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within a year of this auspicious event it was making export arrangements with Western companies. By the 1970s Iskra was supplying electronic components for Bosch, Renault, and Volkswagen, as well as staking out patents and winning international awards for its own designs. This duality is understood by the authors of this book as a reflection of Yugoslavia's non-aligned status. The country was home to the first conference of Non-Aligned Countries in 1961, a union of progressive states which refused to fall into either camp in the Cold War. No doubt Josip Broz Tito addressed the assembled leaders through a well-crafted Iskra microphone.

Iskra—represented as Predan shows—an attempt to bring capitalist know-how to a socialist production. "Numerous factors—the planned economy, self-management, the consensus economy, the enthusiasm after the defeat of Fascism and Nazism, the desire for a new society built on a more equitable foundation—made it possible for Iskra even to come into being," she writes. "In the period of socialism [Iskra] employed all the methodological tools that were being used in Western markets" (pp. 56–57). What these instruments meant to those who employed them was ambiguous. On the one hand, these commentators understood efficient products stripped of unnecessary styling, teamwork between designers and engineers, and the steer that comes from a "rationally" organized economy as the prerequisites of "Good Design." Looking carefully at the products which feature in this publication, one begins to wonder about their ideological functions as well as their practical operations. The monumental 35mm movie projectors that came off the company's production lines from the late 1940s were joined in turn by trim 8mm home projectors in 1963. Was this a shift from the projection of Stalinist propaganda to the promise of socialist consumerism? In this, can we detect a move from public culture (the cinema) to a private one (home)? Who determined the need for such things? In similar fashion one might ask, what was the impetus behind the investment in experimental engineering products? Cybernetic systems, for instance, had been the holy grail of the planned economy throughout Eastern Europe in the 1960s. The aim of managing the uneven relations of production and demand with computers, or of regulated mechanical operations on an assembly line, had been claimed as the means to stir command economies out of stagnancy. By the 1980s most of the countries in the Eastern Bloc had virtually given up this hope. Did the Roki 200, Iskra's cybernetic robot unveiled at the Hanover trade fair in 1988, represent the last outing of this socialist high-tech fantasy?

Since the end of the Yugoslav wars of the mid-1990s, the material culture of the Tito era has been wrapped in its own particular brand of Yugo-nostalgia. Food, packaging, music, and even holiday resorts have been the subject of considerable sentiment. The stability of this "golden age" has high appeal in societies that have seen war and economic collapse. Iskra's products don't seem to have been adopted in this fashion. In fact, in his introduction to this book, Špela Subić claims that Iskra risks being forgotten, not least by the inheritor companies that were formed from Iskra's remains at the end of communist rule. Why should they be exempt from the taste for socialist kitsch is an intriguing question: could it be that the designers' emphasis on the functional and rational forms affords little purchase to such desires? Perhaps in this regard, at least, they behave like "good" socialist things, stifling this particular form of commodity fetishism.

David Crowley

David Crowley is professor and head of the Department of Critical Writing in Art and Design at the Royal College of Art in London.
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In 1967 Hugh Casson, then head of the newly established interior design course at the Royal College of Art, remarked: "Many architects refuse to believe that such a thing as interior design exists at all. Some place it on a par with the art of the milliner or pastry cook; others seem to regard its claims for separation as a personal affront." Over the last decade or so a rapidly expanding body of literature has finally sought to counter the marginalized status that interior design suffered through much of the twentieth century, demonstrating that the interior is not only a significant and distinct part of the cultural landscape but that it must be understood as more than the simple spatial and structural organization of a building's interior.

Designing the Modern Interior pulls together seventeen essays by some of the most notable scholars working within this field and—while their disciplinary backgrounds are drawn mainly from design, art, and architectural history—the range of perspectives and methodological approaches employed suggests the wide scope of the subject matter. Spanning the period 1870 to the present, the book opens with a useful introductory essay by Penny Sparke and is
then organized chronologically into four sections (The Late Nineteenth Century Interior; The Early Twentieth Century Interior; The Mid Twentieth Century Interior; and the Late Twentieth Century Interior), each with its own introductory essay.

Throughout the anthology a number of key issues that have arisen out of the study of the interior are addressed, including the relationship between public and private space, the tension between professional and amateur interventions, gender, the role of taste, and the relationship between modernity, the mass media, and mass consumption. Related to this, the intertwining of self-identity and interior design is revisited in a number of the essays, as is the issue of national identity. The book’s aim to expand the notion of the “modern” interior as it has generally been conceived within architectural and design history ties these issues together. Thus, rather than align it with the stylistic manifestations of canonical modernism, the modern interior is instead viewed as an expression of the experience of modernity.

The diversity of the interiors discussed—which encompasses a number of modernist icons but also Victorian public houses, ocean liners, Japanese love hotels, and the suburban home of a retired couple—not only marks it out from other books on the subject but also serves to negate any sense of an evolutionary stylistic process, typical of modernist histories and most survey books on the history of interior design. Indeed, as Sparke claims, while a couple—not only marks it out from other books on the domesticity—Alice Friedman documented the key

In the second part of the anthology, Christopher Reed’s essay, “Taking Amusement Seriously: Modern Design in the Twenties,” reveals in the pages of Vogue a “homegrown style of British modernism” (p. 81) that reiterates the central claim of the book—that the canonic modernist interior was only one of a number of competing “ideologies of what it meant to be modern” (p. 90). Alice Friedman likewise adds to this picture in her analysis of the convincing example of Miami Beach in the 1950s, which she argues materially embodied the consumer dreams American modernity had promised (p. 205). Returning to Reed’s essay, however, what is particularly powerful about his analysis is his claim that the “Amusing Style,” with its “theatricality, humour, and an emphasis on artifice and playfulness” (p. 81), was consigned to historical obscurity by the design establishment, in favor of interiors that emphasised functional rationalism, because of anxieties about gender and sexuality. In so doing he places discourses about gender and sexuality at the heart of debates about design in the 1920s, demonstrating the extent to which they structured the course of design history and that of the domestic interior in particular.

While the case studies themselves are tightly argued and meticulously researched, the introductory essays are invaluable to the student of the interior, providing an excellent overview of the historiography of the subject and the disciplinary approaches employed. Perhaps inevitably, though, for an anthology this ambitious, more issues are raised than can be addressed. For example, in the introduction to the mid-twentieth century section (1940–70) Penny Sparke observes the growth of the DIY movement and the growing number of middle class and upper working class homeowners who wanted to express their modernity through their domestic spaces. Yet there is no essay specifically dedicated to DIY in this or the last section of the book, which seems surprising given the significance of the subject and the quality of research in this area. Those essays that address DIY in this or the last section of the book, which seems surprising given the significance of the subject and the quality of research in this area. That said, Elizabeth Darling’s essay on two interior design schemes by the modernist architect Wells Coates echoes Sparke’s discussion around the growing significance of consumption, as she includes within her analysis a careful consideration of the specific psychological and social needs of the individuals who commissioned work from the Canadian architect-designer. This leads her to read the new interiors as a backdrop or stage set against which the inhabitants could act out and project their modernity. While these were far from “ordinary” middle class homes, Darling nonetheless demonstrates the ways in which interior design, identity formation, and expressions of modernity came together. Interestingly, she also traces how photographs of the interiors continued to be reproduced in the contemporary media, providing some sense of the double life of the interior as a lived experience, a physical space, and a disembodied image—a subject that Charles Rice also addresses in his essay on architectural drawings of modernist homes in Australia.

What is impressive about this anthology—and what distinguishes it from another recent publication by Penny Sparke entitled The Modern Interior, which takes a similarly broad view of the “modern”—is the range of methodological approaches and perspectives it incorporates, all of which serve to cast the interior in various guises; it can be a site of social regulation or a stage set, a place to consume one’s fantasies or to store up material memories. Finding space to unpack and examine the many methodological approaches and theoretical perspectives in anything other than a cursory way, however, difficult. Sparke, for example, in her essay, an overview of Italian design between 1945 and 1972, draws attention to the conceptual approach taken by Gae Aulenti, Ettore Sottsass Jr., and Joe Colombo in their “house-environments,” suggesting that “it was behaviours rather than images, objects or even spaces that mattered” to their visions of the home (p. 192). Unfortunately, the limits imposed by the anthology prevent further discussion of this approach or other theories of space that are addressed within essays elsewhere in the book. Similarly, Trevor Kebble suggests in the introduction to the final section (covering the period 1970 to the present) that the interior remained neglected as an area of academic enquiry in part because of “its often complex and collective ‘authorship,’ its domestic or commercial contexts and its transient and evolutionary nature” (p. 220). Yet there is relatively limited space to discuss the continuing methodological challenges attached to studying such a “transient” subject.

Once again, it could be argued that these challenges are addressed through the example of the
Cold War on the Home Front: The Soft Power of Midcentury Design
Greg Castillo

Scholars have long recognized the centrality of the home in Cold War discourse. They have also broadened research into the Cold War by looking at design and daily life behind the Iron Curtain. Castillo, Susan E. Reid, and others have brought the design and material culture of the Eastern Bloc to the fore, and the Victoria and Albert Museum’s exhibition Cold War Modern: Design, 1945–1970, curated by Crowley and Jane Pavit with contributions by Reid and Greg Castillo, dedicated a significant portion of the exhibition and its catalogue to designs from Eastern Europe. In Cold War on the Home Front: The Soft Power of Midcentury Design, architectural historian Greg Castillo continues this trend, offering an informed reading of the interiors and material artifacts exhibited at trade fairs and exhibitions held in divided Germany and elsewhere. He situates the Cold War home and its material culture at the center of the ideological battle between the United States and the Soviet Union, and reinforces Beatriz Colomina’s argument that “everything in the postwar age was domestic.” Castillo elaborates on the work of historian Robert H. Haddow and expands our understanding of trade fairs and exhibitions by breaking down the polemic of consumerism versus communism as a Cold War construct. In its place, he reveals the complex relationship of consumption, domesticity, and midcentury modernism with the self-representation of both the East and the West.

As Marshall Plan organizers sought to sway foreign citizens with the pleasures of privatized capitalist consumption, Eastern Bloc governments hoped to counter the allure through domestic displays of their own. Castillo relies on archival materials, as well as on German and American period journals, magazines, and fiction, and convincingly applies political scientist Joseph Nye’s dichotomy of “hard” and “soft” power as his organizing methodology. According to Nye, hard power controls and coerces through overt displays and tactics, such as occupation and trade embargos, while soft power beckons and beguiles through intangibles, such as culture and belief systems. The allure of soft power rests in its capacity for appropriation and adaptation by foreign recipients, who, while promoting their own interests, also promote the interests of the generating nation. For Castillo, then, the home, as exhibited throughout the postwar period, was a “Trojan House” (p. 139) of sorts, leading to the fateful exchange in the American kitchen between Vice President Richard M. Nixon and Premier Nikita Khrushchev at the 1959 American National Exhibition in Moscow. That exchange, held amid washing machines, hi-fis, and other products of capitalist labor, Castillo argues, represented not the inception but rather the culmination of an ongoing ideological battle about the relative merits of consumption. Its legacy is nothing less than the single globalized economy we live in today.

After the Second World War, citizens of both East and West Germany perceived the heritage of the avant-garde as increasingly irrelevant, and even retrograde. By the 1950s the Existenzminden home was replaced in the socialist East by a distrust of modernism and an embrace of neoclassicism. In the West, the introduction of the deutschmark and the lifting of price controls in Marshall Plan Europe made available, according to the latter day Werkbund, a “false abundance” of goods and styles. With the U.S. government locked in an increasingly bitter ideological struggle with the Soviet Union, the home and its material goods emerged as the offensive front lines. The “contest between freedom and despotism,” according to Paul G. Hoffman, a Marshall Plan administrator,