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The effect of pressure on the crystal structure of bianthrone

Bianthrone [10(10-oxoanthracen-9-ylidene)anthracen-9-one] consists of two tricyclic anthraceneone units connected by a carbon–carbon double bond. Crystals of the form obtained under ambient conditions are yellow and contain folded centrosymmetric conformers in which the central ring of the anthraceneone unit is non-planar. When hydrostatic pressure is applied the crystals assume a red colouration which gradually deepens as pressures increases. The colour change is limited in extent to the surface of the crystals, the bulk remaining yellow. Comparison of high-pressure, single-crystal UV–vis spectra and powder diffraction data demonstrate that the colour change is associated with the formation of a polymorph containing a conformer in which the tricyclic fragments are planar and the molecule is twisted about the central C—C bond. Single-crystal diffraction data collected as a function of pressure up to 6.5 GPa reveal the effect of compression on the yellow form, which consists of layers of molecules which stack along the [010] direction. The structure remains in a compressed form of the ambient-pressure phase when subjected to hydrostatic pressure up to 6.5 GPa, and the most prominent effect of pressure is to push the layers closer together. PIXEL calculations show that considerable strain builds up in the crystal as pressure is increased with a number of intermolecular contacts being pushed into destabilizing regions of their potentials.

1. Introduction

Bianthrone [10(10-oxoanthracen-9-ylidene)anthracen-9-one] is part of a family of compounds which are known as bistri cyclic aromatic enes (BAEs). Crystals of bianthrone are bright yellow and the molecules adopt a ‘folded’ conformation (Fig. 1a; Harnik & Schmidt, 1954). In solution bianthrone changes colour reversibly from bright yellow to dark green when subjected to heat (thermochromism; Meyer, 1909a,b) or light (photochromism; Kortum, 1974). Yellow crystals of bianthrone are neither photochromic nor thermochromic; however, they are reported to change colour to dark green on grinding with a pestle and mortar (Wasserman & Davies, 1959). The same molecular species is responsible for the green colour of the forms obtained under all three sets of conditions, and is associated with an absorption band at ~ 650 nm in the UV–vis spectrum. The green form reverts slowly back to the yellow form over time (Fanselow & Drickamer, 1974).
While thermo-, photo- and piezochromism are properties common to many BAEs, the structures of the deeply coloured forms have been debated for over a century. Recently, however, Biedermann et al. (2006) obtained the crystal structures of two polymorphic forms of one BAE, 9-(2,7-dimethyl-9H-fluoren-9-ylidene)-9H-xanthene. Sublimation of this compound yielded yellow and purple polymorphs in different zones of the sublimation apparatus. The yellow form contained molecules in a folded conformation analogous to that of the yellow form of bianthrone. The purple crystals contained molecules in a twisted conformation in which the two tricyclic moieties were essentially planar, but with a dihedral angle of 50.1° about the linking C—C bond. This structure is reminiscent of a structure for the green form of bianthrone (Fig. 1) with a twist angle of 55° that had been suggested by Korenstein et al. (1973) on the basis of proton NMR spectroscopy and minimum energy strain calculations.

The behaviour of bianthrone in the solid state with pressure was studied by Fanselow & Drickamer (1974), who dispersed the compound in a solid polymer, polymethylmethacrylate, and then collected UV–vis spectra up to around 12 GPa. Fanselow and Drickamer do not refer to ‘yellow’ or ‘green’ forms in their paper, preferring instead to refer to them as ‘A’ and ‘B’ forms, perhaps because the dispersion used was dilute, 0.0003–0.0006 mol mol\(^{-1}\) of methylmethacrylate monomer, and the sample sizes necessarily tiny. However, the spectra obtained contained one band due to the yellow ‘A’ form at between 400 and 465 nm (depending on the pressure) which decreased in intensity with pressure and another due to the green ‘B’ form at 650 nm which appeared and then increased in intensity as pressure was increased. At the highest pressure reached the conversion to the green form was 30%.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the behaviour of bianthrone at high pressure using single-crystal X-ray diffraction. One aim of the study was to show whether the colour change that is observed in bianthrone upon grinding could be reproduced by the application of hydrostatic pressure to a single crystal in a diamond–anvil cell. Although Fanselow and Drickamer’s results showed that it was unlikely that we would observe complete conversion to the green form under...
these conditions, we were interested in whether there were any features of the crystal structure of the yellow form which might hint at reasons for its instability towards compression.

Somewhat to our surprise, compression caused the crystals to become not green but red, a transition which we have further investigated using single-crystal high-pressure UV–vis spectroscopy.

2. Experimental

2.1. Crystal growth

Bianthrone was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Catalogue number R750077). A sample (50 mg) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 ml). Evaporation over the course of 2 weeks at room temperature resulted in the formation of small yellow crystals on the side of the vial.

2.2. Determination of the ambient-pressure structure of bianthrone

Data were measured on a Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 293 K. The crystal structure of bianthrone has previously been investigated at 220 and 113 K (Parsons et al., 2004; Wolstenholme & Cameron, 2006). Data were collected at room temperature to facilitate comparisons with the high-pressure data (see below), which were collected at the same temperature. The data were integrated using SAINT (Bruker–Nonius, 2006) and corrected for absorption with SADABS (Sheldrick, 2008). The structure was solved using direct methods (SIR92; Altomare et al., 1994) and refined against F using data with F > 4σ(F) in CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 2003). All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. H atoms attached to carbon were placed geometrically and constrained to ride on their host atoms. Crystal and refinement data are given in Table 1.

2.3. High-pressure crystallography: general procedures

High-pressure experiments were carried out using a Merrill–Bassett diamond–anvil cell (half-opening angle 40°), equipped with Boehler–Almax cut diamonds with 600 μm culets and a tungsten gasket with a hole diameter of 300 μm (Merrill & Bassett, 1974; Moggach et al., 2008). A 4:1 mixture of methanol and ethanol was used as a hydrostatic medium. A small ruby chip was also loaded into the cell, and the ruby fluorescence method used to measure the pressure (Piermarini et al., 1975).

2.4. Colour changes in single crystals of bianthrone up to 7.5 GPa

Four small crystals of bianthrone were loaded into a diamond–anvil cell. The unit-cell dimensions of each crystal were checked using single-crystal diffraction data collected at 200 K before loading in the cell, confirming each crystal to be bianthrone in its known phase. Before compression the crystals were yellow. Pressure was then increased gradually to 7.5 GPa. The colour of the crystals deepened to dark red over the course of compression (Fig. 2). The pressure was then released and the crystals recovered. Although the crystals still appeared red, on closer microscopic inspection the colour seemed to be due to a surface colouration and the bulk crystal was yellow. One of the crystals was mounted on a fibre and enough single-crystal diffraction data were collected to determine the unit-cell dimensions. These data were collected at room temperature with synchrotron radiation at (λ = 0.5159 Å) on Beamline I19 at Diamond Light Source using a Crystal Logic four-circle k-geometry goniometer and a Rigaku Saturn 724 CCD detector. The unit-cell dimensions determined were a = 10.181 (9), b = 8.487 (10) c = 11.679 (7) Å, β = 109.58 (6)° for a primitive monoclinic cell and 127 reflections.

Table 1

Crystallographic data for bianthrone at ambient pressure and 6.5 GPa pressures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ambient pressure</th>
<th>6.5 GPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>10.1860 (3), 8.4277 (2), 11.6457 (3)</td>
<td>9.7119 (14), 7.041 (2), 11.2282 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>109.591 (2)</td>
<td>110.229 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>941.85 (4)</td>
<td>720.4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation type</td>
<td>Mo Kα</td>
<td>Synchrotron, λ = 0.47920 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
<td>0.57 × 0.33 × 0.31</td>
<td>0.20 × 0.20 × 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data collection

| Diffractometer             |                  |             |
|                            |                |             |
| Tmin, Tmax                 | 0.82, 0.97       | 0.81, 0.99  |
| No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections | 12386, 2349, 1867 | 3016, 641, 473 |
| Rint                       | 0.049           | 0.117       |
| dmax, dmin (Å⁻¹)           | 8.84, 0.75       | 5.95, 0.90  |
| θmax (°)                   | 28.3            | 15.1        |

Refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R[F &gt; 2σ(F²)], wR(F²), S</th>
<th>0.055, 0.051, 1.11</th>
<th>0.051, 0.039, 1.09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of restraints</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δρmax, Δρmin (e Å⁻³)</td>
<td>0.24, −0.19</td>
<td>0.19, −0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>99.8% (0.75 Å)</td>
<td>67.3% (0.9 Å)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GW5012). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
2.5. Compression of bianthrone studied by UV–vis spectroscopy

Pressure-dependent absorption spectra were obtained in a membrane diamond–anvil cell (DAC) with silicon oil as the pressure transmitter equipped with a steel gasket of diameter 200 μm and estimated thickness 50 μm. Spectroscopic paraffin oil was used as a transmitting medium. Spectra were collected in a locally constructed single-beam setup. The procedure was as follows: the modulated light (chopped with a frequency controller SR-540) of a tungsten lamp was focused on the sample with a reflection microscope objective. The transmitted light was collected with another reflection microscope objective and detected synchronously (lock-in SR-830) using a 0.5 m monochromator (Chromex IS500) equipped with a R928 photomultiplier detector (Hamamatsu). Ruby chips were used for pressure calibration and verification of hydrostatic conditions, as in the single-crystal work described above. The spectra were collected at room temperature between ambient pressure and 8.1 GPa (Fig. 3a).

2.6. High-pressure single-crystal diffraction study of bianthrone up to 6.5 GPa

All diffraction data were collected on a Bruker–Nonius APEX-II diffractometer with silicon-monochromated synchrotron radiation (λ = 0.4780 Å) on Station 9.8 at the SRS, Daresbury Laboratory. Data collection and processing procedures for all high-pressure experiments were similar to those described by Dawson et al. (2004). Integrations were carried out using dynamic masking of the regions of the detector shaded by the pressure cell with the program SAINT. Absorption and gasket-shading corrections were carried out with the program SADABS. Data were merged using SORTAV (Blessing, 1987).

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2**
Colour changes in four single crystals of bianthrone as a function of pressure. The first four images were taken with the crystals inside a diamond–anvil cell; the fragments labelled 'R' in the 1.4 GPa image are ruby chips used for pressure measurement. The gasket hole is much larger in the 7.5 GPa image because the gasket was beginning to fail (note the crack appearing at the top right). The last image shows two of the crystals as recovered from the cell; this image is only partially successful in showing that the remaining red colouration is due to a surface effect while the bulk of the crystal was yellow – the effect was rather more convincing in the original microscope images. A third crystal was used for data collection, but the forth adhered to the inner wall of the gasket during downloading and was not recovered.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3**
(a) Experimental UV–vis spectra of bianthrone measured as a function of pressure, listed on the far right in GPa. The bands labelled 'A' and 'B' are discussed in the text. (b) Calculated spectra of the yellow phase of bianthrone containing the folded conformation of the molecule. The spectra were calculated using CASTEP on the basis of geometry optimizations of the ambient-pressure and 6.5 GPa crystal structures using plane-wave DFT. The ambient-pressure spectrum is shown as a full line, the 6.5 GPa spectrum as a dotted line.
Refinements of the compressed form of bianthrone were carried out starting from the coordinates determined at ambient pressure. Refinement procedures followed those at ambient conditions, although owing to the low completeness of the data sets all primary bond distances and angles were restrained to the values observed at ambient conditions. All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, with global rigid-bond and rigid-body restraints. Refinement statistics for the 6.5 GPa structure determination are given in Table 1, data for all pressures studied are available in the supplementary material.

Above 6.5 GPa the diffraction peaks became very broad and no useful data could be obtained.

2.7. High-pressure powder diffraction study of bianthrone up to 9.6 GPa

A polycrystalline sample of bianthrone was lightly ground in a slurry of a 4:1 mixture of methanol and ethanol and loaded into a diamond–anvil cell of the type described above using the same hydrostatic medium. Diffraction data were collected with 70 s exposures on beamline I11 at Diamond Light Source. The sample was oscillated through ±5° about the direct beam direction during data collection. The diffraction pattern was detected using a Mar 345 image plate and integrated using FIT2D (Hammersley, 1997). Data were collected between 1.3 and 9.6 GPa. The patterns are shown in Fig. 4.

2.8. PIXEL calculations

The final single-crystal structures obtained were used to calculate the molecular electron densities of the bianthrone molecules at each pressure using the program GAUSSIAN03 (Frisch et al., 2004) with the MP2/6-31G** basis set. H-atom distances were set to standard neutron values in all calculations (C—H = 1.083 Å). The electron density was used to evaluate packing energies using the PIXEL method as implemented in the program OPiX (Gavezzotti, 2003). The output from these calculations yields a total packing energy and a breakdown into component interactions. Each energy is further broken down into its Coulombic (electrostatic), polarization, dispersion and repulsion contributions (Gavezzotti, 2005, 2007).

2.9. Periodic DFT calculations

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed on the ambient pressure and 6.5 GPa structures using the plane-wave pseudopotential method as implemented in the CASTEP code (Clark et al., 2005). Input files were set-up and the results analysed using Materials Studio. The PBE exchange–correlation functional (Perdew et al., 1996) was used with Vanderbilt ultra-soft pseudopotentials (Vanderbilt, 1990) and a basis set cut-off energy of 400 eV. Brillouin zone integrations are performed on a symmetrized Monkhorst–Pack k-point grid of dimensions 2 × 2 × 2 (Monkhorst & Pack, 1976). These parameters yielded an energy convergence in the region of 1 meV per atom. The starting point for geometry optimization was the model from the experimental crystallographic refinements. The unit cell and space group were held fixed, but all coordinates allowed to optimize. For the geometry optimization the total energy convergence tolerance was 10⁻⁵ eV per atom, with a maximum force tolerance of 0.03 eV Å⁻¹, a maximum displacement of 0.001 Å and a maximum stress tolerance of 0.05 GPa. Overall the agreement between the optimized and experimental structures was excellent, with r.m.s. deviations in position over a cluster of 15 molecules being 0.015 and 0.017 Å for the ambient and 6.5 GPa structures, respectively, as calculated with the program Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008). The optimized structures were then used to calculate the absorption spectra assuming a polycrystalline sample (Fig. 3b). Optical matrix element calculations were performed using a 2 × 2 Monkhorst–Pack grid; use of a finer grid than this did not change the calculated absorption spectra in the region of interest (300–1000 nm). A scissors operator of 0.8 eV was used to adapt the results to account for underestimation of the band gap.

2.10. Other programs used

Crystal structures were visualized using the programs CAMERON (Watkin et al., 1993), XP (Sheldrick, 2001), Mercury2.2 (Macrae et al., 2008) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 2005). Analyses were carried out using PLATON (Spek, 2003), as incorporated in the WIN-GX suite (Farrugia, 1999). Ring puckering and strain calculations were carried out using PUCKER (Gould et al., 1995) and STRAIN (Parsons, 2003), the latter using the JACOBI routine in Numerical Recipes (Press et al., 1992). Searches of the Cambridge Structural Database utilized the program ConQuest with database updates up to November 2008 (Allen, 2002). Hirshfield surface calculations were carried out with Crystal-Explorer (McKinnon et al., 2004; Wolff et al., 2005). Equation of state parameters were determined with EOSFIT (Angel, 2002).
3. Results

3.1. Piezochromism and high-pressure UV–vis spectroscopy

Crystals of bianthrone gradually change in colour from yellow at ambient pressure to deep red at pressures above 6 GPa (Fig. 2). Samples appear to be black at 8 GPa. The crystals retain their red colouration after returning to ambient pressure. Although this colour gradually faded over time, some darkening still remained even after 3 months.

As we will show below, the single-crystal X-ray diffraction data obtained from the sample, even in its red form at 6 GPa, could be modelled as a compressed version of the starting (yellow) phase containing the folded molecules shown in Fig. 1(a). In addition, when one of the red crystals shown in Fig. 2 was returned to ambient pressure and diffraction data were collected, the unit-cell dimensions were the same as had been obtained for the same crystal prior to compression.

It was clear on inspecting the crystals under a microscope after removal from the pressure cell that the red colouration was restricted to grains adhering to the surfaces of the crystals, the bulk of the crystal underneath the surface covering being yellow. The diffraction patterns obtained at high and ambient pressures and the results of visual observations point to the colour change shown in Fig. 2 being a surface effect, and not the result of a phase change in the bulk sample.

More complete conversion was observed when bianthrone was studied using powder diffraction to 9.6 GPa (Fig. 4). Although peak broadening is substantial even at 2.5 GPa, there is clear evidence of a phase transition in the region around 2θ = 30° at 5.5 GPa, with a low-angle peak beginning to grow in. The intensity of this peak increases with pressure, the sample being mixed phase up to 8.2 GPa. The transition is essentially complete at 9.6 GPa.

While in our experiments with single crystals the colour change observed was from yellow to red, all previous publications have referred to the form obtained on grinding or compression as being green. UV–vis spectra were therefore recorded on a crystal of bianthrone as a function pressure to characterize the absorption properties of the crystals more precisely. The results are shown in Fig. 3(a). An intense absorption band below 450 nm (labelled ‘A’ in Fig. 3(a)) moved to longer wavelength (i.e. red-shifted) and became broader with increasing pressure. Additionally a new broad band between 600 and 700 nm (labelled ‘B’) begins to grow in above 4 GPa, increasing in intensity markedly between 5.8 and 6.8 GPa. The new band was found to persist after release of pressure.

The spectra shown in Fig. 3(a) are consistent with those shown in Fig. 3 in Fanselow & Drickamer (1974). The latter spectra are sharper and more clearly defined because the sample used was much more dilute, being dispersed in an inert polymer matrix (see §1). In both sets of spectra the intense absorption below 450 nm moves to longer wavelength as pressure increases. This absorption band is due to the folded (yellow) form of bianthrone, and has been assigned as a π to π* transition. Absorption spectra calculated on the basis of DFT-optimized crystal structures at ambient pressure and 6.5 GPa (the highest pressure for which single-crystal diffraction data were collected) are shown in Fig. 3(b). The calculated shift in the position of the absorption maximum by some 47 nm is consistent with the shift of 43 nm estimated from the spectra shown in Fanselow and Drickamer’s paper.

The absence of any predicted absorption bands between 600 and 700 nm shows that the band in this region, which is observed experimentally, is due to the formation of a new species rather than, say, perturbation of the electronic structure of the ambient-pressure phase of bianthrone as the result of short intermolecular interactions.

The absorption due to the new species being formed is at 650 nm in Fanselow and Drickamer’s spectra and between 600 and 700 nm in our spectra, pointing to the formation of the same species in both studies. The transition was also incomplete in both studies: at 6.5 GPa only ~10% of Fanselow and Drickamer’s sample had converted to the twisted form.

Absorption at around 650 nm is characteristic of the green twisted form of bianthrone, so why do the crystals in Fig. 2 appear to be red? The answer to this question lies in the positions and widths of the bands shown in Fig. 3(a). The band below 450 nm (labelled A) become red-shifted with pressure, while above 4 GPa a new band (B) at around 650 nm emerges. Both of these bands are broad in the single-crystal sample leading to substantial overlap in the region between 500 and 600 nm. This leads to the absorption of green light in the single crystal, and the red colour is due to reflection of light in the window of wavelengths longer than 650 nm. The bands in the spectra shown in Fig. 3 of Fanselow and Drickamer’s paper are narrower with no overlap in the 500–600 nm region, which would account for the onset of the green colouration with pressure seen in previous studies.

At the highest pressures reached in the UV–vis study reported here the sample absorbs strongly at all frequencies, and this is why it then appears to be black.

3.2. The crystal structure of bianthrone at ambient pressure

Bianthrone crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/n$ with half a molecule in the asymmetric unit; the crystallographic inversion centre lies at the mid-point of the C=C bond connecting the two tricyclic moieties. At ambient temperature and pressure each molecule adopts a ‘folded’ conformation (Fig. 1c), where the two central six-membered rings take on a boat-like conformation $[r(C\dot{8}'-C\dot{8}-C9-C10) = 44.4 \text{ (2)}^\circ \text{ and } r(C\dot{8}'-C8-C9-C14) = 137.2 \text{ (2)}^\circ]$. The tricyclic groups are non-planar: a least-squares mean plane calculated using C atoms 1–14 shows that the average deviation of these atoms from the plane is 0.37 Å.

Table 2 gives the energies of the five energetically most significant (> 2 kJ mol$^{-1}$) intermolecular interactions in bianthrone calculated at ambient conditions. The PIXEL method calculates molecule–molecule intermolecular energies and therefore some interactions comprise more than one atom–atom contact. The geometrical parameters for each contact are also included in Table 2. The $ab$ initio calculations used to obtain the electron densities employed in the PIXEL calcu-
The main non-covalent interactions for the crystal structure of bianthrone at ambient pressure and 6.5 GPa.

Data for all pressures are available in Table S2 in the supplementary material. Distances are in Å and angles are given in °. Interactions involving rings are measured from the centroid of the ring. Hydrogen distances are not normalized to standard neutron values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>6.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interaction #1 (inter-layer)</td>
<td>C11H6···O1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6···O1</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11···O1</td>
<td>3.30 (2)</td>
<td>2.70 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11H6···O1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10H5···R1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5···R2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10···R2</td>
<td>4.624 (3)</td>
<td>4.363 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10H5···R2</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction #2 (intra-layer)</td>
<td>C3H3···R3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3···R3</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5···R3</td>
<td>4.450 (3)</td>
<td>3.976 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3H3···R3</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>−34.7</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction #3 (inter-layer)</td>
<td>C12H7···R3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7···R3</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12···R3</td>
<td>4.090 (3)</td>
<td>3.312 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12H7···R3</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6H4···H7C2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4···H7</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>−17.0</td>
<td>−14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction #4 (intra-layer)</td>
<td>C3H11···O1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8···O1</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3H11···O1</td>
<td>3.396 (2)</td>
<td>3.006 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3H11···O1</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>−15.1</td>
<td>−11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction #5 (intra-layer)</td>
<td>C3H1···H1C3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1··H</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4H2···H1C3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2··H</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>−10.3</td>
<td>−12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 − x, 1 − y, z; (ii) 1 − x, 1 − y, 1 − z; (iii) 1 − x, z; (iv) 1 − x, 1 − y, z; (v) 1 − x, 1 − y, 1 − z; (vi) z; (vii) 2 − x, 1 − y, z; (viii) 1 − x, 1 − y, z. R1 = C2−C3−C4−C5−C6−C7; R2 = C1−C2−C7−C8−C9−C14; R3 = C9−C10−C11−C12−C13−C14.

Table 2

The main non-covalent interactions for the crystal structure of bianthrone at ambient pressure and 6.5 GPa.

Molecular structures have relatively high values of their strain tensor lies along the a or c direction, which compress by 4.7 and 3.6%. The eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the strain tensor lies along the b axis (Fig. 5a).

The pressure–volume data for bianthrone were fitted to a Vinet equation-of-state (Vinet et al., 1986; Angel et al., 2000; Fig. 6b) yielding values of the bulk modulus (K₀) and its pressure derivative (K’) equal to 8.1 (5) and 8.6 (5) GPa. The value of V₀, the volume at ambient pressure, was fixed at 941.85 Å³. Molecular solids typically have K₀ < 30 GPa (Angel, 2004) and the following K₀ values are useful for comparison: Rut₃(CO)₁₂ (K₀ = 6.6 GPa); alanine (K₀ = 13.6 GPa and K’ = 6.7), NaCl (K₀ = 25 GPa), quartz (37 GPa, K’ = 6), ceramics (K₀ = 50–300 GPa) and diamond (K₀ = 440 GPa; Funnell et al., 2010; Slebodnick et al., 2004). Softer molecular structures have relatively high values of K’, which indicates that a large degree of compression takes place at low pressure.

By-and-large the intramolecular bond distances and angles do not vary significantly with pressure. This observation is supported by the DFT-optimized structures: although intra-
molecular bond distances are systematically shorter at 6.5 GPa than at ambient pressure, the differences are too small to be statistically significant when measured experimentally. The exceptions to this are bond distances C1—C2 and C1—C14 formed at the carbonyl group, which shorten by 0.025 and 0.026 Å (DFT) and 0.019 (4) and 0.029 (5) Å (X-ray). The largest difference in bond angle between 6.5 GPa and ambient pressure is seen for C1—C2—C3, which reduced by 1.82 (DFT) and 1.2 (2)° (X-ray). The overall folded shape of the bianthrone molecules remains throughout the compression study, although some of the torsion angles change significantly. The average change in non-H torsion angle between ambient conditions and 6.5 GPa is ca. 4°, and the largest differences occur in τ(C8’—C8—C9—C10) [44.4 (2)—33.9 (5)°] and τ(C8’—C8—C9—C14) [−137.2 (2) to −147.0 (3)°] corresponding to a flattening of the molecules.

The H···O···O distances in the CH···O contacts (Table 2) are 2.49 and 2.59 Å at ambient pressure and 2.25 and 2.23 Å at 6.5 GPa; the H···H distances lie between 3.07 and 3.70 Å at ambient pressure and 2.70 and 3.53 Å at 6.5 GPa; the H···H distances lie

Figure 5
(a) One layer of bianthrone molecules viewed along the b axis. C13H8···O1 contacts are shown as dashed lines. (b) Layers of bianthrone viewed along a. (c) Layers of bianthrone viewed along c. (d) The strongest intermolecular interactions in bianthrone shown as solid black lines (35 kJ mol⁻¹) or black dashed lines (10–18 kJ mol⁻¹). Superimposed on the diagrams (a) and (d) are the principal axes of the strain tensor. The largest component is in red, the smallest blue and the middle in orange. Notice that the largest component avoids the strongest contacts. The red labels #1–#5 represent the PIXEL interactions in Table 2. The molecules involved in interactions #1 and #3 are related by a lattice translation along a, and are superimposed in the projection shown in (b), but can be more clearly distinguished in (c).

Figure 6
(a) Unit-cell axis lengths and (b) unit-cell volume of bianthrone as a function of pressure. The trendline in (b) represents the Vinet equation of state with parameters $K_0 = 8.1 (5)$ GPa and $K' = 8.6 (5)$. 

between 2.52 and 2.89 Å at ambient conditions and 1.96 and 2.49 Å at 6.5 GPa. The centroid-to-centroid distance of the π·π stacking interaction which forms part of interaction #1 decreases by 16.6% upon compression to 6.5 GPa.

These features are summarized effectively in Hirshfeld fingerprint plots (McKinnon et al., 2004) of the structures of bianthrone at ambient pressure and 6.5 GPa (Fig. 7). The two prongs which represent CH···O interactions are prominent at ambient conditions (red arrows) but become masked as a result of the relatively larger contraction in the short H···H contacts at 6.5 GPa. The compressed stacking interactions lead to an increase in the number of distances in the middle of the plots (black arrow), while the disappearance of the diffuse region at high values of (d_i, d_e) points to closing-up of interstitial voids in the structure.

As pressure is increased on bianthrone, the relative magnitudes of the cohesive energy component terms for each interaction remain consistent with those at ambient conditions (Table S3 in the supplementary material); for example, the dispersion component in contacts 1, 2, 3 and 5 still dominates at 6.5 GPa and for contact #4, the Coulombic and dispersion components are effectively equal.

4. Discussion

Bianthrone can exist in two structural forms, one folded, the other twisted (Figs. 1a and b). The folded form is the more stable, but transition to the twisted form can be promoted either thermochromically or photochemically in solution or by application of pressure in the solid state leading to a colour change from yellow to green. Although the colour change observed in the present single-crystal study is from yellow to red, a combination of the results of visual examination, UV–vis spectroscopy and periodic DFT calculations shows that the same transition to the twisted form had occurred. With a single-crystal sample the transition was limited to the surface of the sample, with the bulk of the crystal remaining in a compressed form of the ambient pressure phase. Complete conversion was achieved with a polycrystalline sample.

The crystal structure of the polymorph containing the twisted form could not be obtained because of extreme strain broadening of the powder diffraction data. We were, however, able to collect single-crystal data which reveal the behaviour of the yellow form of bianthrone as it is compressed. The compression can be understood in terms of the need to compress voids and pack space efficiently, while avoiding compression of the main intermolecular interactions. Fig. 5(d)
shows the principal components of the strain tensor super-
imposed on the most important intermolecular interactions. The strongest intermolecular interactions are shown as solid
black lines (35 kJ mol$^{-1}$), other interactions falling in the
range 10–18 kJ mol$^{-1}$ are shown as dashed lines. It is notable
that the largest strain component avoids the strongest
contacts. The smallest strain component (in blue, labelled ‘3’) lies approximately along the C13H8$\cdot\cdot\cdot$O1 contacts (Fig. 5a).

Fig. 8(a) shows the distribution of voids in the structure of
bianthrone at ambient pressure. In bianthrone at ambient
pressure voids are distributed between the layers which stack
along the b-axis direction, and are somewhat elongated along
the same direction (Fig. 8a). Compression along the b direc-
tion is an efficient way to reduce the sizes of these voids (Figs.
8b and c), but as this compression occurs the molecules
become flatter in the same direction (see movie), with the
torsion angles τ(C8′−C8−C9−C10/14) changing by around
10°.

Fig. 9 shows the total energy of the top five intermolecular
interactions in bianthrone as a function of the centroid–
centroid distance. Interactions #1, 3 and 4 all show similar
behaviour: as pressure is applied the total interaction energies
become rapidly more positive. The energy of interaction #2
becomes more negative initially, but this too becomes more
positive above 2.2 GPa. Only interaction #5 becomes more
stable with pressure, but only slightly. PIXEL calculations do
not enable these energy changes to be broken down into
atom–atom interaction energies, but it is notable that in
contact #1 the distance between atoms C6 and O1 (Fig. 2c),
which is 3.27 Å at ambient conditions, is 2.69 Å at 6.5 GPa
(well within the sum of the van der Waals radii). Similarly, in
contact #3 the distance between atoms H7 and H4 (Fig. 2c) is
2.52 Å at ambient conditions and 2.01 Å at 6.5 GPa. In the
DFT-optimized 6.5 GPa structure, in which the H-atom posi-
tions are likely to be more accurate than those derived by X-
ray diffraction, this interaction measures 1.806 Å which is very
short in the context of other H$\cdot\cdot\cdot$H contacts in the CSD
(Wood et al., 2008).

It is clear from Fig. 9 that several intermolecular interac-
tions in bianthrone are driven well into the unstable regions of
their potentials at 6.5 GPa. In other structures, e.g. salicylal-
doxime (Wood et al., 2006), such behaviour has been
observed prior to a phase transition, and it is possible that in
bianthrone relief of repulsive contacts forms part of the
motive for transformation to the twisted form of the
compound, although without the crystal structure of this form
to compare it is impossible to make a definitive statement.

In high-pressure phase transitions there is always a reduc-
tion in volume, leading to a negative $P\Delta V$ contribution to $\Delta G$.
As pressure increases this term becomes ever more important,
and, as was seen in serine hydrate (Johnstone et al., 2008),
transitions can be driven entirely by this term, even at the
expense of making the internal energy (U) more positive. In
bianthrone, Fanselow & Drickamer (1974) estimated on the
basis of variable-temperature measurements of the equili-
brium constant between the two forms of bianthrone that at
6 GPa the volume of the twisted form was 0.9 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$
(1.5 Å$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$) smaller than the folded form. This would
contribute a $P\Delta V$ energy advantage of $\sim 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ to a
crystal composed of the twisted form of the compound at
6 GPa, a substantial figure in the context of polymorphism.

The transition from the folded to the twisted form of
bianthrone that occurs with pressure is thus driven by the
lower volume of the twisted form, and possibly the relief of
strain in the intermolecular interactions which builds as
pressure is increased. It would be extremely interesting to
grow a crystal of bianthrone directly from solution, although
its relatively low solubility in common solvents precluded the
use of in situ crystallization methods currently in use (Fabbiani
et al., 2004).

5. Conclusions

It has been known for decades that bianthrone can exist in two
different forms which contain different molecular conforma-
tions: one, containing folded molecules (Fig. 1a), is yellow; the
other, containing twisted molecules (Fig. 1b), is green. The
transition between the two forms can be accomplished by
grinding. In contrast, when a yellow single crystal of bian-
throne was placed under hydrostatic compression the sample
began to assume a red colouration at 3 GPa (Fig. 2). The
colour intensifies with pressure, samples appearing black at
8 GPa.

In spite of the differences in colour observed on grinding
and hydrostatic compression, high-pressure UV–vis spectro-
scopy confirmed that the colour change was accompanied by
the appearance of the same band previously associated with
the twisted conformer. Powder diffraction indicates that the
colour change is associated with a polymorphic transition
which appears to be complete above 9 GPa. The red
colouration is the result of the co-existence of the two forms,
the tails of the two absorption bands responsible for the
colours of the two forms overlapping in the green region of the
spectrum.

Figure 9
Graph of total interaction energy against the distance between the
molecular centroids of the molecules involved in the interaction in
bianthrone. The numbers 1–5 refer to the contacts listed in Table 2.
Single-crystal diffraction data could be collected up to 6.5 GPa, yielding detailed data on how the yellow form responds to compression. The cell contracts anisotropically, with the greatest decrease in the crystallographic b direction, which corresponds to a stacking of layers in the structure. The smallest decrease occurs in a direction corresponding to the strongest CH...O contacts. PIXEL calculations show that as pressure is applied the main intermolecular interactions in the structure become significantly less stable. Previous work had shown that the twisted form of bianthrone has a smaller volume than the folded form, but these results suggest that relief of strained contacts may also play a role in driving the transition.

There is currently substantial interest in polymorphic transitions, reactions and co-crystal formation which can be induced with grinding (Toda, 2007; Friscic & Jones, 2009; Karki et al., 2009). Grinding with a pestle and mortar can produce pressures of up to a few kbar, yet this is enough to induce the transition between the folded and twisted forms of bianthrone, a change which is only observed at pressures of 10 s of kbar in a diamond–anvil cell. This illustrates the important distinction between hydrostatic pressure (as generated in the present experiments) and the non-hydrostatic or shear pressures which are generated on grinding.
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