citation for published version:

RESTART collaboration & Wardlaw, J 2019, 'Effects of antiplatelet therapy after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage (RESTART): a randomised, open-label trial', *The Lancet*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30840-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30840-2)

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30840-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30840-2)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

The Lancet

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Effects of antiplatelet therapy after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage (RESTART): a randomised, open-label trial



RESTART Collaboration*

Summary

Background Antiplatelet therapy reduces the risk of major vascular events for people with occlusive vascular disease, although it might increase the risk of intracranial haemorrhage. Patients surviving the commonest subtype of intracranial haemorrhage, intracerebral haemorrhage, are at risk of both haemorrhagic and occlusive vascular events, but whether antiplatelet therapy can be used safely is unclear. We aimed to estimate the relative and absolute effects of antiplatelet therapy on recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage and whether this risk might exceed any reduction of occlusive vascular events.

Methods The REstart or STop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial (RESTART) was a prospective, randomised, open-label, blinded endpoint, parallel-group trial at 122 hospitals in the UK. We recruited adults (≥ 18 years) who were taking antithrombotic (antiplatelet or anticoagulant) therapy for the prevention of occlusive vascular disease when they developed intracerebral haemorrhage, discontinued antithrombotic therapy, and survived for 24 h. Computerised randomisation incorporating minimisation allocated participants (1:1) to start or avoid antiplatelet therapy. We followed participants for the primary outcome (recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage) for up to 5 years. We analysed data from all randomised participants using Cox proportional hazards regression, adjusted for minimisation covariates. This trial is registered with ISRCTN (number ISRCTN71907627).

Findings Between May 22, 2013, and May 31, 2018, 537 participants were recruited a median of 76 days (IQR 29–146) after intracerebral haemorrhage onset: 268 were assigned to start and 269 (one withdrew) to avoid antiplatelet therapy. Participants were followed for a median of 2.0 years (IQR [1.0–3.0]; completeness 99.3%). 12 (4%) of 268 participants allocated to antiplatelet therapy had recurrence of intracerebral haemorrhage compared with 23 (9%) of 268 participants allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy (adjusted hazard ratio 0.51 [95% CI 0.25–1.03]; $p=0.060$). 18 (7%) participants allocated to antiplatelet therapy experienced major haemorrhagic events compared with 25 (9%) participants allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy (0.71 [0.39–1.30]; $p=0.27$), and 39 [15%] participants allocated to antiplatelet therapy had major occlusive vascular events compared with 38 [14%] allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy (1.02 [0.65–1.60]; $p=0.92$).

Interpretation These results exclude all but a very modest increase in the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage with antiplatelet therapy for patients on antithrombotic therapy for the prevention of occlusive vascular disease when they developed intracerebral haemorrhage. The risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage is probably too small to exceed the established benefits of antiplatelet therapy for secondary prevention.

Funding British Heart Foundation.

Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Introduction

Adults with stroke due to spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage often have a history of occlusive vascular disease, such as myocardial infarction or ischaemic stroke.¹ Consequently, at least a third of adults in high-income countries are taking oral antithrombotic (antiplatelet or anticoagulant) drugs at the onset of intracerebral haemorrhage.² Generally, antithrombotic drugs are immediately discontinued because of the risk of early haematoma growth. Discontinuation of these drugs is often permanent because of the perceived risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage. However, the risk of occlusive vascular events might be higher,³ so resumption of antithrombotic therapy might be beneficial overall.

Results of randomised trials have found a favourable balance of the benefits and risks of antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy for the secondary prevention of occlusive vascular disease for a variety of conditions, but these trials excluded people with a history of major bleeding.^{4–6} Therefore, no published randomised trials are available on whether long-term antithrombotic therapy is safe or beneficial for survivors of intracerebral haemorrhage overall,⁷ or in subgroups who are at higher risk of bleeding, such as people with lobar intracerebral haemorrhage.¹

The use of antiplatelet therapy for about 2 days did not result in adverse effects for patients who had been enrolled in randomised trials of aspirin, without

Published Online

May 22, 2019

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30840-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30840-2)

S0140-6736(19)30840-2

See Online/Comment

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31094-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31094-3)

S0140-6736(19)31094-3

*Members listed at end of the paper

Correspondence to:

Prof Rustam Al-Shahi Salman,
Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences,
University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH16 4SB, UK
rustam.al-shahi@ed.ac.uk

Research in context

Evidence before this study

The Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials found that aspirin use for the secondary prevention of occlusive vascular disease reduces risk of major vascular events, even though it might increase the risk of intracranial haemorrhage (a composite of intracerebral, subarachnoid, or subdural haemorrhages). However, these trials excluded patients with intracerebral haemorrhage, the commonest subtype of intracranial haemorrhage with the worst outcome. We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group Register, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Ovid MEDLINE (from 1948), Ovid Embase (from 1980), online registries of clinical trials, and bibliographies of relevant publications on Jan 28, 2019, (appendix) for randomised controlled trials of starting versus avoiding antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage, from database inception until Jan 28, 2019, without language restrictions. We found no completed randomised controlled trials. A meta-analysis of observational studies found no difference in the risk of haemorrhagic events and a lower risk of occlusive vascular events associated with antiplatelet therapy resumption after any type of intracranial haemorrhage.

Added value of this study

The REstart or STop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial (RESTART) is the first randomised controlled trial comparing the effects of starting versus avoiding antiplatelet therapy for

patients with previous intracerebral haemorrhage that occurred while taking antithrombotic (antiplatelet or anticoagulant) therapy. Participants allocated to start antiplatelet therapy experienced proportionally (but not statistically) fewer recurrences of intracerebral haemorrhage (adjusted hazard ratio 0.51 [95% CI 0.25–1.03]; $p=0.060$), fewer major haemorrhagic events (0.71 [0.39–1.30]; $p=0.27$), and similar numbers of major occlusive vascular events (1.02 [0.65–1.60]; $p=0.92$), compared with participants allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy. These results exclude all but a very modest increase in the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage with antiplatelet therapy. The risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage is probably too small to exceed the established benefits of antiplatelet therapy for the secondary prevention of occlusive vascular disease.

Implications of all the available evidence

RESTART's findings provide reassurance about the safety of antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage that occurred while taking antithrombotic therapy. Replication of these findings and investigation of the possibility that antiplatelet therapy reduces the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage require further investigation in ongoing randomised trials (RESTART-Fr NCT02966119 and STATICH NCT03186729), a subsequent meta-analysis of RESTART, and an adequately powered definitive randomised controlled trial.

knowing their stroke was due to intracerebral haemorrhage.⁸ In the longer term (months to years), findings from a systematic review and meta-analysis⁹ of observational studies of patients with any type of intracranial haemorrhage (ie, intracerebral, subarachnoid, or subdural haemorrhage) showed lower risks of occlusive vascular events and no difference in haemorrhagic events associated with resumption compared with avoidance of antiplatelet therapy. Small, non-randomised observational studies of patients with intracerebral haemorrhage have reported similar associations with starting antiplatelet therapy compared with its avoidance.^{10–14} Because of the paucity of evidence, no guidelines with strong recommendations about long-term antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage are available,^{15,16} so variations in clinical practice occur.³ Therefore, randomised controlled trials are needed to establish whether to use antiplatelet therapy after intracerebral haemorrhage.⁷

We initiated the REstart or STop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial (RESTART) with the aim of estimating the relative and absolute effects of starting versus avoiding antiplatelet therapy on recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage and whether this risk might exceed any reduction of occlusive vascular events.¹⁷

Methods

Study design

RESTART was an investigator-led, pragmatic, multicentre, prospective, randomised, open-label, blinded endpoint, parallel-group trial in 122 hospitals in the UK. The Scotland A Research Ethics Committee approved the trial protocol (Nov 2, 2012).¹⁷ The trial co-sponsors were the University of Edinburgh and National Health Service Lothian Health Board. The patient reference group for the Research to Understand Stroke due to Haemorrhage (RUSH) programme reviewed the study materials and progress. The trial steering committee and co-sponsors approved the trial protocol and the statistical analysis plan.^{17,18}

Participants

We included adults (≥ 18 years) who had survived at least 24 h after spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage confirmed by brain imaging and were taking anti-thrombotic (antiplatelet or anticoagulant) therapy for the prevention of occlusive vascular disease at the onset of intracerebral haemorrhage, after which therapy was discontinued. Patients were ineligible if the intracerebral haemorrhage was attributable to preceding head injury, haemorrhagic transformation of an ischaemic stroke, or intracranial haemorrhage without intracerebral haemorrhage; if they were still taking antithrombotic therapy at

For more on the RUSH programme see www.RUSH.ed.ac.uk

the time of consent (ie, after intracerebral haemorrhage); if they were pregnant, breastfeeding, or of childbearing age and not taking contraception; or if they or their carer was unable to understand spoken or written English. Patients, or their nearest relative or representative if the patient did not have mental capacity, provided written informed consent in inpatient or outpatient hospital settings. Participants could be enrolled if they or their nearest relative, and their physician in secondary care, were uncertain about whether to start or avoid antiplatelet therapy and had consented, in which case randomisation was done at least 24 h after stroke symptom onset.¹⁷

Randomisation and masking

Investigators supplied complete information about participants' demographics, comorbidities, functional status, previous antithrombotic therapy, intracerebral haemorrhage, and their preferred antiplatelet therapy into a database via a secure web interface with in-built validation to ensure complete baseline data before randomisation. A central computerised randomisation system incorporating a minimisation algorithm randomly assigned participants (1:1) to start or avoid antiplatelet therapy. The algorithm randomly allocated the first participant with a probability of 0.5 to one group of the trial. Thereafter, adaptive stratification (ie, minimisation) allocated each subsequent participant with a probability of 0.8 to the group that minimised differences between the two groups of the trial with respect to five baseline variables: qualifying intracerebral haemorrhage location (lobar *vs* non-lobar); time since symptom onset (1–6 days, 7–30 days, >30 days); antiplatelet therapy preferred by the patient's physician if allocated to start (aspirin alone *vs* other antiplatelet therapy); participant age at randomisation (<70 years *vs* ≥70 years); and predicted probability of being alive and independent at 6 months (<0.15 *vs* ≥0.15).¹⁹ The five variables were weighted equally, and the weights were constant over the duration of recruitment. The web interface displayed each participant's unique study identification number and their allocation to either starting or avoiding antiplatelet therapy, which was also sent in an email to all investigators at the hospital site, having been concealed until that point. If the participant was allocated to start antiplatelet therapy, the system reminded investigators to prescribe the prespecified preferred antiplatelet therapy within 24 h.

Treatment allocation was open to participants, the clinicians caring for them in primary and secondary care, and local investigators. Staff following up the participants at the trial coordinating centre were masked to treatment allocation. Outcome event adjudicators were masked to participant identity, treatment allocation, and drug use.

Procedures

Participants who had not already been imaged with MRI but complied with the trial's MRI protocol, and who were

able and willing to undergo brain MRI, provided informed consent and had a brain MRI scan before randomisation. After randomisation, anyone of a panel of consultant neuroradiologists (PMW, DPM, DM, PB, JCduP, or YJ), who was masked to treatment allocation, used the web-based Systematic Image Review System tool to review anonymised DICOM images of diagnostic brain CT or MRI to confirm or refute eligibility and to support the adjudication of cerebral outcome events.

The intervention of starting antiplatelet therapy was restricted to the use of one or more of oral aspirin, dipyridamole, or clopidogrel, begun within 24 h of randomisation with doses determined at the discretion of the consultant responsible for the participant. The comparator was a policy of avoiding antiplatelet therapy (ie, no placebo group). Participants were permitted to start or discontinue antiplatelet or anticoagulant therapy if clinically indicated by events during follow-up, regardless of treatment allocation. We measured adherence after randomisation regardless of treatment allocation by recording antiplatelet therapy use before the first outcome event according to the preceding clinic or hospital discharge form or follow-up questionnaire. We collected information about blood-pressure lowering drugs and blood pressure control at discharge and during follow-up.

We followed up participants by sending a postal questionnaire to their primary care practitioners (who hold a comprehensive lifelong medical record for each patient registered with them), followed by a postal questionnaire to surviving participants (or carers) who had not withdrawn, to check vital status, medication use, modified Rankin scale score, and the occurrence of outcomes. We sent questionnaires at set intervals after randomisation (6 months or 1 year, 2 years, 3 years, 4 years, and 5 years). Participants who did not respond to the questionnaire were interviewed by phone.^{20,21}

We recorded serious adverse events (that were neither an outcome event nor an expected complication of stroke) via investigators if they occurred before hospital discharge or via primary care practitioners' annual reports of hospital admissions. Investigators reported protocol deviations and violations to the trial coordinating centre and the sponsor.

Monitoring included central statistical monitoring of trial conduct, data quality, and participant safety, supplemented by triggered onsite monitoring visits if required and detailed source data verification at the trial coordinating centre. All baseline and outcome data underwent completeness, range, consistency, validation, and logic checks within the web-based case report forms.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was fatal or non-fatal radiographically or pathologically proven recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage assessed in all participants (except one participant who withdrew before the first follow-up).¹⁷

The secondary outcomes were a composite of all major haemorrhagic events and a composite of all major occlusive vascular events.¹⁷ Major haemorrhagic events included recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage (primary outcome), other forms of symptomatic spontaneous or traumatic intracranial haemorrhage, and symptomatic major extracranial haemorrhage at any site (requiring transfusion or endoscopic treatment or surgery, or resulting in death within 30 days).¹⁸ Major occlusive vascular events were ischaemic stroke; myocardial infarction; mesenteric ischaemia; peripheral arterial occlusion; deep vein thrombosis; pulmonary embolism; and carotid, coronary, or peripheral arterial revascularisation procedures.¹⁸ The composite secondary outcome of all major haemorrhagic or occlusive vascular events combined these two composite

See Online for appendix

secondary outcome of all major vascular events defined by the Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration (non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke [ischaemic, haemorrhagic, or uncertain cause], or death from a vascular cause).^{4,17}

Two consultant neurologists (WNW and MRM) at the trial coordinating centre were internal assessors of reports of every outcome event, masked to treatment allocation and use of antithrombotic therapy, using all available source documentation including clinical records, death certificates, autopsy reports, imaging reports, outpatient clinic letters, and hospital discharge summaries. One consultant neurologist (TG) was an external assessor and reviewed the same information on a random sample of 25 internally assessed events. He agreed with the internal assessors for 24 (96%) events (appendix) and, therefore, the internal assessors' categorisations remained final. Standardised definitions guided the final categorisation of outcomes.^{22,23}

Statistical analysis

We aimed to recruit 720 participants and follow them up for at least 2 years to cover several combinations of published estimates of the primary outcome event rate in cohort studies (1·8–7·4% per year)¹ and an up to four-times proportional increase in the absolute risk of the primary outcome with the use of antiplatelet therapy in observational studies.^{10–12} For example, at the 5% significance level, the trial would have 90% power to detect a doubling of a primary outcome event rate of 4·5% per year, or 93% power to detect a four-times increase of a rate of 1% per year.¹⁷

Throughout the recruitment period, unmasked trial statisticians supplied the independent data monitoring committee with analyses of the accumulating baseline and follow-up data in strict confidence at least once every year, so that they could assess trial conduct, safety, and efficacy, and make recommendations to the trial steering committee. No formal fixed schedule of interim analyses was followed, but the data monitoring committee could advise the chairman of the trial steering committee if they thought the randomised comparisons provided proof beyond reasonable doubt that, for some patients, antiplatelet therapy was clearly indicated or contraindicated in clinical practice.

Without reference to data by randomised allocation or input from the unmasked trial statistician, the masked trial statistician (GDM) and chief investigator (RA-SS) prepared a statistical analysis plan that was approved by the trial steering committee before database lock, and then published.¹⁸

We estimated the survival function in each treatment group using a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of time to first occurrence of a primary or secondary outcome event during all available follow-up after randomisation, censored at death unrelated to an outcome event or last available follow-up. We quantified completeness of follow-up as the proportion of participants with a complete follow-up questionnaire at each planned interval after




Figure 1: Trial profile

randomisation, and as the proportion of the planned duration of follow-up that was observed.²⁴ We quantified absolute differences in annual event rates. After assessing the proportional hazards assumption graphically and including a treatment by log(time) interaction, we compared the survival functions by allocated treatment using the log-rank test. We constructed an unadjusted Cox proportional hazards regression model and a second model adjusted for all five covariates included in the minimisation algorithm (which was the primary method of analysis) to calculate the hazard ratios (HRs). We prespecified a hierarchical testing of the primary outcome, key secondary outcomes, and other secondary outcomes, so we did not adjust the threshold of statistical significance for multiplicity.¹⁸ We used the Mann–Whitney test to compare group summaries of modified Rankin scale scores by randomised group. We did sensitivity analyses by adding symptomatic stroke of uncertain subtype or deaths of undetermined cause to the primary outcome, and by calculating the cumulative incidence of all major haemorrhagic or occlusive vascular events.

We did prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses of the primary and secondary outcomes with statistical tests of interaction to estimate heterogeneity of treatment effect between the prespecified subgroups: the five covariates used by the minimisation algorithm, antithrombotic therapy before intracerebral haemorrhage, and history of atrial fibrillation.

The unmasked trial statistician (JS) did all statistical analyses with SAS version 9.4.

This trial is registered with ISRCTN (number ISRCTN71907627).

Role of the funding source

The funder of this study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing and decision to publish this Article. The corresponding author had full access to all data in the trial and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Between May 22, 2013, and May 31, 2018, 562 participants consented to participate in the study, from 104 of 122 activated hospital sites (appendix, figure 1). 20 participants also enrolled in RESTART after they enrolled in the Tranexamic acid for hyperacute primary IntraCerebral Haemorrhage (TICH-2) trial. Although the planned period of recruitment was extended by 1 year (until May 31, 2018), we did not achieve the planned sample size after a time-limited extension agreed by the funder, because only one in 12 eligible patients was recruited;²⁵ therefore, we increased the duration of follow-up by 1 year to accrue the planned numbers of person-years of follow-up and primary outcome events.

268 participants were randomly assigned to start antiplatelet therapy and 269 to avoid antiplatelet therapy

	Start antiplatelet therapy (n=268)	Avoid antiplatelet therapy (n=269)
Sex		
Male	173 (65%)	187 (70%)
Female	95 (35%)	82 (30%)
Age*		
Overall	77 (69–82)	76 (69–82)
<70 years	73 (27%)	73 (27%)
≥70 years	195 (73%)	196 (73%)
Ethnicity		
White	251 (94%)	242 (90%)
Asian	12 (4%)	18 (7%)
Black	4 (1%)	5 (2%)
Other	1 (<1%)	4 (1%)
Indication for antithrombotic therapy before intracerebral haemorrhage†		
At least one occlusive vascular disease		
With atrial fibrillation	42 (16%)	50 (19%)
Without atrial fibrillation	194 (72%)	189 (70%)
No occlusive vascular diseases		
With atrial fibrillation	19 (7%)	23 (9%)
Without atrial fibrillation	13 (5%)	7 (3%)
History of intracranial or extracranial haemorrhage†		
Location of intracerebral haemorrhage*		
Lobar supratentorial	166 (62%)	166 (62%)
Non-lobar	102 (38%)	103 (38%)
Time since intracerebral haemorrhage symptom onset*		
Overall	80 (30–149)	71 (29–144)
1–6 days	10 (4%)	11 (4%)
7–30 days	59 (22%)	59 (22%)
>30 days	199 (74%)	199 (74%)
Probability of good 6-month outcome* ¹⁹		
<0.15	48 (18%)	51 (19%)
≥0.15	220 (82%)	218 (81%)
Context of enrolment		
Hospital inpatient	87 (32%)	96 (36%)
Hospital outpatient	181 (68%)	173 (64%)
Participant consented	212 (79%)	213 (79%)
Proxy consented	56 (21%)	56 (21%)

Data are n (%) or median (IQR). *Variables used in the minimisation algorithm.
†Complete list of comorbidities is in the appendix.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics

(figure 1), of whom all but one participant in the avoidance group were included in the outcome analyses.

At baseline, participants in the two treatment groups were on average 76 years old, approximately two-thirds were male, and 92% were white (table 1). 62% of participants had lobar intracerebral haemorrhage, 88% had one or more previous occlusive vascular disease (mostly ischaemic heart disease, ischaemic stroke, or transient ischaemic attack), three-quarters had a history of high blood pressure, a quarter had diabetes, and a quarter had atrial fibrillation when they were randomised (appendix). Participants were randomly assigned to each

group a median of 76 days (IQR 29–146) after intracerebral haemorrhage onset. Half of the participants were taking aspirin, about a quarter clopidogrel, and approximately one-fifth oral anticoagulation at the onset of intracerebral haemorrhage (appendix). At baseline, participants' characteristics and use of antithrombotic therapy were well balanced for major prognostic factors and potential confounders (table 1). With the exception of 12 participants who were ineligible because their intracranial haemorrhage did not extend into the brain parenchyma or had intracerebral haemorrhages that were found to be secondary to a macrovascular cause (one cavernous malformation, one venous thrombosis, and one aneurysm) and four who were taking anticoagulants at randomisation, the remaining 522 (97%) participants had intracerebral haemorrhage without an underlying structural or macrovascular cause identified.

Follow-up ended on Nov 30, 2018. Four participants died (figure 1) before hospital discharge, and the remaining 533 participants were followed up at hospital or clinic

discharge. Completeness of primary care practitioner questionnaires (79% by post, 16% by telephone, and 4% by both) was 100% at all follow-up timepoints (from 6 months to 4 years). Completeness of participant or carer questionnaires (60% by post, 38% by telephone, and 2% by both) was 99% at 6 months or at 1 year, 99% at 2 years, 98% at 3 years, and 94% at 4 years. We obtained 1064 of an intended 1071 person-years of follow-up (overall completeness 99·3%).

Immediate adherence to allocated treatment was good, with some decline over time: 99% at discharge, 93% after 6 months or 1 year, 89% after 2 years, 86% after 3 years, and 82% after 4 years (appendix). Few participants ($\leq 10\%$) used anticoagulant therapy during follow-up (appendix). Most participants took at least one blood-pressure lowering drug during follow-up and achieved median systolic blood pressure 130 mm Hg, with good balance by treatment allocation (appendix).

The proportional hazards assumption was fulfilled for analyses of primary and secondary outcomes during follow-up.

For the primary outcome, 12 [4%] of 268 participants allocated to start antiplatelet therapy had recurrences of intracerebral haemorrhage compared with 23 [9%] of 268 participants who did not start therapy (adjusted HR 0·51 [95% CI 0·25–1·03]; $p=0\cdot060$; tables 2, 3, figure 2, appendix). This proportional reduction in the primary outcome was similar in unadjusted and adjusted models, and in two sensitivity analyses involving the addition of symptomatic stroke of uncertain subtype ($p=0\cdot044$) or death of undetermined cause ($p=0\cdot048$) as possible occurrences of the primary outcome (table 3). 30-day case fatality after recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage was not different between participants starting antiplatelet therapy (5 [42%] of 12 participants) and those avoiding antiplatelet therapy (9 [39%] of 23 participants). No evidence was found of heterogeneity of the effects of antiplatelet therapy on the primary outcome in prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses (figure 3).

During follow-up (table 2), 104 (19%) participants died due to non-cardiovascular causes ($n=57$, 55%), primary or secondary outcome events ($n=29$, 28%), other cardiovascular deaths ($n=16$, 15%), or undetermined causes ($n=2$, 2%). 96 (18%) participants had at least one arterial major occlusive vascular event (including stroke of uncertain subtype), 46 (9%) had at least one major haemorrhagic event, 13 (2%) had at least one venous major occlusive vascular event, and 17 (3%) had a revascularisation procedure.

For the composite secondary outcomes,¹⁸ 18 (7%) of 268 participants allocated to start antiplatelet therapy experienced major haemorrhagic events compared with 25 (9%) of 268 participants allocated to avoid antiplatelet therapy (adjusted HR 0·71 [95% CI 0·39–1·30]; $p=0\cdot27$); 39 (15%) of 268 participants in the antiplatelet group had major occlusive vascular events compared with 38 (14%) of

	Start antiplatelet therapy (n=268)		Avoid antiplatelet therapy (n=268)	
	First event	All events	First event	All events
Primary outcome				
Recurrent symptomatic spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage	12 (4%)	14	23 (9%)	27
Secondary outcomes				
Arterial events				
Major haemorrhagic events				
Spontaneous or traumatic intracranial extracerebral haemorrhage	4 (1%)	4	3 (1%)	3
Major extracranial haemorrhage	4 (1%)	4	0	0
Major occlusive vascular events				
Ischaemic stroke	19 (7%)	21	27 (10%)	28
Myocardial infarction	5 (2%)	5	8 (3%)	9
Peripheral arterial occlusion	5 (2%)	5	2 (1%)	2
Transient ischaemic attack	11 (4%)	12	18 (7%)	23
Retinal arterial occlusion	0	0	0	0
Mesenteric ischaemia	0	0	0	0
Stroke of uncertain subtype	0	0	1 (<1%)	1
Carotid, coronary, or peripheral arterial revascularisation procedures	12 (4%)	12	5 (2%)	5
Venous events				
Deep vein thrombosis	6 (2%)	6	2 (1%)	2
Pulmonary embolism	4 (1%)	4	1 (<1%)	1
Deaths				
Fatal outcome event	10 (4%)	10	19 (7%)	19
Other cardiovascular death	6 (2%)	6	8 (3%)	8
Sudden cardiac death	2 (1%)	2	0	0
Non-cardiovascular death	35 (13%)	35	22 (8%)	22
Undetermined cause	1 (<1%)	1	1 (<1%)	1
Data are n (%) or n.				

Table 2: Frequencies of the first occurrence and all primary and secondary outcome events during follow-up

	Start antiplatelet therapy (n=268)	Avoid antiplatelet therapy (n=268)	Log-rank test p value	Unadjusted analysis		Adjusted analysis		
				HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	
Primary outcome								
Recurrent symptomatic spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage	12	23	0.057	0.51 (0.26–1.03)	0.062	0.51 (0.25–1.03)	0.060	
Sensitivity analyses of the primary outcome								
Recurrent symptomatic spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage or symptomatic stroke of uncertain subtype	12	24	0.041	0.49 (0.25–0.99)	0.046	0.49 (0.24–0.98)	0.044	
Recurrent symptomatic spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage or death of undetermined cause	13	25	0.047	0.51 (0.26–1.00)	0.051	0.51 (0.26–0.99)	0.048	
Secondary outcomes								
All major haemorrhagic events (all types of symptomatic spontaneous or traumatic intracranial haemorrhage, or symptomatic major extracranial haemorrhage)	18	25	0.27	0.71 (0.39–1.30)	0.27	0.71 (0.39–1.30)	0.27	
All major occlusive vascular events (ischaemic stroke; myocardial infarction; mesenteric ischaemia; peripheral arterial occlusion; deep vein thrombosis; pulmonary embolism; or carotid, coronary, or peripheral arterial revascularisation procedures)	39	38	0.97	1.01 (0.65–1.58)	0.97	1.02 (0.65–1.60)	0.92	
All major haemorrhagic or occlusive vascular events	54	61	0.42	0.86 (0.60–1.24)	0.42	0.86 (0.60–1.24)	0.43	
Major occlusive vascular events*	45	52	0.39	0.84 (0.56–1.25)	0.39	0.84 (0.56–1.25)	0.39	
Major vascular events (as defined by the Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration)	45	65	0.026	0.65 (0.45–0.95)	0.027	0.65 (0.44–0.95)	0.025	

HR=hazard ratio. *As defined in the trial protocol.

Table 3: Risks of first occurrence of primary and secondary outcome events during follow-up

268 participants in the avoidance group (1.02 [0.65–1.60]; $p=0.92$); and 54 (20%) of 268 participants in the antiplatelet group had major haemorrhagic or occlusive vascular events compared with 61 (23%) of 268 participants in the avoidance group (0.86 [0.60–1.24]; $p=0.43$; table 3, appendix). In a sensitivity analysis, antiplatelet therapy did not reduce the cumulative incidence of all major haemorrhagic or occlusive vascular events ($p=1.0$). For the composite secondary outcome of all major vascular events specified in the trial protocol,^{4,17} antiplatelet therapy seemed to reduce the risk of non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke (ischaemic, haemorrhagic, or uncertain cause), or death from a vascular cause (adjusted HR 0.65 [95% CI 0.44–0.95]; $p=0.025$; table 3, appendix). We found no evidence of heterogeneity of the effects of antiplatelet therapy on these secondary outcomes in prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses (appendix) or in the distribution of the modified Rankin scale score during follow-up (appendix). Few serious adverse events occurred ($n=11$), which were neither outcomes nor expected complications of stroke (appendix).

Discussion

In this randomised trial of 537 survivors of an intracerebral haemorrhage while on antithrombotic therapy, starting antiplatelet therapy might have reduced the risk of recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage. The results exclude all but a very modest increase in the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage with antiplatelet therapy, which seems too small to exceed the established benefits of antiplatelet therapy for secondary prevention.⁴ Therefore, starting antiplatelet therapy seems to be safe




Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier plot of the first occurrence of recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage
Numbers at risk refer to survivors under follow-up at the start of each year according to treatment allocation. Cumulative events indicate the participants in follow-up with a first event. HR=hazard ratio.

and might be beneficial in patients who survived a median of 76 days after intracerebral haemorrhage, most of whom had good functional ability at baseline and a higher probability of good functional outcome at 6-month follow up (table 1, appendix).¹⁹ Our findings, alongside published observational studies,^{9–14} provide reassurance about the use of long-term antiplatelet therapy in a range of patients after intracerebral haemorrhage associated with antithrombotic therapy.

RESTART is the first randomised trial comparing starting versus avoiding antiplatelet therapy after




Figure 3: Prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses of the risk of first recurrent symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage

intracerebral haemorrhage,⁷ and provides more reliable estimates of the effects of antiplatelet therapy than previous observational studies.⁹⁻¹⁴ We minimised selection bias by using central, computerised random sequence generation and concealing allocation on the web application until all baseline data were entered. The recruited participants were comparable to European hospital-based and population-based cohorts of survivors of intracerebral haemorrhage who had previously taken antithrombotic therapy.³ Blood pressure was controlled for both groups throughout follow-up: half of the participants had a systolic blood pressure below the recommended target in the UK national stroke guideline and antihypertensive drug use was similar between groups. We minimised attrition bias by achieving 99.3% completeness of follow-up. The risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage was at the lower end of the ranges reported in cohort studies,¹ but similar to the 0.61-1.20% annual rates observed with cilostazol or aspirin use in the recent PICASSO trial.²⁶ The risk of gastrointestinal haemorrhage was low (table 2), possibly due to the prevalence of proton pump inhibitor use in a contemporary population of older stroke survivors.²⁷ We masked outcome assessors to treatment allocation group and receipt of antithrombotic therapy, and used objective

definitions of major outcomes and independent verification, to reduce misclassification of haemorrhagic and occlusive vascular events, and reduce bias that can arise in outcome assessment when treatment allocation is open.²⁸ We prespecified our outcomes and methods of analysis,¹⁷ and report these according to our protocol and statistical analysis plan.¹⁸ We also did prespecified exploratory analyses to investigate the effects of antiplatelet therapy according to brain imaging biomarkers that are often observed in clinical practice, which we report separately.²⁹

RESTART has some limitations. We intended to recruit 720 participants and follow them up for 2 years, but we recruited only 537 people (75% of our target). Investigators managed to recruit only one in 12 eligible patients; the remainder were not randomised because 28% of patients were too unwell when approached, 26% of patients' physicians were certain about whether or not to use antiplatelet therapy, 9% of patients declined, 7% of patients were started on oral anticoagulation, and 30% were not recruited for other reasons.²⁵ Because of slow recruitment, we did a stepped wedge cluster randomised study within this trial at 72 of the sites to investigate whether stroke audit data extracts could boost recruitment; however, this strategy was not successful.³⁰

As in many other randomised trials of intracerebral haemorrhage, most participants were male, which might be because of their propensity to be invited or consent rather than differences in incidence or outcome of intracerebral haemorrhage compared with women.^{31,32} Although we did not mask the assigned treatment to participants and physicians, the outcomes were objective and adjudicated masked to treatment allocation, which minimises bias.³³ Antiplatelet regimens used were mostly monotherapy, so the effects of dual antiplatelet therapy remain uncertain. Adherence to the allocated treatment declined over time but was more than 80% even after 4 years of follow-up. Although the sample size was smaller than intended and multiple statistical comparisons were done, we prespecified our primary outcome and main hypothesis, and regarded analyses of secondary outcomes and effects in subgroups as exploratory.

Platelets are the dominant contributor to thrombus formation in the arterial circulation, so antiplatelet therapy predominantly prevents arterial thrombosis. We included venous occlusive events in our composite secondary outcome of all haemorrhagic or occlusive vascular events because randomised trials suggested that antiplatelet therapy might prevent venous occlusive events,³⁴ but this benefit was not evident in this trial. However, in this trial, antiplatelet therapy did reduce a composite of major vascular events used by the Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration (that did not include venous occlusive events), with a proportionate reduction similar to the effects of aspirin for secondary prevention in their meta-analysis.⁴

Our finding that antiplatelet therapy might have reduced the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage was unexpected. Although we cannot rule out a random effect, this observation might not be as counterintuitive as it first seems. First, arterial thrombosis can trigger haemorrhage.³⁵ Second, more spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhages than expected might be due to haemorrhagic transformation of ischaemic stroke. Finally, inflammation might be a key mechanism underlying intracerebral haemorrhage (as is thought to be the case for intracranial aneurysms). These potential mechanisms underlying RESTART's findings merit further investigation.

Our findings have several implications for future research. We will continue follow-up of the surviving participants in RESTART for another 2 years to improve precision of effect estimates, especially after 2 years of follow-up, and observe whether adherence changes after the trial result is known. Ongoing randomised trials, such as RESTART-Fr (NCT02966119, intended sample size 280) and STATICH (NCT03186729, intended sample size 250), might help to confirm or refute the effects of antiplatelet therapy seen in RESTART.⁷ A prospectively planned individual patient data meta-analysis of RESTART and these trials, and in due course a larger randomised trial, could increase power to detect the overall effects of antiplatelet therapy in these patients and in subgroups of

interest with further investigation at earlier times after intracerebral haemorrhage and of heterogeneity of treatment effect by imaging features.²⁹ RESTART's findings also support the conduct of randomised trials of oral anticoagulation for survivors of intracerebral haemorrhage with atrial fibrillation, for whom there is some justification for the use of antiplatelet therapy as a comparator.³⁶

In summary, RESTART excluded all but a very modest increase in the risk of recurrent intracerebral haemorrhage with antiplatelet therapy, which seemed too small to exceed the established benefits of antiplatelet therapy for secondary prevention of major vascular events (video). Antiplatelet therapy might have reduced the recurrence of intracerebral haemorrhage. These findings provide reassurance about the use of antiplatelet therapy for similar patients in clinical practice. Ongoing randomised trials, their meta-analysis with RESTART, and an adequately powered definitive randomised trial should be done to strengthen the evidence.

Contributors

RA-SS (chief investigator) and MSD conceived the idea for the study. RA-SS, MSD, GDM, DEN, PAGS, CLMS, PMW, WNW, and DJW obtained funding and developed the protocol. RA-SS and MSD designed and implemented the study, with input from the trial management group. GDM was the masked trial statistician. JS was the unmasked trial statistician who did the data analyses. JMW advised on brain imaging acquisition, collection, management, and assessment. RA-SS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All members of the writing committee reviewed the analyses and drafts of this manuscript, and approved its final version.

The RESTART Collaboration*

Writing group: UK R Al-Shahi Salman, M S Dennis, P A G Sandercock, C L M Sudlow, J M Wardlaw, W N Whiteley, G D Murray, J Stephen, D E Newby (University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); N Sprigg (University of Nottingham, Nottingham); D J Werring (University College London Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London); P M White (Newcastle University and Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals, Newcastle-upon-Tyne).
Independent trial steering committee members: UK C Baigent (chairperson; University of Oxford, Oxford), D Lasserson (University of Birmingham, Birmingham), F Sullivan (University of St Andrews, St Andrews); J Carrie (patient–public representative; Edinburgh).

Other trial steering committee members: UK R Al-Shahi Salman, M S Dennis, G D Murray, D E Newby, P A G Sandercock, C L M Sudlow, W N Whiteley (University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); N Sprigg (University of Nottingham, Nottingham); D J Werring (University College London, London); P M White (University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Newcastle-upon-Tyne).

Sponsor's representative: J Rojas (Edinburgh, UK).

Funder's representative: S Amoils (London, UK).

Data monitoring committee: UK J Bamford (chairperson; Leeds), J Armitage and J Emberson (University of Oxford, Oxford), G Rinkel (University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht), G Lowe (University of Glasgow, Glasgow).

Trial management group: UK K Innes (senior trial manager, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); L Dinsmore (imaging manager, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); J Drever (data manager, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); C Williams (centre coordinator, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); D Perry, C McGill, D Buchanan, A Walker, A Hutchison, C Matthews (database programmers, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); R Fraser, A McGrath, A Deary, R Anderson, A Maxwell, P Walker (trial support officers, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); J Stephen, C Holm Hansen, R Parker, A Rodriguez (unmasked independent statisticians, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh).

Outcome event internal adjudicators: UK W N Whiteley, M R Macleod (University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh).

For Video see <https://www.youtube.com/embed/FnDqTXu3bnc>

For more on the meta-analysis see www.ed.ac.uk/clinical-brain-sciences/research/so-start/for-collaborators

Outcome event external adjudicator: Austria T Gattringer (Medical University of Graz, Graz).

Systematic Management, Archiving and Reviewing of Trial Images Service: UK J M Wardlaw (director, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); J Palmer (programmer, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh); E Sakka and J Adil-Smith (imaging managers, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh).
Brain imaging assessors: UK P M White, D P Minks, D Mitra, P Bhatnagar (Newcastle-upon-Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust, Newcastle-upon-Tyne); J C du Plessis (NHS Lothian, Edinburgh); Y Joshi (Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge).

*Investigators at sites that recruited participants listed in the appendix.

Declaration of interests

RA-SS and GDM report a grant from the British Heart Foundation (SP/12/2/29422) paid to the University of Edinburgh for the conduct of RESTART. RA-SS reports grants from The Stroke Association, Chest Heart and Stroke Scotland, and GE Healthcare Limited, outside the submitted work. DEN reports grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Bristol Myers Squibb, and Jansen, during the conduct of the study. PAGS reports funding from Bayer outside the submitted work. NS reports a grant from National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment for the TICH-2 trial, outside the submitted work. JMW reports grants from EU Framework 7, Medical Research Council, and the British Heart Foundation, outside the submitted work. DJW reports personal fees from Bayer and JFB consulting, outside the submitted work. PMW reports personal fees from Stryker Global Advisory Board on Haemorrhagic Stroke and MicroVention-Terumo, and a grant from MicroVention-Terumo outside the submitted work. WNW reports a Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health Department Senior Fellowship (SCAF_17_01) and a grant from the European Stroke Organisation, outside the submitted work. MSD, JS, and CLMS declare no competing interests.

Data sharing

A fully anonymised version of the dataset used for analysis with individual participant data and a data dictionary will be available for other researchers to apply for use 1 year after publication, via <https://datashare.is.ed.ac.uk/handle/10283/3265>. Written proposals will be assessed by members of the RESTART trial steering committee and a decision made about the appropriateness of the use of data. A data sharing agreement will be put in place before any data are shared.

Acknowledgments

We thank all participants, their relatives or carers, and their primary care practitioners; imaging adjudicators, outcome event adjudicators, the trial steering committee, and the data monitoring committee. We thank Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit staff for their involvement. We thank the British Heart Foundation for funding the trial with a Special Project grant (SP/12/2/20422) and continuing to support it while recruitment was challenging. The University of Edinburgh and the Lothian Health Board are co-sponsors. We acknowledge the support of the NIHR clinical research network, National Health Service Research Scotland (NRS) Scottish Stroke Research Network, and the support of the NRS through the Edinburgh clinical research facility (E131252) and National Health Service Lothian Research and Development. Imaging acquisition, processing, and data collection were done at the Edinburgh Imaging Facility, University of Edinburgh, which is part of the SINAPSE collaboration (funded by the Scottish Funding Council and the Chief Scientist Office).

References

- Poon MT, Fonville AF, Al-Shahi Salman R. Long-term prognosis after intracerebral haemorrhage: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2014; **85**: 660–67.
- Bejot Y, Cordonnier C, Durier J, Aboa-Eboule C, Rouaud O, Giroud M. Intracerebral haemorrhage profiles are changing: results from the Dijon population-based study. *Brain* 2013; **136**: 658–64.
- Pasquini M, Charidimou A, van Asch CJ, et al. Variation in restarting antithrombotic drugs at hospital discharge after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2014; **45**: 2643–48.
- Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration, Baigent C, Blackwell L, et al. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Lancet* 2009; **373**: 1849–60.
- Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; **146**: 857–67.
- Wong PF, Chong LY, Mikhailidis DP, Robless P, Stansby G. Antiplatelet agents for intermittent claudication. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; **11**: CD001272.
- Perry LA, Berge E, Bowditch J, et al. Antithrombotic treatment after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **5**: CD012144.
- Keir SL, Wardlaw JM, Sandercock PA, Chen Z. Antithrombotic therapy in patients with any form of intracranial haemorrhage: a systematic review of the available controlled studies. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2002; **14**: 197–206.
- Ding X, Liu X, Tan C, et al. Resumption of antiplatelet therapy in patients with primary intracranial hemorrhage-benefits and risks: ameta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Neurol Sci* 2018; **384**: 133–38.
- Flynn RW, MacDonald TM, Murray GD, MacWalter RS, Doney AS. Prescribing antiplatelet medicine and subsequent events after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2010; **41**: 2606–11.
- Biffi A, Halpin A, Towfighi A, et al. Aspirin and recurrent intracerebral hemorrhage in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Neurology* 2010; **75**: 693–98.
- Chong BH, Chan KH, Pong V, et al. Use of aspirin in Chinese after recovery from primary intracranial haemorrhage. *Thromb Haemost* 2012; **107**: 241–47.
- Teo KC, Lau GK, Mak RH, et al. Antiplatelet resumption after antiplatelet-related intracerebral hemorrhage: a retrospective hospital-based study. *World Neurosurg* 2017; **106**: 85–91.
- Gonzalez-Perez A, Gaist D, de Abajo FJ, Saez ME, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Low-dose aspirin after an episode of haemorrhagic stroke is associated with improved survival. *Thromb Haemost* 2017; **117**: 2396–405.
- Hemphill JC 3rd, Greenberg SM, Anderson CS, et al. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2015; **46**: 2032–60.
- Steiner T, Al-Shahi Salman R, Beer R, et al. European Stroke Organisation (ESO) guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Int J Stroke* 2014; **9**: 840–55.
- Al-Shahi Salman R, Dennis MS, Murray GD, et al. The REstart or STop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial (RESTART) after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2018; **19**: 162.
- Al-Shahi Salman R, Murray GD, Dennis MS, et al. The REstart or STop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial (RESTART) after stroke due to intracerebral haemorrhage: statistical analysis plan for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2019; **20**: 183.
- Counsell C, Dennis M, McDowall M, Warlow C. Predicting outcome after acute and subacute stroke: development and validation of new prognostic models. *Stroke* 2002; **33**: 1041–47.
- Bruno A, Shah N, Lin C, et al. Improving modified Rankin Scale assessment with a simplified questionnaire. *Stroke* 2010; **41**: 1048–50.
- Dennis M, Mead G, Doubal F, Graham C. Determining the modified Rankin score after stroke by postal and telephone questionnaires. *Stroke* 2012; **43**: 851–53.
- Hicks KA, Mahaffey KW, Mehran R, et al. 2017 cardiovascular and stroke endpoint definitions for clinical trials. *Circulation* 2018; **137**: 961–72.
- Mehran R, Rao SV, Bhatt DL, et al. Standardized bleeding definitions for cardiovascular clinical trials: a consensus report from the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium. *Circulation* 2011; **123**: 2736–47.
- Clark TG, Altman DG, De Stavola BL. Quantification of the completeness of follow-up. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 1309–10.
- Maxwell AE, MacLeod MJ, Joyson A, et al. Reasons for non-recruitment of eligible patients to a randomised controlled trial of secondary prevention after intracerebral haemorrhage: observational study. *Trials* 2017; **18**: 162.
- Kim BJ, Lee EJ, Kwon SU, et al. Prevention of cardiovascular events in Asian patients with ischaemic stroke at high risk of cerebral haemorrhage (PICASSO): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2018; **17**: 509–18.

- 27 Li L, Geraghty OC, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM, Oxford Vascular Study. Age-specific risks, severity, time course, and outcome of bleeding on long-term antiplatelet treatment after vascular events: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet* 2017; **390**: 490–99.
- 28 Hróbjartsson A, Thomsen AS, Emanuelsson F, et al. Observer bias in randomised clinical trials with binary outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. *BMJ* 2012; **344**: e1119.
- 29 Al-Shahi Salman R, Minks DP, Mitra D, et al. Effects of antiplatelet therapy on stroke risk by brain imaging features of intracerebral haemorrhage and cerebral small vessel diseases: sub-group analyses of the RESTART randomised, open-label trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; published online May 22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(19\)30184-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30184-X).
- 30 Maxwell AE, Parker RA, Drever J, et al. Promoting Recruitment using Information Management Efficiently (PRIME): a stepped-wedge, cluster randomised trial of a complex recruitment intervention embedded within the REstart or Stop Antithrombotics Randomised Trial. *Trials* 2017; **18**: 623.
- 31 Gokhale S, Caplan LR, James ML. Sex differences in incidence, pathophysiology, and outcome of primary intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2015; **46**: 886–92.
- 32 van Asch CJ, Luitse MJ, Rinkel GJ, van der Tweel I, Algra A, Klijn CJ. Incidence, case fatality, and functional outcome of intracerebral haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and ethnic origin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2010; **9**: 167–76.
- 33 Wood L, Egger M, Gluud LL, et al. Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ* 2008; **336**: 601–05.
- 34 Steinhubl SR, Eikelboom JW, Hylek EM, Dauerman HL, Smyth SS, Becker RC. Antiplatelet therapy in prevention of cardio- and venous thromboembolic events. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2014; **37**: 362–71.
- 35 Garcia-Yebenes I, Sobrado M, Zarruk JG, et al. A mouse model of hemorrhagic transformation by delayed tissue plasminogen activator administration after in situ thromboembolic stroke. *Stroke* 2011; **42**: 196–203.
- 36 Aguilar M, Hart R. Antiplatelet therapy for preventing stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation and no previous history of stroke or transient ischemic attacks. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; **4**: CD001925.