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Background: Larsen syndrome is an autosomal dominant osteochondrodysplasia characterised by large-joint dislocations and craniofacial abnormalities. 

The cardinal features of the condition are dislocations of the hip, knee and elbow joints, with equinovarus or equinovalgus foot deformities. Spatula-shaped fingers, most marked in the thumb, are also present. Craniofacial anomalies include hypertelorism, prominence of the forehead, a depressed nasal bridge and a flattened midface. 

Cleft palate and short stature are often associated. 

equinovarus or equinovalgus foot deformities. Spatula-shaped fingers, most marked in the thumb, are also present. Craniofacial anomalies include hypertelorism, prominence of the forehead, a depressed nasal bridge and a flattened midface. 

Cleft palate and short stature are often associated. 

There is clear evidence for an autosomal dominant form of Larsen syndrome, with multiple instances of male-to-male transmission being described in addition to linkage data that defines a locus at 3p21.1–14.1. 

Instances of sibling recurrence to unaffected parents have been retrospectively explained by parental germline mosaicism on subsequent observation of vertical transmission of the phenotype. 

Other instances of sibling recurrence to unaffected parents may reflect the same underlying mechanism. 

Presentations consistent with somatic mosaicism have also been reported.

Other conditions labelled as Larsen syndrome or Larsen-like entities have been described (OMIM 245650), many with a more severe phenotype including additional extraskeletal features. 

Associated malformations include cardiac defects, laryngotracheomalacia, brain abnormalities (microcephaly, pachygyria, colpocephaly, corpus callosum agenesis) and inguinal herniae. Some of these phenotypes segregated in a fashion consistent with autosomal recessive inheritance, prompting some to recognise a recessive form of Larsen syndrome despite many cases having major phenotypic dissimilarities with the entity Larsen syndrome.

Many have noted more severe skeletal and extraskeletal phenotypic features including perinatal lethality in presumptive recessively inherited cases, implying that it is possible to clinically distinguish these heterogeneous entities from autosomal dominant Larsen syndrome. 

However, clear criteria that definitively delineate recessively inherited forms of Larsen syndrome from the dominantly inherited entity have not been established.

Laville et al. and Bonaventure et al. described several large families, from La Réunion Island, which segregated a phenotype resembling Larsen syndrome, but with severe short stature, advanced skeletal maturation, diaphyseal bowing and lethality in childhood. 

Recurrence of the phenotype to unaffected parents in an isolated population firmly implicates an autosomal recessive mode of inheritance. Other similar cases have since been reported.

This clinical presentation has more similarities to Desbuquois dysplasia than to Larsen syndrome. 

Abbreviations: FLNA, filamin A gene; FLNB, filamin B gene; MCPP, metacarpophalangeal pattern; OMIM, on-line mendelian inheritance in man; OPD, otopalatodigital syndrome
Clinical similarities between Larsen syndrome and a group of lethal osteochondrodysplasias including atelosteogenesis types I (AOI, OMIM 108720) and III (AOIII, OMIM 108721), and boomerang dysplasia (OMIM 112310) suggested that they represent an allelic series of conditions. These more severe dysplasias are characterised by underossification of skeletal elements, hypoplastic or absent limb bones, joint dislocations and craniofacial abnormalities. These observations, with the phenotypic similarities between Larsen syndrome and otopalatodigital syndrome type 1 (OPD1), an X-linked skeletal disorder caused by mutations in FLNA, the gene encoding filamin A, led to the description of mutations in the paralogous gene filamin B gene (FLNB) underlying Larsen syndrome, AOI, AOIII and boomerang dysplasia. Mutations leading to AOI and AOIII were clustered in calponin homology domain 2 (CH2) and repeats 13–17.

Filamin B is a cytoskeletal protein that is important in modulation of the cellular cytoskeleton and signal transduction. It is composed of two calponin homology domains at the N-terminal forming an actin-binding domain, and 24 structurally homologous repeats, separated by two hinge regions located between repeats 15 and 16, and 23 and 24. Four missense mutations and one intrame deletion were identified associated with Larsen syndrome and localised to portions of the gene encoding the actin-binding domain and repeats 14 and 15. Mutations leading to AOI and AOIII were also clustered in FLNB, in contrast with nonsense and frameshift mutations leading to spondylocarpotarsal syndrome, which are more randomly located throughout the gene.

In vivo, filamins form dimers, with repeat 24 acting as a dimerisation domain. The hinge regions confer flexibility on the filamin dimer structure, enabling orthogonal actin cross-linking. Several proteins bind to the C-terminal portion of filamin B. The physiological relevance of filamin binding to many of these interacting proteins, including integrin β1A and β1D subunits, presenilins 1 and 2, glycoprotein Ibα, filamin-binding LIM protein 1 and epithin, is unclear, but emerging evidence supports a role for filamins in the integration of cell signalling and cytoskeletal remodelling.

In this paper a cohort of 20 unrelated families with Larsen syndrome is reported, comprising 52 affected individuals. We note the clinical features associated with the presence of a FLNB mutation and examined for genotype-phenotype correlations for this disorder. Mutations were non-randomly distributed and some were recurrently observed. In addition, a characteristic clinical phenotype for Larsen syndrome associated with mutations in FLNB was delineated.

**METHODS**

**Patient ascertainment**

Patients or families with a diagnosis of Larsen syndrome were ascertained by doctor-initiated referral. Informed consent was obtained from participants or their legal guardians. Patients and family members were examined by their doctor. Clinical photographs and a full skeletal radiographic survey were obtained where possible. For some patients, full radiographic and clinical details were not obtainable. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Otago Ethics Committee.

**Molecular analysis**

Genomic DNA from cases to be examined was extracted from whole blood using standard procedures. FLNB exons and exon-intron boundaries were amplified using polymerase chain reaction as described previously. Primers and polymerase chain reaction conditions are available on request. Amplified DNA was subject to denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography on a WAVE DNA fragment analysis system (Transgenomic, Omaha, Nebraska, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Amplions showing anomalous traces were re-amplified and cycle-sequenced on an ABI 3100 sequencer. Where mutations were shown to have arisen de novo, declared relationships were verified by genotyping both parents and the patient at six microsatellite loci. Where parental samples were not available or the trait was familial, the mutation was shown to be absent in 100 control chromosomes.

**Metacarpophalangeal pattern profiles**

Metacarpophalangeal pattern (MCPP) profile analyses were performed as described previously. Bone lengths of the 19 individual bones of the hand were measured in millimetres, expressed in standard deviation (SD) units (z scores) relative to age-specific and gender-specific mean bone lengths, and corrected for age, gender and height using ANTRO software (V.4.83E). To quantify the altered structure of a hand, a pattern variability index (σ2) was calculated, which describes the variance of z scores of an MCPP profile. The mean σ2 of the normal population is approximately 0.5. A σ2 value >0.8 (the 95th centile) is considered to be suggestive of a malformation syndrome.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical presentation**

Table 1 shows the clinical descriptions of patients with a FLNB mutation. There were 8 male and 12 female probands; 16 isolated cases and 4 familial cases. All probands had dislocations or subluxation of the large joints (65% with elbow, 80% with hip and 80% with knee dislocations). The most mildly affected proband (case 3) manifested subluxable shoulders as her only large-joint symptom. Clubfoot was present in 75%. Anterior thoracic wall deformities (pectus excavatum or pectus carinatum) were present in 55% of patients. Short stature was common (14/20 cases recording height below the 10th centile). Height less than the first centile was rare and some individuals were of above-average stature (case 13 was 170 cm; >97th centile). The majority of individuals had the characteristic prominent forehead, hypertelorism, midface hypoplasia and depressed nasal bridge (fig 1), although exceptions were observed (case 13; fig 1D). All but one individual with mutations in FLNB had spatulate fingers, most specifically in the thumb (fig 2). Conductive deafness, often with noticeable malformation of the ossicular chain, was observed in 4 of 19 (21%) individuals.

**Skeletal anomalies**

Radiologically, apart from secondary abnormalities attributable to chronic joint dislocation, the metaphyses and diaphyses of the long bones were normal. A minority of patients (eg, case 6), with more pronounced short stature and craniofacial anomalies, exhibited distal humeral hypoplasia and thus exemplify an overlap phenotype between Larsen syndrome and AOIII. In this cohort, supernumerary carpal and tarsal ossification centres were universally observed features in individuals for whom relevant radiographs were available (fig 3), although these signs may be absent in some individuals with the allelic condition atelosteogenesis III, suggesting that they may not be completely sensitive indicators for Larsen syndrome. Distal phalangeal abnormalities, most severely and consistently affecting the thumb, were similarly common (fig 3). Spinal abnormalities were observed in 16 of 19 (84%) individuals. Cervical kyphosis was noted in 50% of probands (fig 4), usually on the basis of subluxation or fusion of the C2–C3–C4 vertebral bodies. A common accompaniment was posterior vertebral arch dysraphism, dysplasia of the vertebral laminae and hypoplasia of the lateral processes of all cervical vertebrae. Clinical
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case/ Family</th>
<th>Proband sex</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Protein domain</th>
<th>Number affected</th>
<th>Familial/sporadic</th>
<th>Cervical spinal anomalies</th>
<th>Congenital joint dislocation</th>
<th>Anterior facial/ ear deformity</th>
<th>Spontaneous fusion</th>
<th>Nervousness</th>
<th>Distal tapering of humerus</th>
<th>Accessory ossification centres</th>
<th>Cardiac defects</th>
<th>Neurodevelopmental delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4827→G</td>
<td>F161C+1</td>
<td>CHR LS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5020→A</td>
<td>G168S</td>
<td>CHR LS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7920→G</td>
<td>E236V</td>
<td>CHR LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6796→A</td>
<td>E227K+1</td>
<td>CHR LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6796→A</td>
<td>E227K+1</td>
<td>CHR LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10910→A</td>
<td>G361S</td>
<td>Rpy 2 LAO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10890→A</td>
<td>G361S</td>
<td>Rpy 2 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42970→G</td>
<td>L1431R</td>
<td>Rpy 13 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47136→AA1</td>
<td>S197L+1</td>
<td>Rpy 14 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47540→A</td>
<td>G159R</td>
<td>Rpy 14 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47571→A</td>
<td>V139D</td>
<td>Rpy 14 LS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48990→C</td>
<td>F1083</td>
<td>Rpy 14 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50710→A</td>
<td>G16915+1</td>
<td>Rpy 15 LS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACR, arthrogryposis; CH2, calponin homology domain 2; F, female; LS, Larsen syndrome; M, male; NA, not assessed; Rpy, familial report; s, present; –, absent

Phenotypes listed in familial cases are cumulative for all affected members, not solely the proband. ‘Mutation proved de novo by examination of parental samples.’ Mutation previously reported.

Table 1 Phenotypic features of Larsen syndrome due to mutations in FLNB
myelopathy, complicated by secondary ischaemic encephalopathy, was observed in 3 of 20 individuals (fig 4). Thoracolumbar scoliosis was noted in 60%, but was not attributable to underlying vertebral anomalies on radiographs.

Molecular analysis
Heterozygotic mutations in FLNB were found in 20 probands (table 1). Ten had arisen de novo and four segregated within families. Most mutations were missense; there was one small inframe deletion, 4711_4713delAAT (1571delN). Three mutations were recurrent, leading to the substitutions E227K (n = 2), G1691S (n = 6) and G1834R (n = 2). ClustalW alignment showed that the predicted amino acid substitutions in Larsen syndrome occurred at sites that are highly conserved in paralogous and orthologous forms of the protein (fig 5).

Mutations were non-randomly distributed throughout the gene. Two clusters of mutations were evident, those in exons 2–4 encoding CH2, and those in exons 25–33 encoding filamin repeats 13–17 (fig 6). Two patients had mutations in a region outside these hotspots, predicting the substitutions G361S and G363E in filamin repeat 2. One of these patients presented with a phenotype intermediate between AOIII and Larsen syndrome (case 6; figs 1A and 3G). There were no phenotypic differences between patients with mutations located in the 5′ compared with the 3′ hotspot of FLNB.

Intrafamilial variation for the Larsen syndrome phenotype was studied in a large kindred segregating the recurrent mutation 679G→A, leading to the substitution E227K, in 30 individuals over three generations (case 5). Table 2 shows the clinical manifestations present in each member examined in this family. Numerous clinical symptoms and signs seen in Larsen syndrome were variable in this family. The most remarkable example of this is III2, who has no large-joint dislocations, yet all her children are affected to different degrees. All affected members in the pedigree show the typical facies, with hypertelorism absent in a minority. Cleft palate (8%) is relatively rare in this family. Typical features such as spatulate fingers and supernumerary carpal bones are present in the majority of the
affected family members. However, the first metacarpal and first metatarsal are disproportionately broad in some subjects (figs 7D,G,H). Some metacarpals and phalanges of affected individuals are overtubulated (fig 7C,D,G,H).

MCPP analysis was performed for eight members in family 5 and also for case 15 (fig 8). The MCPP profiles generated were similar to the profiles reported previously for patients with Larsen syndrome. The pattern is characterised by short metacarpals (especially the second to fifth metacarpals) and short distal phalanges (especially the first, third and fourth). The mean pattern variability index ($\sigma Z$) was 1.36 for males and 1.35 for females (range 1.09–1.81) from family 5. A value >0.8 is indicative of a malformation syndrome.

DISCUSSION

Larsen syndrome, as originally described, comprises multiple large-joint dislocations, midface hypoplasia and spatulate fingers. Variable features included cleft palate and vertebral defects, especially in the cervical region. Since then the diagnosis has been applied to a wide spectrum of phenotypes characterised by joint dislocations, including some with severe extraskeletal manifestations and perinatal lethality. The description of mutations in \(FLNB\) underlying autosomal dominant Larsen syndrome, in addition to the allelic entities spondylocarpotarsal syndrome, AOI, AOIII and boomerang dysplasia, facilitates the study of this heterogeneous category afresh and offers an opportunity to re-define the phenotype.

Some phenotypic features are consistently present in \(FLNB\)-related, dominantly inherited, Larsen syndrome. Although multiple joint dislocations, digit and craniofacial abnormalities have previously been considered to be the defining features of autosomal dominant Larsen syndrome,\(^{1,4,6,13,15}\) the presence of other manifestations such as short stature, anterior thoracic wall deformity (either pectus excavatum or pectus carinatum) and spatulate fingers (most notable in the thumb) collectively improve the diagnostic specificity for dominant
Larsen syndrome caused by mutations in \textit{FLNB}. In this series, the only invariant feature observed in all cases of Larsen syndrome assessed at a sufficiently advanced age was the presence of accessory ossification centres in the carpus or tarsus or both. Individuals who carried a pathogenic mutation in \textit{FLNB} but did not manifest one or more features previously thought to be obligatory for the diagnosis—large-joint dislocations (case 3, family 5, cases III2 and IV9), spatulate fingers (family 5, cases III2, IV3, IV7 and III8), midface hypoplasia (case 12) and stature below the 10th centile (cases 3, 4, 7 and 13)—were identified (table 1). Intrafamilial variability in severity of phenotypic expression reiterates previous observations in other reported cases of Larsen syndrome.

MCPP analysis indicates that autosomal dominant Larsen syndrome is characterised by a distinctive acral patterning defect. The mean MCPP profile for Larsen syndrome is similar to the mean MCPP profile of males with otopalatodigital syndrome type 1 (OPD1), a condition caused by mutations in the paralogous gene, \textit{FLNA}.

Cervical spine anomalies, often leading to cervical kyphosis, have long been recognised complications of Larsen syndrome, but their true incidence and associated risk of myelopathy have not been quantified. In this study, 10 of 16 individuals had cervical vertebral anomalies, most typically fusion of C2 and C3 sometimes accompanied by subluxation of C3 on C4, and posterior arch defects within the cervical spine. Occasionally, anomalies can be considerably more extensive than this (fig 4). In this series, 3 of 20 probands (15%) manifested a myelopathy. The pronounced morbidity associated with myelopathy warrants spinal investigation on all individuals diagnosed with Larsen syndrome.

In the light of the above observations, does a recessive form of Larsen syndrome exist? These data support Mostello \textit{et al}, who stated that no clinical, radiographic or histological marker separates several reports compatible with a recessive form of Larsen syndrome. The pronounced morbidity associated with myelopathy warrants spinal investigation on all individuals diagnosed with Larsen syndrome.

Figure 5 ClustalW alignment of homologous filamins from human, mouse, \textit{Gallus gallus}, \textit{Drosophila melanogaster} and \textit{Anopheles gambiae}. Residues predicted to be substituted in Larsen syndrome in filamin B (bold) and otopalatodigital syndrome spectrum disorders in filamin A (italic) are indicated. Hyp-Fln, hypothetical filamin.

Figure 6 Location of predicted Larsen syndrome substitutions in filamin B. Schematic of filamin B, with two N-terminal calponin homology domains, and repeats 1–24 with hinge regions interposed between repeats 15 and 16, and 23 and 24. Above each domain is the predicted amino acid substitutions found in patients with Larsen syndrome. \textsuperscript{5}Substitutions previously reported by Krakow \textit{et al}.

Figure 7 Location of predicted Larsen syndrome substitutions in filamin A. The five predicted substitutions found in patients with Larsen syndrome are depicted. 

Figure 8 Location of predicted Larsen syndrome substitutions in filamin A. The five predicted substitutions found in patients with Larsen syndrome are depicted.
inherited entity from those that describe the dominantly transmitted phenotype, now known to be caused by mutations in FLNB. These putative recessive entities may represent further instances of parental germline mosaicism for a heterozygotic FLNB mutation. The entity described in the La Réunion Island isolate is clearly phenotypically discrete (stature −5 SD, polydactyly, advanced skeletal maturation, radioulnar synostosis, diaphyseal bowing, metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal dislocations, lack of accessory carpal and tarsal bones), clearly distinguishing this phenotype from autosomal dominant Larsen syndrome due to FLNB mutations. Nevertheless, on the basis of current evidence, a recessive form of Larsen syndrome cannot be ruled out.

Clinical and radiological analysis can distinguish bona fide Larsen syndrome from other joint dislocation syndromes. Desbuquois syndrome shows autosomal recessive inheritance, advanced carpal ossification and prominent deformities of the hands. Accessory ossification centres are associated with the metacarpals and phalanges as opposed to the carpus. Pseudodiastrophic dysplasia is similar to Larsen syndrome with midface hypoplasia and clubfoot, but patients can be distinguished by the presence of rhizomelia, prominent dislocations of the interphalangeal joints and most often perinatal lethality. Ehlers–Danlos syndromes (arthrochalasia types; formerly termed Ehlers–Danlos types VIIA and VIIB) are characterised by large-joint dislocations, but are radiographically distinct from Larsen syndrome. Importantly, a principal phenotypic feature in these conditions is that of hyperelastic skin, a feature not found in Larsen syndrome.

This series reports 20 patients who were heterozygous for mutations in FLNB. All mutations were either missense or produced small inframe deletions. The predicted substitutions/deletions were clustered, one cluster comprising exons 2–4 encoding CH2 and the other comprising exons 25–33 encoding filamin repeats 13–17 (fig 6). The interfamilial phenotypic variation between patients with recurring mutations was wide.

The most recurrent mutation, predicting the substitution G1691S, was noted in six unrelated patients, with variable consequences. These ranged from a mild phenotype comprising dislocated knee joints, flat facies, stature >97th centile and no cervical spine abnormalities (case 13), to severe cases with myelopathy (case 16). Farrington-Rock et al described another infant with this mutation and a distally tapering humerus, cervical kyphosis and multiple joint dislocations indicating overlap with AOIII. The phenotypic relatedness between Larsen syndrome and AOIII is reinforced by reports of survival in individuals with the AOIII entity, although a diagnosis of AOIII is still appropriate in instances where incomplete ossification of skeletal elements (such as the phalanges) or long-bone modelling defects such as distally tapering humeri are prominent features.

A second recurrent mutation leading to the substitution E227K is similarly associated with variable expression. Study of a family segregating this mutation over four generations and having 30 affected members demonstrated that very few phenotypic components are obligatory requirements for the diagnosis (table 2). An unrelated case (case 4) has also been identified as having the same 679G→A mutation. His phenotype is comparatively mild, comprising elbow dislocations, an anterior thoracic wall deformity, supernumerary ossification centres and spatulate fingers.

There are many phenotypic and genetic similarities between the FLNB-related conditions and the OPD spectrum disorders, which are caused by mutations in the X-linked gene, FLNA. The FLNA-related entity bearing the most similarity to Larsen syndrome is OPD. Multiple large-joint dislocations have not been described in this entity, and therefore differential
Intrafamilial phenotypic variability in Larsen syndrome. Clinical and radiographic images of hands and feet from different members of family 5. Variation in the degree of hypoplasia of the distal phalanx of the thumb (compare A and C with B and D). (A,C) IV20, (B,D) IV21, (E,G) IVI, (H) III3. Informed consent was obtained from all patients/guardians for publication of this figure.

Figure 7  Intrafamilial phenotypic variability in Larsen syndrome. Clinical and radiographic images of hands and feet from different members of family 5. Variation in the degree of hypoplasia of the distal phalanx of the thumb (compare A and C with B and D). (A,C) IV20, (B,D) IV21, (E,G) IVI, (H) III3. Informed consent was obtained from all patients/guardians for publication of this figure.

Figure 8  Metacarpophalangeal pattern profiles from family 5 and case 15. The mean (SEM) is shown for family 5.
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