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Abstract

Five acetate-diphenoxo triply-bridged Co$^{II}$-Ln$^{III}$ complexes (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er) of formula [Co(µ-L)(µ-Ac)Ln(NO$_3$)$_2$] and two diphenoxo doubly bridged Co$^{II}$-Ln$^{III}$ complexes (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, Tb) of formula [Co(H$_2$O)(µ-L)Ln(NO$_3$)$_2$]·S (S = H$_2$O or MeOH), were prepared in one pot reaction from the compartmental ligand N,N',N"-trimethyl-N,N"-bis(2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-methylbenzilidene)diethylene triamine (H$_2$L). The diphenoxo doubly-bridged Co$^{II}$-Ln$^{III}$ complexes were used as platforms to obtain 1,5-dicyanamide-bridged tetranuclear Co$^{II}$-Ln$^{III}$ complexes (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er). All exhibit ferromagnetic interactions between the Co$^{II}$ and Ln$^{III}$ ions and in the case of the Gd$^{3+}$ complexes, the $J_{CoGd}$ were estimated to be ~+0.7 cm$^{-1}$. Compound 3 exhibits slow relaxation of the magnetization.

1. Introduction

During the last decade, heteropolynuclear 3d-4f complexes have attracted the attention of a large number of researchers because they may exhibit interesting magnetic properties.$^1$ In particular, some 3d/4f metal aggregates behave as single-molecule magnets (SMMs),$^2$ which are systems exhibiting slow relaxation of the magnetization and magnetic hysteresis below the so-called blocking temperature ($T_B$) without undergoing 3D magnetic ordering. The origin of the SMM behaviour is the existence of an energy barrier ($\Delta$) for the reversal of the molecular magnetization that depends on the large-spin multiplicity of the ground state ($S_T$) and the easy-axis (or Ising-type) magnetic anisotropy of the entire molecule ($D < 0$). These nanomagnets straddle the quantum/classical interface showing quantum effects such as quantum tunnelling of the magnetization and quantum phase interference and are potential candidates for magnetic information storage and quantum computing.$^3$ In these 3d/4f systems, the magnetic interaction between heavy lanthanide ions, such as Tb$^{3+}$, Dy$^{3+}$, Ho$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$, that provide large magnetic moments and large axial local magnetic anisotropy, and transition metal ions is generally ferromagnetic in nature, and therefore leads to ground states with increased magnetic moments and, depending on the local magnetic moments orientation, to strong easy-axis anisotropy. Recently, it has been shown that (ferromagnetic) 3d/4f metallic aggregates containing isotropic metal ions, a large spin ground state and high spin degeneracy can also exhibit an enormous magneto-caloric effect (MCE).$^4$ This is an effect based on the change of magnetic entropy upon application of a magnetic field and can be used for cooling applications via adiabatic demagnetisation; such molecules are referred to as “molecular magnetic coolers” or “molecular refrigerants”. Although a number of 3d-4f heterometallic complexes have been reported so far, those containing Co$^{2+}$ are rather limited.$^5$

Among these systems, three Co$^{II}$-Ln$^{III}$-Co$^{II}$ (Ln = Gd$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, and Dy$^{3+}$) trinuclear complexes with the tripodal bridging ligand N,N’,N”-tris(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzilidene)-2-(aminomethyl)-2-methy1-1,3-propanediamine,$^5a$ a Co$^{II}$-Ln$^{III}$-Co$^{II}$ trinuclear complex with the tripodal bridging ligand prepared
by the condensation of (S)P[N(Me)NH₂]₃ and o-vanillin,⁵ᵇ Co₂Gd₂ and Co₂Dy₂ tetranuclear complexes containing the o-vanillin⁵ᶜ and 2,6-pyridinedimethanol⁵ᵈ bridging ligands, respectively, CoᵡⅢCoᵢⅢDy₄ aggregates with the tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane ligand,⁵ᵉ and a dodecanuclear Co₂Dy₁₀ wheel complex with the ligand 1,2-Bis(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene) hydrazine,⁵ᶠ either exhibit (they show hysteresis loops in magnetization versus field studies) or can exhibit (they show a maximum in the χ'' ac signal at approximately 2 K) SMM behaviour. In these Co/Ln complexes both the lanthanide and the Co²⁺ ions are anisotropic and will contribute to the anisotropy of the whole molecule. However, as suggested by Costes et al.⁵ᶜ the introduction of several anisotropic ions does not necessarily lead to an enhanced molecular anisotropy and thus a positive effect on the SMM behaviour. In some cases, to observe the SMM behaviour the presence of a small dc field is needed to fully or partly suppress the fast and efficient zero-field quantum tunneling of magnetization,⁵ᵇ which can be promoted by strong transverse anisotropy which results from the presence of the anisotropic centres.

Amongst the five examples of CoᵡⅡ-LnᵢⅢ dinuclear complexes reported so far,⁵ᵍ⁻⁵ᵏ only three exhibit ferromagnetic interactions between the metal ions⁵ᵍ⁻⁵ⁱ, and none of them show SMM behaviour. We have designed a new and flexible [non-Schiff base] compartmental ligand with an inner N₃O₂ coordination pocket and an external O₂O₂ site that favours the formation of CoᵡⅡLnᵢⅢ complexes (Scheme I)

![Scheme 1. Structure of the ligand H₂L.](image)

The CoᵡⅡ ion has a tendency to adopt an octahedral geometry and therefore the pentacoordinating inner site forces this metal ion to saturate its coordination position with an additional donor atom, which can belong to either (i) a monodentate ligand, leading to doubly-bridged dinuclear CoLn molecules, or (ii)
a bridging ligand connecting the 3d and 4f metal ions, leading to triply-bridged 3d-4f dinuclear molecules or (iii) a bridging ligand connecting different 3d-4f molecules, affording higher nuclearity Co$^{II}$/Ln$^{III}$ complexes.

In this paper we report the synthesis, structural characterization and magnetic properties of a series of diphenoxo-acetate triply bridged Co$^{II}$Ln$^{III}$ (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho and Er) and doubly-bridged Co$^{II}$Ln$^{III}$ (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, Tb) dinuclear complexes, as well as a series of tetranuclear rectangular Co$_2$Ln$_2$ (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho and Er) complexes, in which dinuclear Co$^{II}$Ln$^{II}$ dinuclear units are connected by 1,5-dicyanamide bridging ligands. The aim of this work is twofold: to discover whether simple Co$^{II}$Ln$^{III}$ dinuclear complexes can exhibit SMM behaviour, and if so to analyze if the SMM behaviour is retained when the dinuclear Co$^{II}$Ln$^{III}$ molecules are connected by bridging (dicyanamide) ligands.

**Experimental**

**General**

Unless stated otherwise, all reactions were conducted in oven-dried glassware in aerobic conditions, with the reagents purchased commercially and used without further purification. The ligand H$_2$L was prepared as previously described.$^6$

**Preparation of complexes**

[Co(μ-L)(μ-Ac)Ln(NO$_3$)$_2$] (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd (1), Tb (2), Dy(3), Ho (4), Er (5)). A general procedure was used for the preparation of these complexes: To a solution of H$_2$L (56 mg, 0.125 mmol) in 5 mL MeOH were subsequently added with continuous stirring 31.1 mg (0.125 mmol) of Co(Ac)$_2$·4H$_2$O and 0.125 mmol of Ln(NO$_3$)$_3$·nH$_2$O. The resulting pale green solution was filtered and allowed to stand at room temperature. After one day, well formed prismatic pink crystals of compounds 1-5 were obtained with yields in the range 55-65% based on Ni.

[Co(H$_2$O)(μ-L)Ln(NO$_3$)$_2$]·S (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd, S = H$_2$O (6), Tb, S = MeOH (7)). These compounds were prepared in 40-50% yield as light blue crystals following the procedure for 1-5, except that Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (36 mg, 0.125 mmol) was used instead of Co(Ac)$_2$·4H$_2$O.

[Co(μ-L)(μ-N(CN)$_2$)]Ln(NO$_3$)$_6$·4MeOH (Ln$^{3+}$ = Gd (8), Tb (9), Dy(10), Ho (11), Er (12)). To a solution of H$_2$L (56 mg, 0.125 mmol) in 5 mL MeOH were subsequently added with continuous stirring 36 mg (0.125 mmol) of Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 55 mg (0.125 mmol) of Dy(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O. To this solution was added dropwise another solution containing 11 mg of dicyanamide (0.125 mmol). The
resulting solution was filtered, eliminating any amount of insoluble material, and allowed to stand at room temperature for 3-4 days whereupon pink crystals of complexes 8-12 were formed in a yield of 35-45%.

The purity of the complexes was checked by elemental analysis (see Table S1).

**Physical measurements**

Elemental analyses were carried out at the “Centro de Instrumentación Científica” (University of Granada) on a Fisons-Carlo Erba analyser model EA 1108. The IR spectra on powdered samples were recorded with a ThermoNicolet IR200FTIR by using KBr pellets. Magnetisation and variable temperature (2-300 K) magnetic susceptibility measurements on polycrystalline samples were carried out with a Quantum Design SQUID MPMS XL-5 device operating at different magnetic fields. The experimental susceptibilities were corrected for the diamagnetism of the constituent atoms by using Pascal’s tables.

**Single-crystal structure determination**

Suitable crystals of 1-12 were mounted on a glass fibre and used for data collection. For compounds 1-3 and 6-7, data were collected with a dual source Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer equipped with an Atlas CCD detector and an Oxford Cryosystems low temperature device operating at 100 K and using Mo-Kα. Semi-empirical (multi-scan) absorption corrections were applied using CrysAlis Pro. For compounds 4 and 5 data were collected with a Bruker AXS APEX CCD area detector equipped with graphite monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) by applying the ω-scan method. Lorentz-polarization and empirical absorption corrections were applied. Crystallographic data for compounds 8–12 were collected with a Nonius-Kappa CCD area-detector diffractometer using graphite monochromatised Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data were collected by ϕ and ω rotation scans and processed with the DENZO-SMN v0.93.0 software package. Empirical absorption corrections were performed with SADABS. The structures were solved by direct methods by using the program SIR-97 and refined with full-matrix least-squares calculations on F². Figures were drawn with ORTEP-3 for Windows. For all compounds the heavy atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were included at the calculated distances with fixed displacement parameters from their host atoms. Final R(F), wR(F²) and goodness of fit agreement factors, details on the data collection and analysis can be found in Table S2. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table S3 for compounds 1-7 and S4 for compounds 8-12.
Results and discussion

The reaction of \( \text{H}_2\text{L} \) with Co(OAc)\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O and subsequently with Ln(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·nH\(_2\)O in MeOH in either 1:1:1 or 2:2:1 molar ratio always affords orange-brown crystals of the triply-bridged compounds [Co(µ-L)(µ-OAc)Ln(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)] (Ln\(^{3+}\) = Gd (1), Tb (2), Dy(3), Ho (4), Er(5)) Under no circumstances were triunuclear Co\(^{II}\)Ln\(^{III}\)Co\(^{II}\) complexes ever obtained. The same reaction but using Co(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O instead of Co(OAc)\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O and Ln(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O (Ln\(^{3+}\) = Gd, Tb) led to the doubly-bridged complexes [Co(H\(_2\)O)(µ-L)Ln(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)]·S (S = H\(_2\)O or MeOH) and (Ln\(^{3+}\) = Gd (6) and Tb(7)). X-ray quality crystals for the complexes of Dy, Ho, and Er could not be obtained. The tetranuclear complexes[Co\(_2\)(µ-L)(µ-N(CN))\(_2\)Ln\(_2\)(NO\(_3\))\(_6\)] (Ln\(^{3+}\) = Gd (8), Tb (9), Dy(10), Ho (11), Er(12)) could be prepared by reacting a methanolic solution containing H\(_2\)L, Co(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O and Dy(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O with NaN(CN)\(_2\) in a 1:1:1:1 molar ratio. In the course of the reaction the dinuclear Co\(^{II}\)Ln\(^{III}\) dinuclear units, formed in situ, formed are then connected by end-to-end dicyanamide bridging ligands.

The diffuse reflectance electronic spectra of these complexes in the visible region show three absorption bands around 8700 cm\(^{-1}\), 16700 cm\(^{-1}\) (shoulder) and 20000 cm\(^{-1}\) (the electronic spectra of compound 1 and 8 are given as examples in Figure S1), which are assigned to the \( ^4T_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g} \), \( ^4T_{1g} \rightarrow ^4A_{2g} \) and \( ^4T_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{1g} (P) \) transitions, respectively. This is typical of high spin distorted octahedral Co(II) complexes.\(^{13}\) It can be noticed that the electronic spectra of the dinuclear Co\(^{II}\)Ln\(^{III}\) and tetranuclear Co\(^{II}_{2}\)Ln\(^{III}_{2}\) complexes are almost identical, reflecting the similarities between the two electronic environments of the Co(II) ions in both types of complex. It seems that the change from a CoN\(_3\)O\(_3\) coordination environment in the dinuclear Co\(^{II}\)Ln\(^{III}\) complexes to a CoN\(_4\)O\(_2\) coordination environment in the tetranuclear Co\(^{II}_{2}\)Ln\(^{III}_{2}\) complex only promotes a minor bathochromic shift of the transitions. This is as expected for the coordination of dicyanamide, which is a weaker field ligand than either acetate or water.

Crystal Structures

Complexes 1 and 4 are isostructural, crystallizing in the triclinic \( P-1 \) space group. Their structures are made of two almost identical dinuclear [Co(µ-L)(µ-Ac)Ln(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)] molecules, in which the Ln\(^{III}\) and Co\(^{II}\) ions are bridged by two phenoxo groups of the L\(^2\) ligand and one \( \text{syn-syn} \) acetate anion. These complexes are isostructural to those previously reported by us for the Ni\(^{II}\)Dy\(^{III}\) analogue.\(^6\) Complexes 2, 3, and 5 are also isostructural but they crystallize in the monoclinic \( P21/n \) space group and their structure is very similar to those of 1 and 4 but having only one crystallographically independent Co\(^{II}\)Ln\(^{III}\) molecule. The structure of 1 is given as an example in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Perspective view of one of the crystallographically independent molecules of complex 1. Colour code: N = blue, O = red, Co = violet, Dy = green, C = grey.

Within the dinuclear $[\text{Co(\mu-L)(\mu-Ac)Ln(NO_3)_2}]$ molecules, the Co$^{III}$ ion exhibits a slightly distorted $\text{fac-CoN}_3\text{O}_3$ octahedral coordination environment. The distortion mainly takes place along the threefold axis passing through the N$_3$ and O$_3$ faces of the octahedron. In fact, the calculation of the degree of distortion of the Co$^{II}$ coordination polyhedron with respect to an ideal six-vertex polyhedra, by using the continuous shape measure theory and SHAPE software,$^{14}$ led to shape measures relative to the octahedron (OC-6) and trigonal prism (TPR-6) with values of ~1.7 and ~10, respectively, for the isostructural complexes 1 and 4 and with values of ~2.8 and ~8.4 for the isostructural complexes 2, 3 and 5. The shape measures relative to other reference polyhedra are significantly larger and therefore the CoN$_3$O$_3$ coordination spheres of complexes 1-5 are found in the OC-6$\leftrightarrow$TPR-6 deformation pathway close to the octahedral geometry (~77% for 1 and 4 and ~70% for 2, 3 and 5). In all these complexes, the corresponding Ln$^{III}$ ion exhibits a rather asymmetric LnO$_9$ coordination sphere, which is comprised of two phenoxo bridging oxygen atoms, two methoxy oxygen atoms, one oxygen atom from the acetate bridging group and four oxygen atoms belonging to two bidentate nitrate anions, with Ln-O bond distances in the range 2.28 Å -2.51Å.
The average Ln-O\textsubscript{phenoxo} bond distances within each series of isostructural compounds 1 and 4, and 2, 3 and 5, respectively, steadily decrease from Gd\textsuperscript{3+} to Er\textsuperscript{3+} with a concomitant decrease of the average Co-Ln and Ln-O\textsubscript{acetate} bond distances, due to the lanthanide contraction.

The Co(O\textsubscript{phenoxo})\textsubscript{2}Ln bridging fragment is rather asymmetric as there are two non-equivalent Ln-O\textsubscript{phenoxo} and Co-O\textsubscript{phenoxo} bond distances, as well as two different Ni-O-Ln bridging angles (~7° of difference). It is interesting to note that in complexes 1-5 the coordination of the \textit{syn-syn} bridging acetate group induces the folding of the M(\mu-O\textsubscript{2})Ln bridging fragment, with hinge angles (the dihedral angle between the O-Co-O and O-Ln-O planes) that are close to 22°. In each series of isostructural compounds, the hinge angle increases with decreasing Ln\textsuperscript{3+} size, as expected.

The reaction of the H\textsubscript{2}L ligand with Co(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O and subsequently with Ln(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O (Ln\textsuperscript{3+} = Gd and Tb) led to two isostructural double phenoxo-bridged dinuclear complexes [Co(H\textsubscript{2}O)(\mu-L)Ln(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}] (Gd(6) and Tb(7)) (Figure 2).

\textit{Figure 2}. Perspective view of the molecular structure of 6. Colour code: N= blue, O = red, Co = violet, Dy = green, C = grey.
Although diphenoxo-nitrate triply bridged Ni-Ln complexes have been observed for the smaller Ln$^{3+}$ ions (from Tb$^{3+}$ to Er$^{3+}$), the Tb$^{3+}$ ion is not able to form the triple-bridged complex. It seems that the combined effect of the Ln$^{3+}$ and M$^{2+}$ sizes plays an important role in the adoption of the triply bridged structure. Thus when the size of the metal ion increases, the tension of the nitrate bridging ligand becomes larger and, from definite values of the size of the metal ions, the formation of the nitrate bridge is unfavourable. Therefore, subtle changes in the size of the M$^{2+}$ ion going from Ni$^{2+}$ to Co$^{2+}$ may be responsible for the presence of only a double-phenoxo bridge in (7). The absence of the nitrate bridging group in (6) and (7) allows the structure to be more planar (hinge angles of 3.7° and 1.5° respectively) and the bridging fragment more symmetric (the two Ln-O-Co angles of the bridging fragment are very close to each other with values of ~ 109°) than in the case of complexes 1-5. The planarity of the structure gives rise to an increase in the Co-Ln distance with respect to those observed in 1-5. In addition, the Ln coordination sphere, which is rather asymmetric regarding the Ln-O bond distances, expands from LnO$_9$ to LnO$_{10}$. It should be noted that the sixth position of the Co$^{2+}$ is saturated by the coordination of a water molecule, leading to a CoN$_3$O$_3$ octahedral coordination sphere that is more distorted toward trigonal prismatic that those of compounds 1-5. In particular, shape measures relative to the octahedron (OC-6) and trigonal prism (TPR-6) were 2.30 and 9.38 for 6 (73.9 % of octahedral geometry) and 2.95 and 11.28, for 7 (81.3 % of octahedral geometry).

Complexes 8-12 are isotructural and their structures consists of centrosymmetric tetranuclear molecules [Co(µ-L)(µ-N(CN)$_2$)°Ln(NO$_3$)$_6$] and four methanol molecules of crystallization. Within each tetranuclear Co$_2$Ln$_2$ molecule, two dinuclear cationic fragments [Co(µ-L)Ln(NO$_3$)$_2$]$^+$ are connected by two 1,5-dicyanamide bridging ligands in a “head to tail” arrangement (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Perspective view of the molecular structure of 8. Colour code: N= blue, O = red, Co = violet, Dy = green, C = grey.
The cobalt(II) ions exhibit distorted octahedral CoN$_4$O$_2$ coordination spheres with shape measures relative to the octahedron (OC-6) and trigonal prism (TPR-6) of ~2.30 and ~9.8, respectively, and are found in the OC-6↔TPR-6 deformation pathway, close to octahedral geometry (~ 75%). The coordination of the dicyanamide bridging ligand to the lanthanide ion leads to a LnO$_8$N coordination sphere, which, as in the dinuclear complexes 1-7, is rather asymmetric regarding the Ln-O bond distances (see Table S3). As expected for the lanthanide contraction, the Ln-N, Ln···Co and the average Ln-O$_{phenoxo}$ bond distances decrease with decreasing Ln$^{3+}$ ionic radii on going from Gd$^{3+}$ to Er$^{3+}$. The bridging fragment is asymmetric but the difference between the two Ln-O-Co angles is only ~3º, whereas the hinge angle is ~ 7.5º. When the Ln-O-Co and hinge angles are compared for complexes 1-12, one realizes that the bridging fragment becomes more symmetrical (smaller difference between each couple of Ln-O and Co-O bond distances and Ln-O-Co angles) as its planarity increases (smaller hinge angles).

Complexes 1-5 are devoid of any hydrogen bond interactions. However, compound 6 exhibits both intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bond interactions. Neighbouring centrosymmetrically related molecules are held together by two pairs of complementary hydrogen bonds involving either the coordinated water molecules and a coordinated nitrate anion (donor-acceptor distance of 2.878 Å) or the water molecule of crystallization and one coordinated nitrate anion (donor-acceptor distances of 2.692 Å and 2.995 Å). Both types of interactions lead to a chain of hydrogen bonded dinuclear Gd$^{3+}$-Co$^{2+}$ molecules that extend along the c axis (Figure S2). In addition, there exists an intramolecular hydrogen bond involving the coordinated water molecule and a coordinated nitrate anion of the same dinuclear molecule (donor-acceptor distance of 2.898 Å). In complex 7, two centrosymmetrically neighbouring molecules are connected by hydrogen bonds involving the crystallization methanol molecules and one of the coordinated nitrate anions (donor-acceptor distance of 2.988 Å). Besides this, there exists a hydrogen bond involving the coordinated methanol molecule and a coordinated nitrate anion of the same dinuclear molecule (donor-acceptor distance of 2.845 Å). In complexes 8-12, there are hydrogen bond interactions between the crystallization methanol molecules (with O···O distances in the range 2.561Å-2.705 Å) and between one of these molecules and one of the coordinated nitrate anion of the tetranuclear Co$_2$Ln$_2$ molecules (donor-acceptor distance of 2.810 Å). However, the hydrogen bonds do not connect two different Co$_2$Ln$_2$ tetranuclear molecules.

**Magnetic properties**

The temperature dependence of $\chi_M$T for complexes 1-7 (\(\chi_M\) is the molar magnetic susceptibility per CoLn unit) and 8-12 (\(\chi_M\) being the molar magnetic susceptibility per Co$_2$Ln$_2$ unit) were measured in
an applied magnetic field of 0.5 T and are displayed in Figure 4 (complexes 1-3 and 6-7), Figure 5 (complexes 3 and 4) and Figure 6 (complexes 8-12).

Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the $\chi_M T$ product for 1-3, 6, 7 and the difference $\Delta \chi_M T(3-ZnDy) = (\chi_M T)_{CoDy} - (\chi_M T)_{ZnDy} = (\chi_M T)_{Co} + J_{CoDy}(T)$. Black solid lines show the best fits for complexes 1 and 6. The rest of the solid lines are a guide to the eye.

Let us to start with the Co-Gd complexes 1, 6 and 8, whose magnetic properties are easier to analyze. At room temperature, the $\chi_M T$ values for 1 and 6 of 11.20 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$K and 10.68 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$K are slightly larger than the expected value for non-interacting Co$^{II}$ (S = 3/2) and Gd$^{III}$ (S = 7/2) ions (9.750 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K with g = 2), which may be due to both the orbital contribution of the Co$^{II}$ ion with an octahedral geometry and a $^4T_{1g}$ ground term and the ferromagnetic interaction between Co$^{II}$ and Gd$^{III}$ ions (see below). On lowering the temperature, the $\chi_M T$ for 1 first slowly decreases from room temperature to reach a minimum value of 10.75 K cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K at 26 K and then shows an abrupt increase to 12.40 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K at 2K. The observed high temperature decrease is due to the thermal depopulation of the spin-orbit coupling levels arising from the $^4T_{1g}$ ground term, whereas the increase at low temperature indicates a ferromagnetic interaction between Co$^{II}$ and Gd$^{III}$ ions. In the case of 6, the $\chi_M T$ product slightly increases from 300 K to 40 K and then increases sharply to 14.34 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K at 2K, thus indicating the existence of a ferromagnetic interaction between the Co$^{II}$ and Gd$^{III}$ ions. The lack of a decrease in $\chi_M T$ in the high temperature region [due to the spin-orbit coupling effect of the Co$^{II}$ ion] suggests that the ferromagnetic coupling in 6 is stronger than in 1. At room temperature, the $\chi_M T$ value for complex 8 of 23.05 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K is larger than that expected for two isolated Co$^{II}$ and two isolated Gd$^{III}$ ions with g = 2 (19.5 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K), which, as in the cases of 1 and 6, is due to both
the spin-orbit coupling effect of the CoII ion and the ferromagnetic interaction between CoII and GdIII ions. This ferromagnetic interaction is, like in 6, responsible for the steady increase of the χ_MT product from room temperature to 29.82 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 2 K. It has been previously shown that the cobalt(II) orbital contribution is significantly quenched when its coordination sphere deviates from the ideal octahedral geometry. In such cases there is no appreciable decrease of the χ_MT in the high temperature region and the cobalt(II) ions almost follows the Curie law. In agreement with this, compounds 6 and 8, which have coordination spheres that are more distorted from octahedral to trigonal prismatic than compound 1, show a steady increase in χ_MT from room temperature. Conversely, complex 1 with a less distorted octahedral geometry clearly exhibits the effect of spin-orbit coupling at high temperature. In view of these considerations the magnetic susceptibility data of compounds 6 and 8 can be analyzed using an isotropic Hamiltonian. Nevertheless, we stress that the magnetic parameters derived from this Hamiltonian can only be viewed as an approximation. The data for compound 1 were analyzed by considering that below 30 K only the lowest Kramer doublet of the CoII ion with an effective spin \( S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2 \) is thermally populated. This effective spin is related with the real spin by a factor of 5/3 and therefore the Hamiltonian describing the magnetic exchange interaction between GdIII and CoII ions is:

\[
\hat{H} = -J_{\text{eff}} \hat{S}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \hat{S}_{\text{Gd}} = -\frac{5}{3} J \hat{S}_{\text{Co}} \hat{S}_{\text{Gd}}
\]

From this Hamiltonian, the molar magnetic susceptibility is calculated to be:

\[
\chi_M = \frac{4Ng^2\beta^2}{kT} \frac{15 + 7 \exp(-20J/kT)}{9 + 7 \exp(-20J/kT)}
\]

where the same g value was assumed for all the spin states. The best fit of the magnetic susceptibility data for T< 30 K to the above equation was obtained for the following parameters: J= +0.7(7) and g = 2.242(2). This value is lower than those found for planar diphenoxo-bridged CoII-GdIII complexes containing a compartmental ligand (J ~ +1 cm⁻¹)⁵g,h but larger than that observed for a trinuclear Co-Gd-Co complex bearing a tripodal ligand with three phenoxo bridges connecting GdIII and CoII (J = +0.52).⁵a Experimental results and DFT calculations carried out by us and others on di-µ-phenoxo dinuclear Gd-(O)₂-Cu¹⁶ and Gd-(O)₂-Ni complexes,¹⁵ indicates that the ferromagnetic interaction between MII (Cu, Ni) and GdIII ions increases with the planarity of the M-O₂-Gd fragment and with the increase of the Ni-O-Gd angle. If we assume that this magneto-structural correlation is also valid for CoII-O₂-GdIII complexes, the observed value of 1 is not unexpected as it exhibits a hinge angle (dihedral angle between the O-Co-O and O-Gd-O angles) and Gd-O-Co bridging angles intermediate between those of the planar diphenoxo-bridged CoII-GdIII complexes and those of the triply bridged structure of the Co-Gd-Co trinuclear complex, where each pair of phenoxo bridging fragments
Gd(O)₂Co is folded with a hinge angle of 49.1 ° and consequently exhibits smaller Co-O-Gd angles than 1 and the planar diphenoxo-bridged Co²⁺-Gd³⁺ complexes.

The magnetization isotherm of 1 at 2K (Figure S3) reaches a value of 9.28 µB at 5T, which is close to that expected for a Co²⁺ ion with S_{eff} = 1/2 and g = 4.3 and a Gd³⁺ ion with S = 7/2 and g = 2.0 of 9.15 µB. The experimental magnetization data fall above the Brillouin curve for a pair of non-interacting Co²⁺ (S_{eff} =1/2) and Gd³⁺ ions, thus confirming the existence of a ferromagnetic interaction between these metal ions in the compound.

The magnetic properties of complexes 6 and 8, which present distorted octahedral coordination environments and apparently small orbital contributions, can be analyzed by means of isotropic Hamiltonians. In the case of 6, the isotropic Hamiltonian takes the form:

\[ \hat{H} = -J\hat{S}_{Co} \cdot \hat{S}_{Gd} \]

with S_{Co} = 3/2 and S_{Gd} = 7/2. From this Hamiltonian and applying the van Vleck equation, we have obtained the following analytical expression for the magnetic susceptibility of a Co²⁺Gd³⁺ dinuclear complex:

\[ \chi_M = \frac{2Ng^2}{kT} \left[ \frac{55 + 30 \exp(-5.4) + 14 \exp(-9.4) + 5 \exp(-12.4)}{11 + 9 \exp(-5.4) + 7 \exp(-9.4) + 5 \exp(-12.4)} \right] \]

where A = J/k_BT and the same g parameter has been considered for all the spin states. A \( zJ' \) parameter describing the intermolecular interactions in the molecular field approximation was also considered.

\[ \chi_M' = \frac{\chi_M}{(1 - \frac{zJ}{NG^2g^2})\chi_M} \]

The best set of parameters obtained from the fitting of the experimental data to the above equation is J = +0.69(1) cm⁻¹, g = 2.090(1) and zJ' = -0.008(4) cm⁻¹. The magnetic exchange coupling for compound 6 should be stronger than that of 1 as its hinge angle is smaller and the Co-O-Gd bridging angles are bigger than those for 1. However, the magnetic exchange coupling is similar for both compounds. This might be due to the fact that the J values for 6 are underestimated because the effect of the orbital contribution was not taken into account. Other structural factors, such as the deviation of the phenyl carbon atom bonded to the hydroxyl group with respect the Co(O)₂Gd bridging plane, or the dihedral angle between the phenyl ring and the bridging Co(O)₂Gd bridging plane, may also be responsible. To analyze the magnetic data of 8 the following isotropic Hamiltonian was used:
\[ \hat{H} = -J(S_{\text{Co}} S_{\text{Gd1}} + S_{\text{Co}} S_{\text{Gd2}}) - j(S_{\text{Co}} S_{\text{Gd2}} + S_{\text{Co}} S_{\text{Gd1}}) \]

where \( J \) and \( j \) account for the magnetic interactions through the diphenoxo and dicyanamide bridges, respectively. The susceptibility has been computed by exact calculation of the energy levels associated with the above spin Hamiltonian through diagonalization of the full-energy matrix. The best fit of the experimental susceptibility data afforded the following set of parameters: \( J = +0.75 \text{ cm}^{-1}, j = -0.02 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( g = 2.16 \), with \( R = 1.10 \). The \( J \) value is similar to those obtained for complexes 1 and 6, which seems to indicate that for this kind of complex the structural factors associated with the bridging fragment have less influence than observed in their Ni-Ln analogues. The 1,5-dicyanamide bridging ligand is a very poor mediator and as a consequence the magnetic exchange coupling through this bridging ligand is very weak.

The field dependences of the magnetization at 2 K for complexes 6 and 8 are given in Figures S3 and S4, respectively. These plots show a relatively rapid increase in the magnetization at low field, in agreement with a high-spin state for these complexes, and a rapid saturation of the magnetization that is almost complete at the maximum applied field of 5T, reaching values of of 9.12 \( \mu_B \) and 18.58 \( \mu_B \), close to those expected for the corresponding saturation values of 9.15 \( \mu_B \) and 18.30 \( \mu_B \), respectively.

We now discuss the magnetic properties of the Co-Tb compounds 2 and 7. At room temperature, the \( \chi_M T \) values for 2 and 7 (14.88 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1} \) and 15.08 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}, respectively) are higher than those calculated [13.69 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}] for independent Co\( ^{\text{II}} \) (\( S = 3/2 \) with \( g_{\text{Co}} = 2.0 \)) and Tb\( ^{\text{III}} \) (4\( f^8 \), \( J = 6, S = 3, L = 3, \text{\bf{H}}_{15/2}, g_s = 3/2 \)) ions in the free-ion approximation. This difference is mainly due to the orbital contribution of the Co\( ^{\text{II}} \) ion with an octahedral geometry and a \( ^4\text{T}_{1g} \) ground term. The \( \chi_M T \) products for 2 and 7 decrease slowly with decreasing temperature down to minimum values of 12.52 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1} and 13.25 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}, respectively, at 12 K. This behaviour is due to both the thermal depopulation the spin-orbit coupling levels arising from the \( ^4\text{T}_{1g} \) ground term of the octahedral Co\( ^{\text{II}} \) ion and the thermal depopulation of the Stark sublevels of the Tb\( ^{3+} \) ion, which arise from the splitting of the \( ^7\text{F}_6 \) ground term by the ligand field and whose width is of the order of 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}. Below 30 K the \( \chi_M T \) product increases to reach a maximum value of 13.20 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1} at 2 K for 2 and 13.58 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1} at 4 K for 7. Below this temperature, compound 7 shows a sharp decrease down to 2 K to a value of 13.14 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}. The increase in \( \chi_M T \) below 30 K is due to a ferromagnetic interaction between Co\( ^{\text{II}} \) and Tb\( ^{\text{III}} \), whereas the decrease of \( \chi_M T \) below 4K in the case of 7 is likely associated with the presence of antiferromagnetic intermolecular interactions between the dinuclear complexes through the hydrogen bonds.
At room temperature, the $\chi_M T$ products for 4 and 5 are 16.66 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ and 13.95 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$, respectively, which are higher than the calculated values by using the free ion approximation of 15.94 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ and 13.35 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$, respectively, for independent Co$^{II}$ and Ln$^{III}$ (Ho$^{III}$, $L = 6$, $S = 2$, $J = 8$, $g_J = 5/4$, $^5I_8$; Er$^{III}$, $L = 6$, $S = 3/2$, $J = 15/2$, $g_J = 6/5$, $^4I_{15/2}$) due to the orbital contribution of the Co$^{II}$ ions.

When the temperature is lowered, the $\chi_M T$ product for 3 and 4 decreases, first slightly until $\sim 60-70$ K and then sharply to reach values of 6.57 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K for 3 and 8.65 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 4 K for 4. This behaviour is mainly due to the depopulation of the Stark sublevels of the Ho$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$ ion, which arise from the splitting of the $^5I_8$ and $^4I_{15/2}$ ground terms, respectively, by the ligand field, as well as to the thermal depopulation of the levels that arise from spin-orbit coupling in the Co$^{II}$ ion. In the case of 5, the $\chi_M T$ product increases below 5 K which is due to the ferromagnetic interaction between the Co$^{II}$ and Er$^{III}$ ions. In order to know the nature of the magnetic interaction between Co$^{II}$ and Ho$^{III}$ ions in 4 and to confirm the ferromagnetic interaction between Co$^{II}$ and Er$^{III}$ ions in 5, the empirical approach developed by Costes et al. was applied. In this approach, the contribution of the crystal-field effects of the Ln$^{3+}$ ion is removed by subtracting from the experimental $\chi_M T$ data of 3 and 4 those of the isostructural Zn-Ho and Zn-Er complexes, respectively, whose magnetic behaviour depends only on the Ln$^{3+}$ ion. The difference $\Delta\chi_M T = (\chi_M T)_{CoLn} - (\chi_M T)_{ZnLn} = (\chi_M T)_Co + J_{CoLn}(T)$ is therefore related to the nature of the overall exchange interaction between the Co$^{II}$ and Ln$^{III}$ ions. Thus, positive values are related to ferromagnetic coupling whereas negative values are related to antiferromagnetic interactions. The $\Delta\chi_M T$ values first slightly decrease due to the thermal

---

Figure 5. Temperature dependence of the $\chi_M T$ product for 4 and 5 and the differences $\Delta\chi_M T = (\chi_M T)_{CoLn} - (\chi_M T)_{ZnLn} = (\chi_M T)_Co + J_{CoLn}(T)$. The solid lines are just a guide to the eye.
depopulation of the spin-orbit coupling levels of the Co$^{II}$ ion until they reach a minimum at ~ 20 K and then increase down to 2K, thus indicating a ferromagnetic interaction between Co$^{II}$ and Ln$^{III}$ ions. It appears that the magnetic exchange coupling is higher for 5 than for 4 as the former shows an increase in $\chi_M T$ at low temperature and the latter does not. The fact that $\Delta \chi_M T$ values for 5 begin to increase at higher temperatures than for 4 is also supporting evidence of the above supposition.

The temperature dependence of compound 3 (Figure 4) is similar to that observed for compound 2. The value at 300 K (16.08 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$) is close to that expected (16.04 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$) for independent Co$^{II}$ ($S = 3/2$ with $g_Co = 2.0$) and Dy$^{III}$ (4f$^9$, $J = 15/2$, $S = 5/2$, $L = 5$, $^{5}H_{15/2}$, $g_J = 4/3$) ions in the free-ion approximation. The $\chi_M T$ value decreases with decreasing temperature down to a minimum value of 3.96 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 12 K, then increases at lower temperatures, reaching a maximum value of 15.46 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K. The decrease between 300 and 12 K is due, as in compounds 2 and 7, to the thermal depopulation of the Stark sublevels of the dysprosium ion and to the depopulation of the spin-orbit coupling levels of the Co$^{II}$ ion. It should be noted that, in contrast to that observed for compounds 4 and 5, the difference $\Delta \chi_M T = (\chi_M T)_{CoLn} - (\chi_M T)_{ZnLn} = (\chi_M T)_{Co} + J_{CoLn}$ for complex 3 does not decrease on lowering the temperature. This can be due to the fact that the CoO$_4$N$_4$ octahedral coordination environment is rather distorted toward a trigonal prismatic geometry (it exhibits 70 % of octahedral geometry), which leads to a partial quenching of the orbital angular momentum and, consequently, to a smaller spin-orbit coupling that is ultimately responsible for the decrease in the $\Delta \chi_M T$ vs T plot in the high temperature region. The increase of $\chi_M T$ in the low temperature region supports the existence of a ferromagnetic interaction between Co$^{II}$ and Dy$^{III}$ ions.

![Figure 6. Temperature dependence of the $\chi_M T$ product for 8-12 and the difference $\Delta \chi_M T(11-ZnHo) = (\chi_M T)_{Co2Ho2} - 2(\chi_M T)_{ZnHo} = 2(\chi_M T)_{Ho} + J_{CoHo}(T)$. Black solid lines show the best fit for complex 8. The rest of the solid lines are just a guide to the eye.](image)
The thermal dependence of $\chi_M T$ for compounds 9-12 (Figure 6) show a similar behaviour to those observed for the analogous acetate-diphenoxo triply-bridged dinuclear Co-Ln complexes 2-5. With the exception of 11, these compounds show an increase of $\chi_M T$ in the low temperature region, which is in agreement with the ferromagnetic interaction expected for these compounds. Conversely, in the case of 11, the $\chi_M T$ product steadily decreases down to 2 K, as for compound 4. To know whether or not the interaction between the Ho$^{III}$ and Co$^{II}$ is ferromagnetic in nature, we have followed the same strategy as for compounds 3-5. We have used the thermal dependence of $\chi_M T$ for the acetate-diphenoxo Zn$^{II}$Ho$^{III}$ compound$^{15}$ to be subtracted from compound 11, because we have not succeeded in obtaining the analogous dicyanamide-bridged Zn$_2$Dy$_2$ tetranuclear compound. Nevertheless, in view of the results for compounds 8-12, the magnetic behaviour of the ZnDy and Zn$_2$Dy$_2$ compounds must be very similar. As can be observed from Figure 6, the temperature dependence of the $\Delta \chi_M T=(\chi_M T)_{Co2Ho2}-2(\chi_M T)_{ZnHo} \approx 2(\chi_M T)_{Co} + J_{CoHo}$ (were $J_{CoDy}$ is the magnetic interaction through the diphenoxo pathway) clearly shows that the $J_{CoHo}$ is also ferromagnetic. Conversely to that observed for compound 4, the $\Delta \chi_M T$ in the high temperature region for compound 11 does not decrease, thus indicating a smaller spin-orbit coupling effect in this compound than in 4, which is in agreement with the larger distortion of the coordination environment observed for 11.

Dynamic ac magnetic susceptibility measurements as a function of the temperature at different frequencies and under zero-external field clearly show that only complex 3 exhibits a frequency dependence of the in-phase ($\chi'_M$) and out-of-phase ($\chi''_M$) signals (Figure S5) but without reaching a maximum in temperature dependence of the $\chi''_M$ above 2 K, even at frequencies as high as 1400 Hz. This behaviour indicates that 3 exhibits slow relaxation of the magnetization and possibly SMM behaviour. However, either the energy barrier for the flipping of the magnetization is not high enough to trap the magnetization in one of the equivalent configurations below 2 K or the energy barrier is reduced to an effective value by quantum tunneling of the excited states leading to a flipping rate that is too fast to observe the maximum in the $\chi''_M$ above 2 K. When the ac measurements were performed in the presence of a small external dc field of 1000 G to fully or partly suppress the quantum tunneling relaxation of the magnetization, compound 3 shows typical SMM behaviour below 5 K with maxima in the 2.25 K (665 Hz)-2.5 K (1300 Hz) range (Figures 7).

From the temperatures and frequencies of the maxima observed for the $\chi''_M$ signals, and by using an Arrhenius plot, $\tau = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta/k_B T)$, the thermally activated energy barrier for the flipping of the magnetization ($\Delta/k_B$) was estimated to be 7.6 K and the flipping rate $\tau_0 = 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ s. The value of $\Delta/k_B$ is at the lower end of the experimental range found for similar 3d/4f SMM systems.$^{5,15}$ However, the $\tau_0$ value is much larger than expected, which suggests that the quantum tunneling of the magnetization is only partly suppressed by the applied field of 1000 G and, therefore, the thermal energy barrier should be higher than 7.6 K.
Figure 7. Temperature dependence of in-phase $\chi'$ and out-of-phase $\chi''$ components of the ac susceptibility for complex 3 measured under 1000 Oe applied dc field. Bottom inset: Arrhenius law.

In order to shed light on the origin of this behaviour, we have performed fragment CASSCF calculations within the MOLCAS 7.2 ab initio package$^{21}$ and investigated local magnetic anisotropy on individual metal fragments using a recently developed calculation methodology.$^{22}$ The local magnetic anisotropy of the Co$^{2+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$ were calculated on the hypothetical complexes Co-La and Zn-Dy, respectively, which have the same structural parameters as compound 3. The ground Kramers
doublet arising from the ligand-field splitting of the $^6H_{15/2}$ ground atomic term show strong axial anisotropy with $g_z = 18.9$ ($g_x = 0.06$ and $g_y = 0.09$) along the main anisotropy axis, which lies close to the Dy–Co direction (Figure 8a). The cobalt atom, as expected, is much less anisotropic with $g_z = 6.71$ along the main anisotropy axis ($g_x = 2.02$ and $g_y = 3.63$), which is placed not too far from the normal of the Co-Dy direction (Figure 8b).

**Figure 8.** (a) Main local anisotropic axis for Dy$^{3+}$ in 3 (green arrow). (b) Orientations of the local $g$ tensors for Co$^{3+}$ in 3. $g_z$ (green), $g_x$ (yellow), $g_y$ (magenta).

The combination of these magnetic anisotropies could produce a highly uniaxial magnetoanisotropy whose main anisotropy axis would lie close to the Dy anisotropy axis. At low temperature (below ~30 K), the ground doublet sub-level of Dy$^{3+}$ (with $J_z = \pm 15/2$) is further split into two levels because of the magnetic exchange interaction with the ground doublet with $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ of the cobalt(II) ion. It has been suggested that the energy gap between these two levels is related to the observed energy barrier.\(^{23}\) Because the magnetic exchange coupling between Dy$^{3+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ is expected to very weak (the $J_{\text{CoLn}}$ is $\sim +1$ cm$^{-1}$ for the Gd-Co complexes 1, 6 and 8, and it should be lower than this value for heavy Ln$^{3+}$ ions because of the lanthanide contraction) a small energy barrier for the reversal of the magnetization is also expected, which is in good agreement with the observed results for compound 3. For the compounds 2, 4, 5 and 7, a weaker uniaxial anisotropy and/or a smaller $J_{\text{CoLn}}$ coupling constant promote smaller values of the energy barrier and consequently do not show any out-of-phase signal ($\chi''_M$) under zero dc applied field. Moreover, the existence of transverse anisotropy could lead to large tunnel splittings and therefore to the observed efficient zero-field quantum tunneling of magnetization.

The fact that tetranuclear Co$_2$Ln$_2$ compounds 9-11 do not exhibit slow relaxation of the magnetization even under an external dc field may be related to the existence of very weak Co-Ln antiferromagnetic
interactions between each pair of centrosymmetrically related dinuclear Co-Ln units. These interactions lead to small separations of the low lying split sublevels and consequently to a smaller energy barrier for the flipping of the magnetization.

Concluding remarks

We have designed a new and relatively flexible dinucleating compartmental Mannich base ligand, with an N₃O₂ inner pocket and an O₂O₂ external site, that allows the formation, in a one pot reaction, of double and triple-bridged Co²⁺Ln³⁺ dinuclear complexes (Ln³⁺ = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho and Er). The driving force for the formation of such complexes is the tendency of the Co²⁺ ion to adopt octahedral geometry. Thus, the pentacoordinating inner site forces this metal ion to saturate its coordination position with a donor atom, which can belong either to an acetate bridging ligand connecting the Co²⁺ and Ln³⁺ metal ions, leading to triply-bridged Co²⁺Ln³⁺ dinuclear molecules (complexes 1-5) or to a molecule of water leading to doubly-bridged dinuclear Co²⁺Ln³⁺ molecules (complexes 6-7). These diphenoxo-bridged Co²⁺Ln³⁺ molecules were used as platforms to obtain 1,5-dicyanamide-bridged rectangular tetranuclear Co₂²⁺Ln₂²⁺ complexes. The Co(O)₂Ln bridging fragment is folded in the diphenoxoacetate triply-bridged complexes (~22°), whereas it is close to planar in the remaining complexes (~3° and ~7.5°, for complexes 5-6 and 8-12, respectively). In connection with this, the Co-O-Ln bridging angles become larger and more symmetric (smaller difference between each couple of Ln-O and Co-O bond distances Ln-O-Co angles) when its planarity increases. The Ln-N, Ln···Co and the average Ln-Ophenoxo bond distances for the isostructural complexes 8-12 decrease with decreasing Ln³⁺ ionic radii on going from Gd³⁺ to Er³⁺, reflecting the lanthanide contraction.

In all these complexes, the magnetic exchange coupling between the Co²⁺ and Ln³⁺ ions was found to be ferromagnetic in nature and, in the case of the Co-Gd complexes, the \(J_{\text{CoGd}}\) values were calculated to be between +0.69 cm\(^{-1}\) and +0.75 cm\(^{-1}\). It appears that, for this kind of complex, the structural factors associated with the bridging fragment have less influence than their Ni-Ln analogues. Notice that this work shows for the first time that the Co²⁺-Ho³⁺ and Co²⁺-Er³⁺ magnetic interactions are also ferromagnetic. The observed ferromagnetic interactions between Co²⁺ and the lanthanide ions from Gd³⁺ to Er³⁺ follow the same trend established for related CuLn and NiLn systems.

Slow relaxation of the magnetization, a typical feature of SMM behaviour, is only observed for 3, which indicates that the introduction of two anisotropic ions such as Co²⁺ and Ln³⁺ does not guarantee a larger uniaxial anisotropy, as the local anisotropies can be combined in a subtractive manner. This possible subtractive effect, together with the very weak \(J_{\text{CoLn}}\) observed for these compounds (~ +0.7 cm\(^{-1}\)) could promote smaller values of the energy barrier. Moreover, the existence of transverse anisotropy could lead to large tunnel splittings and therefore to efficient zero-field quantum tunneling.
of magnetization. All these factors would provoke the non observance of SMM behaviour below 2 K for all the compounds with the exception of 3. In the case of this compound, the application of a small dc field is enough to fully or partly suppress the fast and efficient zero-field quantum tunneling of magnetization allowing the observation of SMM behaviour above 2K. Therefore, the Dy$^{3+}$ in 3 seems to have a larger magnetic anisotropy than the other Ln$^{3+}$ ions present in complexes 2-5 and 7. From the results of the Co$_2$Ln$_2$ tetranuclear compounds 9-12, it seems to be clear that the weak intermolecular interactions propagated through the dicyanamide bridges between CoLn dinuclear units, leads to small separations of the low lying split sublevels and consequently to a smaller energy barrier for the flipping of the magnetization. In view of this, a good strategy to obtain SMM behaviour in this kind of systems would be that of eliminating the weak M$^{III}$-Ln$^{III}$ interactions that split the ground sublevels of the Ln$^{3+}$ ion. According to this strategy, we are now pursuing the synthesis of a series of dinuclear [Zn(µ-L)(µ-X)Ln(NO$_3$)$_2$] and mononuclear complexes[(H$_2$L)Ln(NO$_3$)$_3$] complexes which are expected to exhibit SMM behaviour with higher thermal energy barriers.
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